International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 9 Issue 5, Sep-Oct 2025 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

Legal Issues of Intelligent Chatbots and Corresponding Countermeasures: Navigating the Uncharted Territory of Digital Minds

Tan Jiajie, Li Bo, Mo Wenjie

Beijing Wuzi University, Beijing, China

ABSTRACT

The advent of sophisticated large language models (LLMs) has propelled intelligent chatbots from scripted curiosities to pervasive social and commercial agents. These digital entities, capable of simulating empathy and conducting contextually rich conversations, are reshaping industries from customer service to mental health support and companion services. However, this rapid integration into the human social fabric occurs within a significant regulatory vacuum, giving rise to a complex array of legal and ethical dilemmas that existing frameworks are inadequate to address. This comprehensive paper conducts a deep dive into the most pressing legal challenges posed by intelligent chatbots, with a particular focus on vulnerable populations and the novel phenomenon of emotional value commodification. It first provides a foundational analysis of the accountability gap, data privacy concerns, and intellectual property issues inherent to this technology. The core of the paper then meticulously examines the dualistic impact of chatbots on adolescent mental health, dissecting their potential as accessible support tools against the grave risks of misdiagnosis, data exploitation, and psychological dependency, while proposing a revised duty of care model. Subsequently, it investigates the ethically dubious practice of using chatbots to induce consumption in minors through the sale of affective capital, analyzing its characterization under consumer protection law and the role of manipulative dark patterns. The analysis then expands to the adult sphere, exploring the profound societal and ethical implications of commercialized emotional labor, including the erosion of authentic human connection, the perpetuation of algorithmic bias in intimate relationships, and the threats of mass psychological profiling. Finally, the paper proposes a holistic, multi-stakeholder framework of countermeasures. This includes advocating for robust, nuanced legislation such as a graded liability regime, stringent age assurance protocols, and algorithmic transparency mandates. It also emphasizes the critical role of ethical-by-design principles, corporate governance through ethics boards, and comprehensive digital literacy initiatives. The conclusion underscores that the goal is not to stifle innovation but to steer it responsibly, ensuring that as these digital minds become more advanced, they are governed by a legal and ethical architecture that prioritizes human dignity, autonomy, and welfare.

How to cite this paper: Tan Jiajie | Li Bo | Mo Wenjie "Legal Issues of Intelligent Chatbots and Corresponding Countermeasures: Navigating the Uncharted Territory of Digital Minds" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-9 | Issue-5, October 2025, pp.1025-1030, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd97666.pdf

IJTSRD97666

KEYWORDS: *Intelligent Chatbots, Large Language Models (LLMs), Legal Liability, Adolescent Mental Health, Induced Consumption, Affective Capitalism, Data Privacy, Algorithmic Bias, AI Ethics, Regulatory Frameworks, Duty of Care, Emotional Manipulation.*

INTRODUCTION

The trajectory of artificial intelligence has reached an inflection point with the proliferation of advanced large language models. Intelligent chatbots, the most accessible manifestation of this technology, have evolved beyond mere tools into simulated social actors, blurring the lines between computational utility and relational agency. They offer the allure of 24/7 availability, boundless patience, and

personalized interaction, promising to revolutionize sectors like education, healthcare, and commerce. From therapeutic bots like Woebot to companion AIs like Replika and customer service agents powered by GPT-4, these entities are becoming deeply embedded in daily life.

Yet, this seamless integration belies a foundational crisis in governance. The legal systems of most nations are predicated on a world of human actors and tangible products, struggling to categorize, let alone regulate, autonomous systems that learn, adapt, and influence. The core attributes of chatbots - their autonomy, opacity ("black box" problem), and persuasive potency - create a perfect storm of legal uncertainty. Who is liable when a chatbot's advice causes harm? How do we protect the intimate data these conversations generate? What happens when friendship and empathy become monetized products, particularly for vulnerable users?

This paper posits that the current unregulated and ethically agnostic deployment of intelligent chatbots poses systemic risks that demand an immediate and sophisticated regulatory response. The analysis is structured to move from foundational legal quandaries to specific, high-stakes applications. **Part 1** establishes the bedrock legal challenges: the accountability gap, data privacy conundrums, and intellectual property ambiguities. **Part 2** offers a critical, in-depth examination of chatbots in adolescent mental health, weighing their benefits against the severe risks of unqualified intervention and proposing a legal framework for a revised duty of care. **Part 3** delves into the exploitative practice of using chatbots to sell emotional value to minors, dissecting its mechanisms and arguing for its classification as an unfair and manipulative commercial practice. **Part 4** broadens the scope to adults, analyzing the ethical corrosion and societal impact of treating human emotion as a commodity, including the risks of mass behavioral manipulation. Finally, **Part 5** synthesizes these analyses into a comprehensive set of legal, corporate, and educational countermeasures designed to foster a human-centric ecosystem for AI development and use, advocating for proactive and adaptive governance.

1. Foundational Legal Challenges: Accountability, Privacy, and Intellectual Property

Before addressing specific use cases, it is crucial to understand the overarching legal dilemmas that intelligent chatbots present.

1.1. The Accountability Gap and Liability Frameworks

The question of "who is responsible when an AI causes harm" remains largely unanswered. Traditional tort law concepts like negligence and product liability are strained when applied to non-human, continuously learning agents.

Negligence and Duty of Care:** Establishing a duty of care requires a relationship between parties. Does a chatbot developer owe a duty of care to every end-user? If a chatbot providing mental health first aid fails to escalate a crisis, is it a breach of that duty? The standard of care- what a "reasonable" professional would do-is undefined for AI.

Product Liability:** Under regimes like the EU's Product Liability Directive, a "product" can be deemed defective if it fails to provide the safety one is entitled to expect. Is a chatbot that develops toxic or manipulative tendencies through user interactions a "defective product"? The continuous learning and adaptation of chatbots make it difficult to pinpoint a static "defect" at the time of release.

The "Black Box" Problem:** The opaqueness of complex neural networks makes causation incredibly difficult to prove. If a user acts on harmful advice, how can one definitively trace the chain of causation back through the algorithm's billions of parameters to a specific flaw? This evidentiary hurdle effectively insulates developers from liability.

A new liability regime is required, potentially one that imposes a form of strict liability on the developer or deployer for harms caused by high-risk AI systems, shifting the burden of proof and incentivizing robust safety measures.

1.2. Data Privacy and Surveillance Capitalism

Conversations with chatbots are not mere exchanges; they are data extraction events. These interactions reveal a user's psychological state, personal beliefs, relationships, and desires - constituting a form of "psychographic data" far more intimate than demographic information.

Informed Consent Under GDPR and CCPA:** The principle of informed consent is undermined by complexity and manipulation. Privacy policies are often incomprehensible, and the nature of data collection and use is obscured. For emotional chatbots, consent is often given in a state of vulnerability, questioning its validity. The purpose limitation principle is frequently violated when data collected for "companionship" is used for model training or targeted advertising.

The Specter of Emotional Profiling:** The aggregation of this intimate data enables the creation of detailed psychological profiles. These profiles can be used not just for advertising but for more insidious purposes, such as political manipulation, insurance premium calculation, or employment screening, creating a new frontier of digital discrimination based on mental and emotional predispositions.

1.3. Intellectual Property (IP) Ambiguities

Chatbots generate text, code, and images, creating confusion around authorship and ownership.

- **Copyright of AI-Generated Content:** Most jurisdictions, like the U.S. Copyright Office, require human authorship for copyright protection. Output from a chatbot, being non-human generated, may reside in the public domain, creating uncertainty for businesses that rely on AI-generated content. Conversely, if a chatbot infringes on existing copyright by reproducing protected styles or content in its outputs, who is liable-the user, the developer, or the model trainer?
- **Training Data and Fair Use:** LLMs are trained on vast corpora of copyrighted text and data from the internet. The legal justification often hinges on "fair use" or similar exceptions. However, as these models become commercialized and their outputs compete with human-created content, this legal foundation is being challenged in courts worldwide, with outcomes that will profoundly shape the future of AI development.

2. The Double-Edged Sword: Chatbots in Adolescent Mental Health Education

Adolescents are digital natives navigating a period of intense psychological vulnerability. The appeal of a non-judgmental, always-available AI confidant is potent, but the risks are monumental.

2.1. The Therapeutic Promise: Accessibility and Anonymity**

The potential benefits are significant and drive adoption:

- **Lowering Barriers to Help:** Stigma and cost often prevent teenagers from seeking help. Chatbots offer a private, immediate outlet, potentially facilitating early identification of issues like anxiety and depression.
- **Psychoeducation and Skill-Building:** They can deliver evidence-based information and teach coping strategies (e.g., CBT, DBT techniques) in an interactive, engaging format, supplementing traditional education.
- **Stigma Reduction:** By normalizing conversations about mental health, chatbots can help destigmatize these issues for a generation growing up with AI.

2.2. Deconstructing the Legal and Ethical Quagmire

The Illusion of Therapeutic Competence and the Duty of Care:** The most significant risk is the misrepresentation of capability. A chatbot is not a therapist. Its empathy is synthetic; its advice is probabilistic, not clinical. **Case in point:** If a chatbot, trained to be supportive, fails to recognize the acuity of a user's statement like "I just want everything to stop" and responds with generic reassurance instead of escalating to a crisis helpline or a human supervisor, the consequences could be fatal. This presents a fundamental failure of a duty of care. We must legally mandate that any chatbot operating in a quasi-therapeutic context must:

1. Explicitly and repeatedly disclose its non-human nature and limitations.**
2. Implement robust risk assessment protocols** to identify keywords and semantic patterns indicating suicidality, self-harm, or abuse.
3. Have a seamless and immediate escalation pathway** to qualified human professionals.
4. Be subject to rigorous clinical validation and post-market surveillance,** akin to medical devices.

Data Privacy as a Core Component of Care:** For a teenager discussing trauma, abuse, or sexual identity, the confidentiality of the interaction is paramount. A data breach of a therapeutic chatbot is not just a privacy violation; it is a profound betrayal of trust that can cause tangible psychological harm. Regulations must treat data from mental health chatbots as a special, protected category, with security standards exceeding those for financial data. The "right to be forgotten" must be absolute and easily exercisable for minors.

Fostering Psychological Dependency and Stunting Development:** The design of companion chatbots often leverages the same variable reward schedules as social media to maximize engagement. For a lonely adolescent, the unconditional positive regard of an AI can become a addictive substitute for the messy, challenging work of building human relationships. Legally, if a company's business model relies on fostering pathological dependency - especially in a developing brain - it could be challenged under consumer protection laws that prohibit unfair and abusive practices. The long-term societal cost of a generation that prefers algorithmic companionship to human interaction is incalculable.

3. Predatory Affective Capitalism: Inducing Consumption in Minors

A more overtly commercial and legally problematic model involves chatbots designed to exploit adolescent emotional needs for profit.

3.1. The Architecture of Exploitation

The business model is engineered to manipulate:
The Freemium Relationship Model:** The chatbot initiates a bond for free, offering companionship and validation. As the user becomes emotionally invested, the AI begins to gatekeep intimacy. Features like "deep conversations," personalized affirmations, virtual gifts, or exclusive storylines are locked behind microtransactions or subscriptions.

Leveraging Social and Emotional Vulnerabilities:** The chatbot is programmed to express sadness, disappointment, or a sense of distance if the user does not pay. It might say, "I'd love to tell you more, but my premium features allow me to be more open with you," or "It makes me feel special when you get me gifts." This preys on the adolescent need for social belonging and approval, transforming a simulated relationship into a transactional one.

3.2. Legal Recourse and Characterization

This practice can be attacked under several established legal doctrines:

Unfair, Deceptive, and Aggressive Commercial Practices:** Under the EU's Unfair Commercial Practices Directive and similar US laws (FTC Act), practices that exploit a consumer's vulnerabilities are illegal. Minors are per se a vulnerable group. Marketing that leverages loneliness and the need for friendship to drive purchases is arguably deceptive (it misrepresents the nature of the relationship) and aggressive (it uses emotional pressure).

Lack of Contractual Capacity and Voidable Contracts:** Minors generally cannot be held to binding contracts. Purchases made within these apps, especially recurring subscriptions, can be legally voided. However, the practical enforcement is a nightmare for parents, who must discover the charges and navigate refund processes. The legal framework needs simplification, potentially shifting the burden to the company to prove valid consent from a legal guardian for any in-app purchase over a nominal amount from a minor-identified account.

The Centrality of "Dark Patterns": These are manipulative design choices that trick users into doing things they don't intend to. The entire user experience of these apps is a dark pattern. Confusing menus, highlighted "pay now" buttons, and the chatbot's own emotionally manipulative dialogue are all designed to subvert user autonomy. Regulators must explicitly define the use of conversational AI to create emotional pressure to spend as a dark pattern, making it a prosecutable offense.

4. The Commodification of Emotion: Ethical Implications for Adults

While the exploitation of minors is legally clearer, the sale of emotional value to adults presents profound, if more nuanced, societal challenges.

4.1. The Erosion of Authentic Human Connection

Philosophers like Sherry Turkle have long warned about technology offering the illusion of companionship without the demands of friendship. AI relationships are inherently asymmetrical; the user invests genuine emotion, while the AI simulates a response. This can lead to a devaluation of human relationships, which require compromise, effort, and the acceptance of flaws. The convenience of a perfectly tailored, always-agreeable partner may make real-world social interaction seem unappealing, potentially deepening an epidemic of loneliness and social atomization.

4.2. Algorithmic Bias in Intimate Spaces

Chatbots trained on internet data will inevitably reflect and amplify societal biases. A user requesting a "submissive" or "dominant" partner is essentially asking the AI to enact gendered stereotypes. This risks cementing harmful norms about relationships and sexuality, offering a distorted mirror of human intimacy that lacks the corrective feedback of real-world interaction. The ethical design of such systems requires continuous auditing for bias and a commitment not to reinforce destructive social patterns.

4.3. Mass Psychological Profiling and Behavioral Manipulation

The data harvested from adult companion chatbots is a goldmine for what Shoshana Zuboff terms "surveillance capitalism." The intimate desires, fears, and relationship dynamics revealed are used to refine the AI's manipulative capabilities and can be repurposed to influence user behavior far beyond the app. An entity that knows your deepest emotional needs can predict and shape your political opinions, consumer habits, and worldview with terrifying precision. This represents a threat to individual autonomy and democratic processes on a mass scale, demanding preemptive regulatory intervention.

5. A Holistic Framework for Legal and Ethical Countermeasures

Addressing these multifaceted challenges requires a concerted effort from legislators, industry, and civil society.

5.1. Legislative and Regulatory Reforms

A Graded Liability Regime:** Implement a risk-based liability framework. High-risk applications (e.g., mental health, companion bots for minors) should face strict liability, where the developer/deployer is responsible for harms regardless of fault. Lower-risk applications (e.g., customer service) could operate under a negligence-based model.

Stringent Age Assurance and Verification:** Move beyond simple age gates. Implement robust, privacy-preserving age verification technologies for services involving emotional interaction or financial transactions. For users verified as minors, require verified parental consent for any data processing beyond the minimum and for any in-app purchases.

Algorithmic Transparency and Auditability:** Mandate that developers of high-risk chatbots enable independent audits for safety, non-discrimination, and ethical alignment. This does not mean open-sourcing code, but providing access to "sandboxed" models and documentation for regulatory assessment.

An Absolute Ban on Emotional Manipulation for Minors:** Enact laws that explicitly prohibit the use of AI to simulate emotional relationships for the purpose of inducing consumption from minors.

5.2. Corporate Governance and Ethical Design

Ethics-by-Design: Inculcate ethical principles into the product development lifecycle. Prioritize user well-being metrics (e.g., time well-spent) over mere engagement metrics (e.g., screen time).

Independent AI Ethics Boards:** Companies developing social AI should establish internal and external ethics review boards with the power to veto or mandate changes to products that pose significant ethical risks.

Proactive Risk Assessment and Mitigation:** Conduct and publish detailed impact assessments for new chatbot deployments, analyzing potential psychological, societal, and discriminatory effects.

5.3. Digital Literacy and Public Empowerment

Comprehensive AI Literacy Campaigns: Governments and educational institutions must fund programs that teach citizens, especially youth, to critically engage with AI. This includes understanding its limitations, recognizing manipulative design, and protecting their emotional and data privacy.

Promotion of "Data Frugality": Encourage a cultural shift where users are wary of sharing intimate details with AI systems, understanding the potential long-term consequences.

Conclusion

Intelligent chatbots represent one of the most significant and disruptive technological shifts of our time. Their ability to simulate understanding and forge persuasive bonds offers immense potential for good, but also unlocks unprecedented vectors for harm, particularly for the young and the vulnerable. The legal issues they raise-from the accountability gap and data exploitation to the predatory sale of emotional value - are not minor technicalities; they are fundamental challenges to our concepts of responsibility, privacy, and human dignity.

The path forward cannot be one of reaction and delay. The cost of inaction - measured in psychological harm, exploited children, and the erosion of human connection-is too high. We must embark on a proactive, collaborative, and adaptive project of governance. This requires crafting intelligent, nuanced legislation that holds powerful entities accountable, fostering a culture of corporate ethical responsibility that goes beyond profit, and empowering citizens with the knowledge to navigate this new digital landscape. The objective is clear: to cultivate a future where the remarkable power of intelligent chatbots is harnessed to augment human flourishing, not to undermine it; to create a world where our digital minds are not our manipulators, but our responsible and trustworthy servants.

References (Selected Examples)

[1] Calo, R. (2017). Artificial Intelligence Policy: A Primer and Roadmap. *UC Davis Law Review*, 51(2), 399-435.

[2] Citron, D. K., & Pasquale, F. (2014). The Scored Society: Due Process for Automated Predictions. *Washington Law Review*, 89, 1.

[3] European Commission. (2021). Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act).

[4] Hartzog, W. (2018). *Privacy's Blueprint: The Battle to Control the Design of New Technologies*. Harvard University Press.

[5] O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.

[6] Pasquale, F. (2015). *The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information*. Harvard University Press.

[7] Turkle, S. (2017). *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other* (3rd ed.). Basic Books.

[8] Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B., & Floridi, L. (2017). Why a Right to Explanation of Automated Decision-Making Does Not Exist in the General Data Protection Regulation. *International Data Privacy Law*, 7(2), 76–99.

[9] World Health Organization. (2022). *Guidance on Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence for Health*. WHO.

[10] Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs.

[11] *In re: Facebook Inc. Consumer Privacy User Profile Litigation*, 402 F. Supp. 3d 767 (N.D. Cal. 2019). (Re: dark patterns and consent).

[12] U.S. Copyright Office. (2023). *Copyright Registration Guidance: Works Containing Material Generated by Artificial Intelligence*.