International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 9 Issue 6, Nov-Dec 2025 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

Localization in Wireless Sensor Network: A Review

Kawale Pramod S.[1], Bansode Rahul S.[2]

[1]ME Student (VLSI & Embed.), SPCOE, Otur, Maharashtra, India
[2]Assistant Professor, SPCOE, Otur, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are widely used in hazardous, remote, and large-scale environments where manual deployment and maintenance are difficult. One of the most critical issues in WSNs is node localization, as the location information of sensor nodes is essential for efficient network operation and accurate interpretation of sensed data. Localization plays a key role in supporting various application-level tasks, especially in monitoring applications such as environmental observation, industrial supervision, and disaster management. This review paper provides a comprehensive overview of localization in wireless sensor networks. It discusses the major challenges associated with localization, examines different localization techniques, and reviews commonly used localization algorithms. The aim of this paper is to present a clear and structured understanding of existing localization approaches and highlight their importance in WSN-based monitoring applications.

KEYWORDS: *Wireless Sensor Networks, Localization, Localization Techniques, Localization Algorithms.*

How to cite this paper: Kawale Pramod S. | Bansode Rahul S. "Localization in Wireless Sensor Network: A Review" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-9 | Issue-6, December 2025, pp.753-758, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd99950.pdf

IJTSRD99950

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) have become an important enabling technology for a wide range of applications, including environmental monitoring, vehicle tracking, mapping, and emergency response systems. In these applications, a large number of sensor nodes are deployed over a wide physical area, and the location information of each sensor node is essential for meaningful data interpretation. Typical applications that strongly depend on accurate location information include habitat monitoring, target tracking, and battlefield surveillance. Despite its importance, localization remains one of the most challenging problems in wireless sensor networks.

In WSNs, some sensor nodes, known as **anchor nodes**, are equipped with Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers or have prior knowledge of their physical locations. The location information of anchor nodes is used to estimate the positions of unknown nodes through techniques such as multilateration, coordinate transformation from relative to absolute positions, or constraints in mathematical programming–based algorithms. However, distributed localization algorithms based on multilateration often require a large number of anchor nodes to achieve acceptable accuracy. Since anchor nodes are significantly more expensive than ordinary sensor nodes, their number and placement greatly affect the cost and performance of localization systems. Localization is the process by which sensor nodes determine their own positions and establish spatial relationships with other nodes in the network. Numerous localization approaches have been proposed, each based on different assumptions related to network type and sensor capabilities. These assumptions may involve hardware requirements, signal propagation models, timing and energy constraints, network composition (homogeneous or heterogeneous), operational environment (indoor or outdoor), beacon density, time synchronization, communication overhead, acceptable error margins, and node mobility. The choice of assumptions and localization techniques depends largely on application requirements such as accuracy, cost, power consumption, and whether the nodes are static or mobile. As a result, various localization methods differ in their design goals, performance, and applicability.

Challenges in Implementing Localization in WSNs

Wireless sensor networks are inherently resource-constrained systems. To reduce interference and energy consumption, the effective communication range of sensor nodes is limited. As a result, sensed data are often transmitted to the sink node through multi-hop communication paths. These routing paths are not always reliable and may change over time, which introduces additional uncertainty in distance estimation between nodes and beacon points. Errors accumulated during multi-hop distance approximation can significantly degrade localization accuracy. Another major factor affecting localization accuracy is ranging error. Regardless of the ranging technique used, noise is always present in distance or angle measurements. Furthermore, variations in hardware characteristics and environmental conditions between different transmitter–receiver pairs can lead to non-uniform measurement errors, which further reduce localization precision.

Current Aspects in Localization

Several key aspects must be considered when designing localization algorithms for WSNs:

Resource Constraints: Sensor nodes must be inexpensive, energy-efficient, and easy to deploy. Localization algorithms should therefore minimize hardware complexity, power consumption, and deployment cost.

Node Density: Many localization algorithms are sensitive to node density. For example, hop-count-based schemes require high node density to ensure accurate distance approximation. Similarly, algorithms relying on beacon nodes may fail in regions with insufficient beacon density. Understanding the density assumptions of a localization algorithm is critical, as maintaining high node density can be costly or impractical.

Environmental Obstacles and Terrain Irregularities: Physical obstacles and irregular terrain can significantly impact localization accuracy. In outdoor environments, large obstacles may block line-of-sight communication, affecting techniques such as Time Difference of Arrival (TDoA) and Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI). Indoor environments present similar challenges, as walls and other structures interfere with signal propagation. Localization systems must be robust enough to handle such real-world conditions.

Security: Security is a major concern in localization systems. If beacon or anchor nodes are compromised, they may transmit false location information, leading to incorrect position estimation. Such attacks can severely affect network performance and reliability.

Non-Convex Network Topologies: Nodes located near network boundaries often suffer from limited connectivity and reduced information availability. This problem becomes more severe in non-convex network topologies, where some nodes may lie outside the main convex region of the network. These nodes are difficult to localize accurately and often exhibit higher localization errors.

Components of Localization Systems

A localization system in a wireless sensor network generally consists of three main components:

- **Distance or Angle Estimation:** This component estimates distances or angles between pairs of nodes using various ranging techniques. The estimated information serves as input for position calculation.
- **Position Computation:** Based on distance or angle estimates and the known positions of reference nodes, this component calculates the position of sensor nodes.
- **Localization Algorithm:** This is the core component that defines how available information is processed to enable most or all sensor nodes in the network to determine their locations.

II. Localization Techniques

Localization techniques in wireless sensor networks are primarily based on different types of measurements used to estimate the position of sensor nodes. These measurement techniques can be broadly classified into **angle-based measurements** and **distance-based measurements**. Each approach has its own advantages, limitations, and applicability depending on system requirements and environmental conditions.

A. Angle of Arrival (AoA)

Angle of Arrival (AoA) refers to the angle between the direction of an incoming signal and a predefined reference direction, known as orientation. In AoA-based localization, nodes estimate their positions by measuring the angles at which signals from neighboring nodes or anchor nodes are received. Using simple geometric relationships and relative angle information, node locations can be calculated. AoA measurements can be absolute when the reference orientation is fixed (for example, pointing toward the North), or relative when such a reference is not available. A common method for obtaining AoA information is the use of antenna arrays mounted on sensor nodes. In many AoA-based schemes, sensor nodes forward their bearing information with respect to anchor nodes, which are assumed to know their own locations and orientations. However, AoA-based localization methods require strong cooperation among neighboring nodes and are highly sensitive to measurement errors, which tend to accumulate and reduce accuracy. Some approaches employ anchor nodes with adaptive or directional antennas to communicate with sensors in different regions of the network. In other designs, a single anchor located at the center of the network broadcasts angle information, while other nodes estimate their positions using this information along with data from their neighbors.

More precise AoA-based algorithms assume that sensor nodes can receive exact angle information from anchors. This can be achieved using rotating directional antennas at anchor nodes, where the strongest received signal indicates the angle of arrival. Despite their improved accuracy, such approaches are often impractical for WSNs due to unrealistic antenna radiation patterns, the need for multiple anchors, and the large size and complexity of rotating antennas. Overall, the main challenge of AoA-based localization lies in achieving high accuracy while keeping the system simple, cost-effective, and feasible for small, resource-constrained sensor nodes.

B. Distance-Based Measurements

Distance-based localization techniques estimate the distance between sensor nodes and reference nodes using signal propagation characteristics. These techniques include Time of Arrival (ToA), Time Difference of Arrival (TDoA), and Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) methods.

a) Time of Arrival (ToA)

Time of Arrival (ToA) is a widely used localization technique in which the distance between a sender and a receiver is estimated by measuring the signal propagation time. One of the most common examples of ToA-based localization is the Global Positioning System (GPS). To accurately measure propagation time, ToA-based systems require precise clock synchronization between communicating nodes, which often necessitates expensive hardware. In a typical ToA-based localization process, an unknown node (blind node) transmits a signal to a reference node while attaching a timestamp indicating the transmission time. Upon receiving the signal, the reference node records the arrival time. The difference between the transmission and reception timestamps represents the signal's propagation time, which can be converted into distance using the known signal propagation speed. ToA techniques can be applied to various signal types, including radio frequency (RF), acoustic, infrared, and ultrasound signals. Although ToA methods can achieve high accuracy, they are highly dependent on line-of-sight conditions. Environmental factors such as obstacles, temperature, and humidity can affect signal propagation speed, especially for acoustic signals. In addition, multipath propagation may cause inaccuracies in signal detection and timing measurements.

b) Time Difference of Arrival (TDoA)

Time Difference of Arrival (TDoA) estimates distance by measuring the difference in arrival times of two different types of signals transmitted simultaneously, typically a radio signal and an ultrasound signal. Since these signals propagate at different speeds, the time difference between their arrivals can be used to calculate the distance between the transmitter and receiver. Like ToA, TDoA requires specialized hardware and is therefore relatively expensive for large-scale WSN deployments. TDoA methods provide high accuracy under line-of-sight conditions; however, they are sensitive to environmental factors such as air temperature and humidity, which affect the speed of sound. Acoustic signals are also prone to multipath effects, further impacting localization accuracy.

c) Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI)

Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI)–based localization relies on the observation that the strength of a radio signal decreases as it propagates through space. By measuring the received signal power and knowing the transmitted power, the signal attenuation can be estimated. Using theoretical or empirical path-loss models, this attenuation is then translated into an approximate distance between the transmitter and receiver. RSSI-based localization is attractive because it does not require additional hardware; most sensor nodes already include radio transceivers capable of measuring signal strength. As a result, RSSI is a low-cost and energy-efficient solution compared to ToA and TDoA methods.

III. Localization algorithm

A large number of localization algorithms have been proposed for wireless sensor networks. These algorithms can be classified based on different criteria related to computation, measurement capability, use of reference nodes, and cooperation among nodes. The major classifications are as follows:

Centralized vs. Distributed localization – based on computational organization

Range-Free vs. Range-Based localization – based on distance or angle estimation

Anchor-Based vs. Anchor-Free localization – based on the use of reference nodes

Individual vs. Collaborative localization – based on how node positions are computed

Centralized vs. Distributed ocalization

Localization algorithms can be categorized as **centralized** or **distributed** depending on where the computation is performed.

Centralized Localization

In centralized localization algorithms, sensor nodes transmit their measurement data, such as connectivity or ranging information, to a central processing unit (e.g., a base station). The base station computes the positions of all nodes and sends the estimated locations back to the network. This approach is suitable for applications where centralized data collection already exists, such as traffic monitoring, environmental monitoring, healthcare systems, and precision agriculture. The main advantage of centralized localization is its ability to provide more accurate position estimates due to global knowledge of the network. Common centralized distance-based localization approaches include **Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)**, **linear programming**, and **stochastic optimization** techniques. However, centralized methods suffer from high communication overhead, increased latency, and poor scalability. As the network size grows, communication cost and energy consumption increase significantly, making centralized approaches inefficient for large-scale WSNs.

MDS-MAP Algorithm

MDS-MAP is a well-known centralized localization technique consisting of three main steps:

Step 1: Compute the shortest path distances between all pairs of nodes using algorithms such as Dijkstra's or Floyd's algorithm. These distances are used to construct a distance matrix.

Step 2: Apply classical Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) to the distance matrix and retain the largest eigenvalues and eigenvectors to obtain a relative 2D or 3D map of node positions. This map may be rotated or reflected relative to the true coordinates.

Step 3: Use a sufficient number of anchor nodes (at least three for 2D and four for 3D) to transform the relative map into an absolute coordinate system through scaling, rotation, and reflection.

A key advantage of MDS-MAP is that it can generate a relative map even without anchor nodes. When anchor nodes are available, the relative map can be transformed into absolute coordinates. This method performs well when the number of anchor nodes is limited. However, its major drawback is the requirement for global network information and centralized computation.

Distributed Localization

Distributed localization algorithms distribute computation across the network. Each node determines its own position by exchanging information with neighboring nodes. These algorithms are generally more scalable, energy-efficient, and robust than centralized methods, as they avoid excessive communication with a central server. In distributed localization, all relevant computations are performed locally at sensor nodes. Although these methods reduce communication cost and delay, they can be complex to implement and may be constrained by the limited processing capabilities of sensor nodes. Distributed localization approaches can be classified into several categories:

Beacon-Based Distributed Algorithms: Nodes estimate their locations using distance measurements from a limited number of beacon or anchor nodes.

Relaxation-Based Algorithms: Nodes are initially localized using coarse estimates, followed by iterative refinement to improve accuracy.

Coordinate System Stitching Algorithms: The network is divided into overlapping regions, each creating a local map. These local maps are then merged into a global coordinate system.

Hybrid Localization Algorithms: These methods combine multiple localization techniques, such as proximity-based methods and Ad-hoc Positioning Systems (APS), to reduce computation and communication overhead.

Interferometry Ranging-Based Localization: This technique exploits the interference of radio waves transmitted at slightly different frequencies to estimate distances accurately.

Error Propagation-Aware Localization: These algorithms reduce localization error caused by wireless channel noise and fading by explicitly accounting for error propagation during computation.

Range-Free vs. Range-Based Localization

Range-Free Localization Range-free localization techniques estimate node positions using connectivity and proximity information rather than explicit distance or angle measurements. These methods do not require additional hardware, making them cost-effective and easy to deploy. However, they typically provide limited localization accuracy. Range-free techniques avoid estimating point-to-point distances using signal characteristics such as time or signal strength. Examples include **Centroid localization**, **DV-Hop**, **APIT**, **Amorphous localization**, **SeRLoc**, and **ROCRSSI**. Due to their simplicity and low cost, range-free methods are well suited for large-scale and resource-constrained WSNs.

Range-Based Localization Range-based localization techniques estimate distances or angles between nodes using signal characteristics such as **ToA**, **TDoA**, **AoA**, and **RSSI**. Using these measurements, node positions are calculated relative to neighboring nodes, often through geometric methods such as trilateration or triangulation. Although range-based methods generally provide higher accuracy than range-free techniques, they require additional hardware and are sensitive to environmental conditions. Signal attenuation, multipath propagation, and noise can significantly affect measurement accuracy. Due to hardware complexity and cost, range-based techniques may not be suitable for large-scale WSN deployments.

Anchor-Based vs. Anchor-Free Localization

Anchor-Based Localization

Anchor-based algorithms rely on nodes with known positions, typically obtained using GPS or manual configuration. Anchor nodes are used to transform relative node coordinates into a global coordinate system. At least three non-collinear anchors are required for 2D localization, and four non-coplanar anchors are required for 3D localization. While anchor-based methods provide absolute location information, they have several drawbacks. Anchor nodes are expensive due to GPS hardware requirements, and their deployment may be difficult in inaccessible or indoor environments. In addition, the performance of anchor-based algorithms strongly depends on the number and placement of anchor nodes.

Anchor-Free Localization

Anchor-free localization algorithms do not require any reference nodes. Instead, they provide relative position information, indicating the spatial relationships among nodes. For many applications, such as geographic routing, relative coordinates are sufficient. Anchor-free methods reduce deployment cost and complexity but cannot provide absolute position information.

Individual vs. Collaborative Localization

In individual localization, a node computes its own position using available distance or angle measurements and known reference node positions. Common techniques include triangulation, trilateration, and multilateration. Other approaches include probabilistic methods, bounding box techniques, and centroid-based estimation. In many cases, a node must directly communicate with at least three anchor nodes to compute its position. However, limited network connectivity or insufficient anchor density can reduce localization success. To overcome this limitation, some algorithms use multi-hop communication and approximate shortest-path distances as Euclidean distances.

In **collaborative localization**, nodes cooperate by sharing information and iteratively refining their position estimates. This approach improves localization coverage and accuracy, especially in sparse networks.

Position Computation Methods

Triangulation: Triangulation estimates node positions using angle measurements, typically obtained through AoA techniques. Using trigonometric relationships and the known positions of reference nodes, a node computes its location based on angle information.

Trilateration: Trilateration determines a node's position using distance measurements from at least three reference nodes. The node's position is calculated as the intersection point of circles centered at the reference nodes. In practice, measurement noise results in an intersection region rather than a single point.

Multilateration: Multilateration extends rilateration by using distance or TDoA measurements from more than three reference nodes. The node position is obtained by solving an optimization problem, often using least squares methods, to minimize localization error. Multilateration generally provides higher accuracy when more reference points are available.

IV. Conclusion

This paper has examined the localization problem from the perspective of wireless sensor networks. Localization systems were discussed by dividing them into three main components: distance or angle estimation, position computation, and localization algorithms. The paper reviewed various localization techniques and algorithms, including centralized and distributed approaches, range-based and range-free methods, anchor-based and anchor-free schemes, as well as individual and collaborative localization strategies. The suitability of each approach depends largely on application requirements such as accuracy, cost, energy consumption, and deployment environment. Despite significant progress in this field, localization in wireless sensor networks remains a challenging research problem due to resource constraints, environmental effects, scalability issues, and security concerns. Therefore, further research is required to develop more accurate, energy-efficient, cost-effective, and robust localization techniques that can adapt to diverse real-world applications and deployment conditions.

References

[1] Thomas Kunz and Benjamin Tatham" Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks and Anchor Placement" Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks 2012

[2] Azzedineboukerche "Localization systems for wireless sensor networks" IEEE Wireless Communications December 2007

[3] Avinash Srinivasan and Jie Wu "A Survey on Secure Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks "Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL, USA

[4] Anneleen Van nieuwenhuyse, jeroenwyffels, jeanpierregoemaere, lieven de strycker, Bart nauwelaers "Time of Arrival Based on Chirp Pulses as a means to Perform Localization in IEEE 802.15.4a Wireless Sensor Networks "10th International Conference on DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION SYSTEMS, Suceava, Romania,2010

[5] RongPeng and Mihail L. Sichitiu "Angle of Arrival Localization for Wireless Sensor Networks" Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh

[6] Paweł Kułakowski a, Javier Vales-Alonso b, Esteban EgeaLopez b, WiesławLudwina, Joan Garcia-Haro "Angle-ofarrival localization based on antenna arrays for wireless sensor networks"

[7] Amitangshu Pal "Localization Algorithms in Wireless Sensor Networks: Current Approaches and Future Challenges" Network Protocols and Algorithms, 2010

[8] P. K Singh, Bharat Tripathi, Narendra Pal Singh" Node localization in wireless sensor networks" International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies, 2011.