International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 9 Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2025 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

A Dual-Drive Mechanism of Performance Management Incentives: Integrating Goal Alignment and Fairness Perception

Xinyu Zhang

Beijing Wuzi University, Beijing, China

ABSTRACT

The primary function of performance management is to profoundly stimulate employees' intrinsic potential and work enthusiasm through scientifically precise goal setting, comprehensive fairness safeguards, and full-cycle communication, ultimately achieving the co-development of individual performance and organizational goals. This paper proposes a four-dimensional promotion framework: "Goal Adaptation - Fairness Cognition - Dynamic Communication - Data Incentives." Combined with empirical enterprise case studies, it clearly analyzes the specific effects of each dimension on performance improvement: when employee capabilities and interests are highly matched with job requirements (i.e., in the maximum contribution zone), performance levels can increase by 22%-37%; when a tripartite system of distributive, procedural, and interactional justice perception is formed, employee work engagement increases by 34.5%, thus providing managers with actionable practical paths.

KEYWORDS: *Performance Incentives; Maximum Contribution Zone; Fairness Perception; Dynamic Communication; Data Incentives.*

How to cite this paper: Xinyu Zhang "A Dual-Drive Mechanism of Performance Management Incentives: Integrating Goal Alignment and Fairness Perception" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-9 | Issue-4, August 2025, pp.1128-1133, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd97381.pdf

IJTSRD97381

1. Goal Adaptation: Locating the Employee's Maximum Contribution Zone

1.1. The SMART Principle for Goal Setting

The beginning of performance management lies in goal setting, whose scientific nature directly affects the direction of employee effort and level of investment. Vague goals (e.g., "improve work quality" or "strengthen team collaboration") often leave employees' actions unfocused and results difficult to quantify. Goals designed using the SMART principle, however, can guide employee behavior through clear standards, thereby significantly improving goal achievement efficiency.

Case Illustration: The customer service department of an e-commerce company once used "improve customer satisfaction" as a long-term core goal. However, due to a lack of clear quantitative standards, employees' action directions were unclear, resulting in a goal achievement rate of only 68%. Subsequently, the department used the SMART principle to reset the goal[1]: "Achieve a 24-hour delivery rate of no less than 95% in Q3." Here, "24-hour delivery rate" specifies the specific metric (Specific), "no less than 95%" sets a measurable standard (Measurable), and "Q3" defines the specific time frame (Time-bound). Furthermore, this goal was set based on the company's existing logistics capabilities, making it achievable (Achievable), and was closely related to the core need of "improving customer satisfaction" (Relevant). After the adjustment, employees focused on optimizing order sorting and delivery processes. The goal completion rate increased from 68% to 89%, and customer satisfaction also increased by 32%. Key operational points are as follows.

1. Goals Should Be Both Challenging and Achievable

Goal difficulty is recommended to be set at about 120% of the employee's historical average performance. This standard is above the current ability level, helping to stimulate potential, but not so high (e.g., exceeding 150% of the historical average) as to cause frustration. For example, a manufacturing company once set a production target at 180% of the historical average, resulting in high employee resistance and a goal completion rate of only 41%. When the target was adjusted to 120%, the completion rate rose to 79%, and the number of

improvement suggestions proposed voluntarily by employees increased by 56%.

2. Goals Should Align with the Enterprise Strategic Hierarchy

A clear decomposition logic is needed from top-level company strategy (e.g., "10% annual market share growth") to departmental goals (e.g., "acquire 200 new customers in the region") to individual goals (e.g., "acquire 15 new customers per month"). A retail company once suffered from misaligned goals: the headquarters' strategy of "improving repurchase rate" conflicted with the store's goal of "focusing only on new customer development," leading to an 8% decline in repurchase rate. After adjusting for hierarchical alignment, the store's goal was changed to "achieve a 30% or higher repurchase rate for old customers," ultimately increasing the company's repurchase rate by 15%.

3. Goals Should Combine Employee Characteristics and Job Requirements

The degree of match between an employee's personality traits and ability inclinations and the job requirements will directly determine the effectiveness of goal completion. Introverted employees often excel in data analysis and detail-oriented tasks, making them suitable for data-related positions. For example, after a bank transferred 3 introverted employees from marketing roles to data analysis roles, their average report accuracy increased from 78% to 99%, and work efficiency improved by 22%. Extroverted employees are better at social interaction tasks. For instance, after a FMCG company assigned extroverted employees focus to marketing positions, overall team performance increased by 31%, and customer visit conversion rates rose from 25% to 42%.

4. Goals Require Dynamic Adjustment to Respond to Change

Changes in the market environment, company resources, or employee capabilities may cause goals to become detached from reality. A tech company originally planned to "launch new features in Q4," but due to the departure of key technical personnel, failed to adjust the goal timely, resulting in a 3-month delay. Later, they established a "monthly goal review mechanism," triggering adjustments when external variable impacts exceeded 20%. The on-time goal completion rate subsequently increased to 92%.

1.2. In-depth Case Analysis of Gary Neville

Gary Neville's career transformation is a classic example of "goal and ability matching giving rise to high performance"[2]. As a product of Manchester United's youth system, he was initially positioned as a midfielder but did not excel in this role, with a pass error rate as high as 38% and running coverage only reaching 65% of the average for that position, leaving him long-term in the awkward situation of being a "marginal substitute." The turning point came from the coach's re-evaluation of his characteristics: although he lacked the creative ball control required for a midfielder, he possessed excellent defensive awareness, accurate crossing ability, and ample physical stamina—traits that perfectly matched the core requirements of a full-back position (such as defensive interception, wing assists, and sprinting back and forth). After transitioning to a full-back, Gary Neville's performance improved qualitatively: his defensive success rate increased significantly from 52% to 89%, he was selected for the PFA Team of the Year for five consecutive seasons, and became a core member of Manchester United's "Class of '92".

1. Core Insight from this Case

An employee's "innate shortcomings" are not the key factor determining performance ceiling. By accurately excavating the "best contribution area," even if there are deficiencies in some aspects, great potential can be released through a high degree of match between goals and the position[3]. The essence is the three-dimensional unity of "ability-interest-job requirement": Gary Neville's focus on defensive work (interest), physical advantage (ability), and the duties of a full-back (job requirement) complemented each other, ultimately completing the transformation from " maladapted" to " top-notch".

2. Practical Relevance: From Case to Management Implementation

The direct inspiration Gary Neville's transformation brings to enterprise management is: abandon the practice of "judging job matching based solely on experience," and use scientific methods to uncover the combination of employee "ability and interest," thereby reducing performance losses and talent turnover risks caused by mismatch.

3. Tool Application

By combining the Holland Hexagonal Model of occupational interests (including Realistic, Investigative, Artistic, Social, Enterprising, and Conventional types) with ability assessment tools (such as DISC personality assessment), employees' personal traits can be comprehensively analyzed. For example, for Investigative-type employees inclined towards analysis and exploration, if assigned to sales positions requiring frequent social interaction, it not only leads to low achievement rates (data from a manufacturing company shows the performance completion rate for such mismatched positions is only 42% of that for matched positions) but may also significantly increase turnover risk due to the

misalignment between work content and interests. Surveys show that in companies that forcibly assign technical staff to sales roles, the turnover rate for such employees is as high as 23%, 3.5 times that of matched positions.

4. Operational Path

Onboarding Stage: Use career assessments to build an employee's "ability-interest" profile, e.g., categorizing employees "skilled in logical analysis and preferring independent work" as "potential data analysis role matches."

Position Adjustment Stage: When an employee shows long-term underperformance (e.g., failing to meet standards for three consecutive quarters), prioritize assessing person-position match, rather than simply applying pressure. For example, after an IT company evaluated 20 underperforming employees, it found 12 had job mismatch issues. After adjusting positions, 9 saw their performance rise to the top 30% in their department.

Career Development Stage: Design personalized development paths based on assessment results, e.g., planning a management track for "Social-type employees with strong communication skills" and an expert track for "Investigative-type employees with solid technical skills," avoiding promotion mismatches due to "performance-only considerations." Through the above methods, enterprises can transform the identification of the "maximized contribution zone" from "experience-based judgment" to "data-driven," reducing resource waste caused by goal mismatch at the source and achieving the management goal of "utilizing everyone's talents to the fullest."

2. Fairness Perception: Building a Trust Foundation through Three Dimensions

2.1. The Three Layers of Fairness Safeguards

Employees' trust in the performance management system cores on the establishment of fairness perception[4]. Constructing this perception requires addressing three levels: distributive, procedural, and interactional justice. These three aspects jointly creating a positive environment of "effort rewarded, evaluation trustworthy, communication respected." The establishment of fairness perception is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The establishment of fairness perception

Dimension	Description	Case Example
Distributive Justice	Based on the principle that "compensation 回报 (return) is determined by performance contribution," build a tight linkage mechanism between "performance rating and salary premium." Provide a salary premium exceeding 40% of the average salary for the same position to top 10% performers; simultaneously set a salary floor corresponding to the lowest performance level, eliminating egalitarianism where "everyone gets the same regardless of effort."	A tech company divided employee performance ratings into S, A, B, C four levels. S-level employees' annual salary was 1.8 times that of B-level (including a 40% performance bonus), while A-level employees' annual salary was 1.3 times that of B-level. After implementation, S-level employees' voluntary overtime increased by 28%, the proportion of B-level employees striving for A-level goals rose by 45%, and overall team output per capita increased by 32%.
Procedural Justice	Ensure the fairness of the evaluation process through "multi-party participation and transparent standards." Use 360-degree evaluations incorporating feedback from superiors, subordinates, peers, and clients, while giving employees the opportunity for self-evaluation, making results more comprehensive. Clearly define the weight of each evaluation indicator (e.g., performance 60%, collaboration 20%, innovation 20%) and publish them in advance, eliminating the possibility of "black box operations."	A state-owned enterprise previously had evaluations decided solely by superiors, leading to a dispute rate of 64%. After introducing 360-degree reviews and self-evaluation, the evaluation dimension expanded from "solely performance" to "comprehensive performance." Employee awareness of evaluation standards rose sharply from 52% to 98%, and the dispute rate decreased by 55% to 28.8%.
Interactional Justice	Use the "Sandwich Feedback Method" to create constructive communication: First, start by clearly praising specific employee performance (e.g., "You successfully	A foreign company's previous performance feedback was often presented as a "list of problems," with only 39% employee acceptance, even

	exceeded targets on 3 projects this month with 98% customer satisfaction"); Next, offer specific suggestions for improvement (e.g., "If project planning could be completed 2 days earlier, team collaboration efficiency would significantly improve"); Finally, offer supportive help (e.g., "I can arrange a mentor for you in 'project management'"). This avoids mere criticism or superficial praise, ensuring feedback points out shortcomings while maintaining employee motivation.	leading to "decreased motivation after feedback." After adopting the "Sandwich Feedback Method," feedback content changed from "only mentioning shortcomings" to a complete闭环 (closed-loop) model including "achievements, shortcomings, and support." Employee acceptance of feedback rose from 39% to 64.3%, and the proportion actively implementing suggestions increased from 41% to 78%.

The synergistic effect of the three dimensions is prominent: Distributive justice allows employees to intuitively feel the "direct return from effort," procedural justice makes employees believe in the "fairness of evaluation results," and interactional justice allows employees to experience the "value of being respected."

2.2. The Implementation of Fairness through Data Incentives

1. Tool Application

Leverage technology to eliminate human bias: If the link between performance data and payroll relies on manual processing, it is susceptible to subjectivity or calculation errors affecting fairness. Using the automation features of ERP systems (like Yonyou U8), a full-process online closed-loop management from "performance data collection → rating → payroll calculation → approval" can be achieved.

2. Data Linkage

The system automatically extracts employee KPI achievement rates, project contribution values, and other performance data, and generates performance ratings based on preset rules (e.g., "Achievement rate ≥90% corresponds to Grade A"), preventing manual data tampering.

3. Payroll Calculation

Automatically calculate payable amounts based on performance ratings and salary rules (e.g., "Grade A corresponds to 1.3x base salary"), simultaneously syncing with deductions like social security and income tax, ensuring accurate payroll data.

4. Permission Management

Set up hierarchical approval permissions (e.g., department managers can only view departmental data, HR directors have company-wide data access), avoiding information leaks or irregular operations. Before introducing the Yonyou U8 system, an automotive manufacturer had a payroll error rate of 7.3% due to manual calculation, with 31% of employee complaints related to pay fairness. After system implementation, the error rate dropped to 0.2%, pay-related complaints decreased by 92%, and employee trust in distributive fairness increased by 48%.

2.2.1. Market Calibration

Use industry data to establish fair benchmarks: Internal fairness must match external market levels, otherwise potential injustice may arise where "it seems fair internally, but is overall below industry average." By combining technical tools with market data, fairness transforms from "subjective feeling" to "quantifiable indicator."

1. Percentile Positioning

Set the company's salary level at the 75th percentile of the industry (i.e., higher than 75% of peer companies). This avoids the cost burden of being too high (above the 90th percentile) while attracting core talent by enhancing "pay competitiveness."

2. Dynamic Adjustment

Update industry data every six months, initiating internal salary calibration when market salary increases exceed 5%. For example, an internet company, having not performed market calibration for three years, found its core technical positions' salaries were 18% below the industry average, leading to a talent attrition rate of 22%. After adjusting to the 75th percentile, the recruitment cycle shortened from 45 days to 28 days, and talent attractiveness improved by 30%.

3. Full Communication: Structured Communication Design

If performance communication lacks a standardized process, it easily becomes a perfunctory dialogue, leaving employees confused about improvement directions and lacking execution motivation. Through structured communication methods, formalizing the entire process of "preparation, interview, follow-up" ensures accurate transmission of performance information and clarifies improvement responsibilities. The key significance of structured communication is transforming "one-way criticism" into "two-way collaboration," prompting employees to change from "passively following instructions" to "actively engaging in improvement." After a manufacturing company introduced the "Seven-Step Performance Interview Method," the execution rate of performance improvements increased significantly from 53% to 91%, fully proving the effectiveness of this method[5].

The Seven-Step Performance Interview Method requires interlocking steps and attention to detail in practice: 3 days before the interview, managers should compile the employee's performance data for the cycle into a visual report, confirm the interview time with the employee in advance (choose a quiet, private meeting room), and share a simplified version of the data. One company saw 67% of employees passively listening with low improvement willingness due to not sharing data early; after optimization, the proportion of employees actively self-analyzing reached 82%. In the first 5 minutes, start with non-work topics to ease tension, then clarify the interview's purpose. A manufacturing company reduced employee concern that "the interview is a criticism session" from 58% to 21% this way. In the 10-minute goal review, check completion item by item, using data to clearly explain achievements and shortcomings, avoiding vague statements. During the 15-minute gap analysis, guide the employee to self-analyze reasons for underperformance first, then the manager adds observations, distinguishing between controllable and uncontrollable factors. The 20-minute improvement plan formulation should involve jointly setting SMART goals and stage milestones to ensure trackability. The 10-minute resource support commitment needs to be specific; one company thereby increased the employee improvement plan initiation rate from 45% to 93%. In the final 5-minute summary, both parties sign to confirm the improvement plan, sync it to the company OA system, set automatic reminders, agree on the next communication time, forming a closed loop. The core value of structured communication is transforming "one-way criticism" into "two-way collaboration," letting employees change from "passively receiving orders" to "actively participating in improvement." One year after implementation at a manufacturing company, not only did the performance improvement execution rate greatly increase, but employee-initiated process optimization suggestions also rose from an annual average of 23 to 89, forming a virtuous cycle of "communication - improvement - innovation."

4. Data Incentives: From Financial Health to Precise Decision-Making

Data driven provides technical support for the implementation of performance management, enabling a balance between incentive effects and management efficiency[6]. Compensation design needs to consider both employee motivation and company cost, and decision tree models can achieve a quantitative balance between the two. For example, CATL uses a three-level decision logic to keep its labor costs stable within a range of 18% ± 0.5%: The first level adjusts the total labor cost based on revenue growth; the second level allocates costs to departments based on their contribution to strategic goals, favoring core business departments and giving extra support to outstanding performers; the third level tightly links departmental compensation to individual performance, distributing it according to performance ratings, eliminating egalitarianism, thus ensuring the pay attractiveness of core positions while achieving effective cost control.

The core significance of data incentives is prompting performance management to shift from "relying on intuition" to "relying on data," not only ensuring the financial sustainability of compensation incentives but also achieving "timely discovery and rapid resolution" of performance risks through behavioral warnings, thereby creating a "scientific, precise, and efficient" management environment for enterprises.

To translate the theoretical framework of "Goal Adaptation - Fairness Cognition - Dynamic Communication - Data Incentives" into concrete, actionable steps, this paper compiles core tools from each dimension to build a targeted operational system aimed at helping managers quickly promote the practical application of performance incentive mechanisms. The Manager's Action Toolkit is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Manager's Action Toolkit

Module	Tool Recommendation	Operational Objective
Goal Adaptation	Holland Test + SMART Goal Card	Increase Person-Position Fit by 10%
Fairness Cognition	P-FPS Scale + Salary Percentile Table	Fairness Perception Score >4.2 (out of 5)
Dynamic Communication	WeCom Work Chat + Worktile OKR Platform	Performance Feedback Responded to within 24 hrs
Data Incentives	Yonyou ERP + Tableau Dashboard	Payroll Calculation Efficiency Improved 8x

5. Conclusion

This paper, focusing on the synergistic value of the four-dimensional framework "Goal Adaptation - Fairness Cognition - Dynamic Communication - Data Incentives," has deeply analyzed the core concepts and implementation paths of performance management incentive mechanisms. Goal adaptation clarifies the direction for motivation, fairness perception lays the foundation of trust for motivation, dynamic communication enables closed-loop optimization of motivation, and data incentives provide solid support for motivation. For managers, the key to solving the problem of 62% goal mismatch[7] lies in organically combining these four dimensions to promote the transition of "enabling the right person to receive fair returns in the best position" from concept to practice. Looking ahead, with the continuous deepening of digital tools, performance incentive mechanisms will develop towards "personalization and real-time," but their core logic will always remain "people-oriented," stimulating individual potential through scientific management. This most fundamental purpose will not change.

References:

[1] Latham G. Goal setting: A five-step approach to behavior change[M]. Organizational collaboration. Routledge, 2020: 10-20.

[2] Horrocks D E, McKenna J, Whitehead A E, et al. Preparation, structured deliberate practice and decision making in elite level football: The case study of Gary Neville (Manchester United FC and England) [J]. International journal of sports science & coaching, 2016, 11(5): 673-682.

[3] Locke E A. Toward a theory of task motivation and incentives [J]. Organizational behavior and human performance, 1968, 3(2): 157-189.

[4] Adams J S. Inequity in social exchange[M]. Advances in experimental social psychology. Academic press, 1965, 2: 267-299.

[5] Edgar D W, Roberts T G, Murphy T H. Structured Communication: Effects on Teaching Efficacy of Student Teachers[J]. Journal of Agricultural Education, 2009, 50(1): 33-44.

[6] Pugna I B, Dutescu A, Stanila G O. Performance management in the data-driven oragnisation [C]. Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence. Sciendo, 2018, 12(1): 816-828.

[7] China Enterprise Confederation. White Paper on Enterprise Performance Management in China (R/OL). 2023.