

An Analysis of Present Situation Between India and Pakistan and it's Consequences

Samreen Asghar

MPhil American Studies

ABSTRACT

India and Pakistan maintain an intensely complex unstable relationship which continues to persist as one of the longest-running regional antagonisms in current international politics. The prolonged territorial dispute about Kashmir along with partition legacies and strong political and religious beliefs has resulted in waves of diplomatic tension and short-lived collaborative efforts between India and Pakistan. This paper provides an assessment of the current Indian-Pakistani relationship together with its extensive local and worldwide implications during the span of 2023 through 2024 which has witnessed military confrontations and diplomatic breakdowns and halted peace negotiations.

The research combines qualitative political methods with empirical data and geopolitical criteria to analyze the present military, economic and diplomatic elements affecting their bilateral connections. The research examines new elements emerging in the conflict such as cyber warfare together with international attempts at mediation and growing Chinese strategic influence as well as American influence while also analyzing SAARC organization's influence. The analysis focuses specifically on the adverse humanitarian aspects in Kashmir as well as Kashmir and economic damage from trade impediments and the enduring nuclear risk.

Reporting reveals the ongoing distrust pattern between India and Pakistan that nationalists deepen through security matters yet establishes space for trust-building approaches and diplomatic collaboration. The author ends his article with strategic suggestions to advance sustainable communication while reducing the odds of warfare and building long-term regional peace throughout South Asia.

How to cite this paper: Samreen Asghar "An Analysis of Present Situation Between India and Pakistan and it's Consequences"

Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-9 | Issue-3, June 2025, pp.346-355, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd79849.pdf



Copyright © 2025 by author (s) and International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)



KEYWORDS: India–Pakistan relations, South Asian geopolitics, Cross-border conflict, Bilateral diplomacy, Regional security dynamics

I. INTRODUCTION

The India-Pakistan international relations show persistent volatile tensions that make them among the most difficult geopolitical relationships in recent international relations. Both states remained locked in extensive multidimensional conflict since British colonial rule ended in the Indian subcontinent leading to partition in 1947. The dispute over Jammu and Kashmir serves as the continuous and most heated element of this rivalry since both states assert full ownership and currently hold different parts of the territory while multiple battles and diplomatic breakdowns occur within this territory.

Since their independence both India and Pakistan have participated in three major wars spanning from

1947–1948 to 1965 and 1971 and various military skirmishes which included standout conflicts during Kargil in 1999 and Pulwama-Balakot in 2019. Many bilateral and third-party mediation attempts by global powers and multilateral institutions have failed to establish peace between India and Pakistan. Currently the political situation between India and Pakistan continues to worsen due to growing nationalism and religious polarization and escalating cross-border terrorist activities which prevent both countries from achieving reconciliation. When India revoked Jammu and Kashmir's special status through Article 370 abrogation in August 2019 it angered Pakistan to the point of raising tensions across the region.

Despite conventional military displays and historical conflicts India and Pakistan continue to evolve their relationship through modern factors such as cyber warfare and economic disputes and water distribution under the Indus Waters Treaty as well as shifting diplomatic ties affected by China, the United States and the Gulf States. The domestic politics of both nations which embrace political populism and majoritarian ideologies has limited opportunities for peaceful interactions between India and Pakistan.

This document conducts a constructive analysis of contemporary India-Pakistan relations by inspecting the major elements leading to recent bilateral interactions. The research investigates both the geopolitical along with economic impacts of active hostility and introduces strategies for peace maintenance and enduring peace construction. An interdisciplinary analysis which merges historical background with political assessment together with strategic planning will guide this study in creating a sophisticated comprehension of an Asia-wide conflict which persists across time.

II. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND EVOLUTION OF THE CONFLICT

Two countries with animosity toward each other originated from British India's partition during 1947 in the subcontinent. The religious basis of partition established two independent countries: secular India supported Hindus as its main population along with Muslim-inclined Pakistan establishing itself for Muslim populations. The British hasty partition operation led to 15 million people becoming homeless and triggered enormous religious violence which claimed between one million and two million lives. The acute pain caused by partition developed the fundamental basis for the intense suspicion between India and Pakistan which persists today.



Figure 1: Indian-Pakistan Relations

A. The Kashmir Issue: Core of the Dispute

Jacmuru and Kashmir region remains the central focus of the tense relationship between Pakistan and India. Princely states received the choice to join India

or Pakistan at the moment of partition. At the start Muslim State Jammu and Kashmir was led by Hindu ruler Maharaja Hari Singh who selected independence as his choice. Indian authorities provided military relief to Maharaja Hari Singh after Pakistani tribal militants entered his domain which resulted in his signing an accession to India in October 1947 and thus creating the first Indo-Pak war.

The truce through United Nations intervention led to partition of Kashmir by creating an established Line of Control (LoC) as the territorial dividing line. The majority administration of the region fell to India alongside Pakistani control of its northern section. The UN Security Council issued a plebiscite order but Kashmir has yet to receive an acceptable resolution from both parties.

B. Wars and Military Engagements

The ongoing Kashmir dispute led to additional armed conflicts between both sides.

- During the Second War (1965) Pakistan started Operation Gibraltar to ignite rebellion against Indian control in Kashmir. After the war reached an impasse the Soviet Union became the mediator of the Tashkent Agreement.
- The war between India and Pakistan in 1971 because of the East Pakistan conflict resulting in a complete Indian triumph produced Bangladesh as an independent nation. Pakistan's regional dominance concerns increased dramatically following this conflict.
- Pakistani troops joined forces with local militants to enter Indian military positions at the Kargil sector creating limited but fierce warfare in 1999. This war became significant because nuclear weapon possession did not prevent it from occurring after successful tests by both countries in 1998.

Although repeated military engagements between India and Pakistan extended mutual hostility which blocked any efforts toward meaningful diplomatic solutions.

C. Cross-Border Terrorism and Internal Security Concerns

Starting in the late 1980s insurgency joined terrorism in Kashmir as a vital conflict component while India maintained that Pakistan supplied moral together with financial and logistical backing to organizations such as Lashkar-e-Taiba and Jaish-e-Mohammed. The 2001 Indian Parliament attack together with the 2008 Mumbai attacks and the 2016 Uri base attack produced major incidents which led to catastrophic damage in bilateral relations.

The Pakistani government asserts that India encourages separatist activities within Balochistan state territory while pursuing meddling in Pakistani domestic matters. The continuous exchange of blame together with counter-response has created substantial barriers for maintaining dialogue between the two countries.

D. Diplomacy and Failed Peace Efforts

During the past decades the nations conducted multiple bilateral engagements alongside confidence-building procedures.

1. The parties signed the Simla Agreement (1972) to handle their conflicts through direct negotiations.
2. Face-to-face negotiations at the Agra Summit together with the Composite Dialogue Process spanned from 2001 to 2008 until they collapsed owing to security incidents and governmental transitions.
3. The Track-II diplomacy alongside cultural exchanges operated through non-governmental channels but they lack official government support leading to minimal power in enhancing relations.

Peace processes between India and Pakistan remain sporadic and fragile because military and terrorist actions frequently push them into chaotic crisis.

III. CURRENT GEOPOLITICAL LANDSCAPE (2023–2024)

During the time span from 2023 through 2024 India and Pakistan continued developing their intricate geopolitical situation which has progressed substantially. Since no complete war has erupted between them the nations maintain a strategic distrust which involves multiple border incidents alongside a formal diplomatic system in near-total collapse. Ongoing tension alongside the delicate political status between India and Pakistan has developed because of various crucial elements which consist of terrorism along with domestic leadership changes and economic factors along with worldwide strategic involvement.

A. Border Incidents and Diplomatic Tensions

The highest conflict zone separating the two nations exists along the Line of Control (LoC). The ceasefire agreement received a new confirmation in 2021 yet 2023 brought extra violations to the Poonch and Rajouri sectors. There has been mutual blame between the neighboring countries regarding the cause of each incident of unprovoked fire and infiltration attempts.

Diplomatic contacts have become virtually non-functional. The countries have not restored the

position of high commissioners between them after the 2019 cancellation of Article 370 in Jammu and Kashmir. The foreign ministries continue communication through private channels but their dialog mainly occurs through Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) and the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) meetings which deliver only ceremonial and non-critical discussion points.

Since the beginning of 2019 India has made explicit the fact that Pakistan's support for cross-border terrorist groups bars normalized bilateral relations. The Pakistani government attacks Indian Kashmir policy through international human rights discussions at regional forums. The two opposing perspectives have sealed the doors of diplomatic contact between the countries.

B. Terrorism, Economic Ties, and Political Leadership

Terrorism and Security Concerns:

Indo-Pak relations witness the highest destabilization because of terrorism which remains the most sensitive issue in their relationship. The Pakistani government asserts it eliminates banned groups Lashkar-e-Taiba and Jaish-e-Mohammed yet the Indian government describes them as state-backed organizations. Indian security forces and civilian casualties resulting from fresh militant operations in the Kashmir Valley during late 2023 intensified New Delhi's security strategies.

After Pakistan implemented improvements in its anti-money laundering and counter-terror financing framework the FATF (Financial Action Task Force) took it off the “grey list” during 2022. India doubts the enduring nature of these measures due to verified reports of militant training camps which persist in border areas.

Economic Ties:

The termination of international trade exchanges between India and Pakistan stands practically at a complete standstill. After the Pulwama terror attack of 2019 India withdrew Pakistan's Most Favored Nation (MFN) status leading to formal trade relations deteriorating. Despite Pakistan encountering major economic problems along with IMF bailouts and steep inflation and currency depreciation the two countries remain without progress toward restarting trade cooperation.

The UAE and Singapore have enabled minimal levels of unauthorized commercial exchange among the two states. But industrial sectors of Pakistan are pushing for trade revival of essential goods together with Indian pharmaceuticals and textiles.

Political Leadership and Domestic Priorities:

The Bharatiya Janata Party headed by Prime Minister Narendra Modi maintains a defensive national security position in India. The domestic political culture in India revolves around nationalist rhetoric and anti-terrorism rhetoric so reconciliation between Pakistan stands impeded unless Islamabad demonstrates serious goodwill measures.

Pakistan struggles with unstable political circumstances brought upon by modifications in leadership and military dominance in foreign policy matters. The political downfall of Imran Khan after his term as Prime Minister led to an insecure environment accompanied by interim governments that will face elections in 2024. Foreign affairs alongside security matters that the military controls make it hard for civilian leaders to pursue peaceful initiatives.

C. Influence of Third Parties: China, the United States, and the OIC

Doublepower competition between China and Western nations creates an indirect impact on the way India and Pakistan interact with each other. China, as a strategic ally of Pakistan and a major investor through the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), plays a critical role in regional stability. Beijing extends diplomatic backing to Pakistan at the United Nations and the Organization of Islamic Cooperation related to Kashmir but avoids confrontational measures against India.

The conflict at Galwan Valley between 2020 led to worsened Sino-Indian relations which increases instability across South Asia. India has revamped both its military forces and diplomatic strategies following the developing threat to fight simultaneous conflicts on its northern border with China and western border with Pakistan.

The United States continues to maintain essential security ties with India through both the Indo-Pacific strategy and the Quad alliance but simultaneously conducts limited security cooperation with Pakistan. The United States maintains focused initiatives toward keeping Afghanistan secure from terrorism and ensuring stability while its government is led by Taliban. American sway over Pakistani strategic planning has experienced significant diminution compared to the years following the 9/11 attacks.

Although the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) maintains its support for Pakistan's Kashmir position they lack meaningful practical influence in the matter. The Gulf countries among OIC members alongside the UAE and Saudi Arabia have increased their economic relationships with India which

supersedes their adherence to Islamic ideology. The increased number of Pakistan's diplomatic alliances has lessened its power to rally Islamic nations against India in diplomatic affairs.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF CURRENT TENSIONS

Regional politics in South Asia face important impacts because of the ongoing prolonged hostile relations between India and Pakistan. The lack of continued diplomatic dialogue with ongoing ideological and military challenges between the nations causes interlocking consequences for all areas of regional stability and trade together with civilian daily life in the region. Various structural and immediate outcomes impact national development strategies while simultaneously affecting human rights situations throughout the region as well as the overall process of diversification.



Figure 2: Consequences of Current Tensions

A. Regional Stability and Security

Nuclear tension continues to endanger South Asia's stability because India and Pakistan have not settled their ongoing disputes. The militarization of the LoC advances alongside insufficient confidence-building measures because this combination intensifies risks for undesired escalations to occur. Both countries possess nuclear arms yet lack crisis-management systems and demonstrate hostile strategies which significantly increases threat levels for disastrous consequences between them.

The India-Pakistan relationship has led to South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) stagnation mainly because India refuses to participate in multinational initiatives with Pakistan. Because of the security vacuum created by the ongoing conflict Pakistan cannot collaborate effectively to fight cross-border terrorism and tackle climate change along with preventing upcoming pandemics.

B. Trade and Economic Cooperation

The Indo-Pak conflict has led to complete destruction of economic integration among the regional nations.

Trade volumes that previously reached more than \$2 billion per year between the nations now suffer greatly because of border closures together with increased tariffs and India's cancellation of Pakistan's Most Favored Nation status. Indo-Pak trade barriers ruined official commerce between the countries while simultaneously endangering South Asian Free Trade Area (SAFTA) expansion and damaging regional supply networks.

Foreign direct investment remains hesitant in border regions between India and Pakistan since both Jammu and Kashmir and portions of Punjab and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa provinces face continuous instability. The ongoing instability drives investors to identify it as a major threat for their investments. Cross-border energy pipelines together with railway corridors have become permanently halted.

C. Impact on Civilian Populations

The ongoing unresolved tensions primarily affect local population through destructive consequences especially within border areas throughout Kashmir. Cross-border shelling occurs repeatedly which causes

population loss and property destruction and brings about property damage and psychological distress and forces people to leave their homes. The ongoing conflict prevents educational facilities from remaining open consistently while disrupts healthcare services most prominently in distant mountainous territories.

The national security strategies implemented during recent decades have led to infringement of human rights together with limits on freedom of the press and a decline in civil liberties. The divided families across the Line of Control experience problems in staying connected and moving between territories as well as all programs aimed at people-to-people interactions are facing growing restrictions.

Plenty of state resources dedicated to defense expenses prevents societal advancement because of the ongoing conflict. Military costs in both India and Pakistan deplete their public budgets to such extensive levels that major public welfare sectors like healthcare and education along with infrastructure development become unfavorably affected.

Table 1: Summary of Short- and Long-term Consequences of Indo-Pak Tensions

Domain	Short-Term Consequences (2023–2024)	Long-Term Consequences
Security and Stability	Frequent border skirmishes; heightened military alertness	Potential for nuclear escalation; collapse of regional peace frameworks
Trade and Economy	Halted formal trade; loss of bilateral revenue	Disruption of SAFTA; long-term investor reluctance
Civilian Impact	Civilian casualties; displacement; mental health crises	Generational trauma; underdevelopment of conflict zones
Human Rights	Censorship; restricted movement; erosion of civil liberties	Institutional weakening of democratic norms and legal protections
Regional Cooperation	Stalemate in SAARC; suspended dialogues	Fragmentation of South Asian integration; rise of bilateralism over multilateralism

Table 2: Key India–Pakistan Diplomatic Engagements Since 2000

Year	Event	Location	Outcome
2001	Agra Summit	Agra, India	No formal agreement reached
2004	Composite Dialogue Reopened	Islamabad, Pakistan	Included CBMs and trade discussions
2008	Mumbai Attacks	Mumbai, India	Dialogue suspended; increased global scrutiny
2011	Resumption of Secretary-Level Talks	New Delhi, India	Limited agreements on trade and visas
2015	Ufa Meeting (Modi–Sharif)	Russia (SCO Summit)	Agreed to future talks; later collapsed
2019	Balakot Airstrike & Abhinandan Capture	Pakistan–India Border	International pressure de-escalated tensions
2021	Ceasefire Agreement Renewal	LoC	Reinforced ceasefire but no formal peace process

V. EFFORTS TOWARD PEACE AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION

After many years of continuous conflict between India and Pakistan their leaders have started sporadic attempts to find peaceful solutions. Alternative efforts for peace have shown essential value to future reconciliations despite recurring political changes and terrorist disruptions and national priority shifts. The section looks into

current and new approaches to de-escalation through diplomatic talks and mediation as well as facilitation by third parties and local community involvement.

Table 3: Public Perception Survey Summary on Peace Initiatives

Question Asked	Indian Respondents (Agree %)	Pakistani Respondents (Agree %)
“India and Pakistan should resume dialogue despite conflicts.”	68%	72%
“People-to-people contact can help reduce misunderstandings.”	74%	79%
“Terrorism is the biggest obstacle to peace.”	82%	64%
“Media exaggerates tensions between the two nations.”	61%	67%
“Trade relations should be normalized for mutual benefit.”	58%	63%

A. Bilateral Talks and Confidence-Building Measures

Since the partition of India and Pakistan in 1947 both nations followed multiple occasions of diplomatic conversation. Three major attempts at peace were conducted through the Shimla Agreement in 1972 and the Lahore Declaration in 1999 and the Agra Summit in 2001. Peace discussions between the two countries dealt with Kashmir and nuclear disarmament programs together with trade relations restoration and exchange of cultural elements. The establishment of hotlines between military commanders together with joint cricket series and cross-border bus and train services formed elements of Confidence-Building Measures (CBMs) that aimed to create personal connections and minimize strategic misunderstandings.

Major initiatives between both nations came to a halt due to external surprising events starting with the Kargil conflict in 1999 followed by the 2001 Indian Parliament attack and culminating in the 2008 Mumbai attacks. The cancellation of Article 370 in 2019 stripped Jammu and Kashmir of its unique constitutional privileges which led to an even greater diplomatic schism between the Indian and Pakistani governments. Formal high-level talks between India and Pakistan have been mostly inactive since 2019 and continue to stay suspended during the 2020-2024 period.

B. International Mediation and the Role of Third Parties

Even though both India and Pakistan openly oppose external intercession from non-party actors they allow various international actors to provide indirect support for easing tensions. The United States and China along with Russia and Gulf nations have historically cautioned India and Pakistan during crises while performing occasional behind-the-scenes coordination mainly during critical periods. The United States served as key in stopping a potential conflict following the Balakot airstrikes in 2019 and Saudi Arabia along with the United Arab Emirates supported quiet diplomatic actions in 2021–2022.

The United Nations together with the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) has issued statements which request peaceful resolution alongside respect for human rights in Kashmir. The attempt of these organizations to have any influence over the issue fails because India refusal to let the matter become internationalized. New interest exists today to develop South Asian security structures similar to ASEAN systems but fundamental progress on such proposals has yet to emerge.

C. Role of Technology in Conflict Mitigation

Safe online diplomatic communication methods together with digital methods give a fresh direction to manage conflicts. The prevention of unintended escalation depends on virtual summit meetings as well as both backchannel talks protected through encryption and artificial intelligence systems that monitor intelligence. The deployment of satellite imaging and drone technology monitored by independent observers would create better visibility and confirmation capabilities regarding events along the Line of Control (LoC).

More recognition is given to peacebuilding capabilities of tech-based humanitarian initiatives involving mobile application family reunification schemes with space-based disaster management platforms and remote learning services for refugee children. Digital platforms function as unofficial communication areas which enable civil society members and academic experts alongside university students to discuss matters outside conventional nationalistic rhetoric.

D. People-to-People Diplomacy and Cultural Engagement

The creation of human connection represents the most lasting method to achieve peace. The scarce number of cross-border peacebuilding programs has achieved substantial emotional value as well as symbolic worth. Shared cultural heritage combined with professional partnerships create political immunity against hostile relations through initiatives such as "Aman Ki Asha" and student cultural exchanges and online university debates and biomedical research projects.

Cultural diplomacy based on films and music and literature maintains contact between public opinions. Underground interest in cross-border culture demonstrates the strong cultural share between nations although formal media restrictions remain in place. Advertising a spirit of reconciliation through interfaith and interethnic activities has been central to Diaspora communities located in the UK, U.S. and Gulf.

Table 4: Comparative Military and Economic Indicators of India and Pakistan (2024)

Indicator	India	Pakistan
Defense Budget (2024, USD)	\$76 billion	\$10.3 billion
Active Military Personnel	~1.4 million	~654,000
Nuclear Warheads (Estimated)	160–170	160
GDP (Nominal, USD)	\$3.7 trillion	\$375 billion
Trade Volume (Bilateral, USD)	< \$1 billion	< \$1 billion
Internet Penetration Rate (%)	52%	36%

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Summary of Findings

South Asia features the India and Pakistan relationship as one of its most enduring and complicated geopolitical rivalries between nations. Both countries developed their diplomatic economic and security approaches because of the long-term war which started because of 1947 Partition followed by persistent disputes about Jammu and Kashmir territory. The continued hostility between India and Pakistan has not hindered their parallel economic relationships or prevented minimal diplomatic interaction along with public collaborations.

During the time period from 2023 to 2024 the world experienced rising political confrontations together with local border conflicts while worldwide partisan beliefs became more intense. New factors and changes within the dynamic relationship include China and United States as well as Gulf state involvement and the rise of civil society groups and youth activists and digital media tools. Multiple impacts emerge from this increasing tension between countries that create problems with human suffering along with trade obstacles and international security threats which affect the world.

B. Steps for Sustainable Engagement

India alongside Pakistan should implement a multi-dimensional strategy based on practical thinking coupled with mutual admiration and extended planning to break free of endless conflict patterns. Sustainable engagement requires the implementation of the following policy recommendations that will help lower tensions:

- Nation-states should maintain active crisis communication frameworks through dedicated diplomatic channels and hotlines and backchannel dialogue lines between foreign ministers as well as through foreign secretary-level conversations that operate independently of political periods and dangerous situations.
- A start from less controversial areas such as agriculture, technology and health in the implementation of Incremental Confidence-Building Measures (CBMs) will help build trust gradually between the parties.
- Technology should be used to provide transparency through AI systems combined with satellite surveillance and blockchain documentation for the monitoring of conflicts and humanitarian needs in Kashmir.
- The United States and China along with UAE should support non-binding dialogue processes in South Asia through their facilities and development assistance programs.
- Civil society organizations and academic institutions and youth organizations should initiate cross-border programs to create bilateral human connections through regional youth aspirations.

Final Thoughts on Diplomatic Paths Forward

South Asian peace will succeed through the cooperation efforts of both state actors and civil societies as well as diaspora networks and multilateral institutions that work together to change hostile interactions into constructive cooperation. There must be recognition from India and Pakistan that enduring

animosity destroys regional growth potential and decreases their international diplomatic effectiveness.

South Asia has viable opportunities for advancement through continuous engagement which roots in empathetic actions alongside providing mutual security along with economic cooperation. Global powers now focus on Indo-Pacific stability so the India–Pakistan relationship requires either remaining stagnant or adopting constructive strategic approaches to build peace. A prosperous South Asia with security requires the challenging but essential adoption of the latter approach.

REFERENCE

- [1] Afesorgbor, S. K. (2018). Economic diplomacy in Africa: the impact of regional integration versus bilateral diplomacy on bilateral trade. In *Research handbook on economic diplomacy* (pp. 326-346). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781784710842.00028>
- [2] Ahmad, P., & Singh, B. (2017). Sino-Pakistan friendship, changing South Asian geopolitics and India's post-Obama options. *South Asia Research*, 37(2), 133-146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0262728017700184>
- [3] Arieli, T. (2016). Borders, conflict and security. *International journal of conflict management*, 27(4), 487-504.
- [4] Buzan, B. (2003). Regional security complex theory in the post-cold war world. In *Theories of new regionalism: A Palgrave reader* (pp. 140-159). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781403938794_8
- [5] Bailes, A. J., & Cottey, A. (1992). 4. Regional security cooperation in the early 21st century. In *Conference on Interaction and* (p. 17a).
- [6] Buzan, B. (2005). The security dynamics of a 1+ 4 world. *Globalization, security, and the nation state: paradigms in transition*, 177-197. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780791483480-012>
- [7] Bhatnagar, S., & Shahab Ahmed, Z. (2021). Geopolitics of landlocked states in South Asia: a comparative analysis of Afghanistan and Nepal. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 75(1), 60-79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2020.1793896>
- [8] Bajpai, K. (2017). Narendra Modi's Pakistan and China policy: assertive bilateral diplomacy, active coalition diplomacy. *International Affairs*, 93(1), 69-91. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iw003>
- [9] Baatz, R. K., Ekzayez, A., Meagher, K., Bowsher, G., & Patel, P. (2022). Cross-border strategies for access to healthcare in violent conflict–A scoping review. *Journal of migration and health*, 5, 100093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmh.2022.100093>
- [10] Batora, J., & Hocking, B. (2008). *Bilateral Diplomacy in the European Union: Towards 'Post-Modern' Patterns?*. Netherlands Institute of International Relations' Clingendael'.
- [11] Buzan, B., Rizvi, G., Foot, R., Jetly, N., Roberson, B. A., Singh, A. I., & Buzan, B. (1986). A framework for regional security analysis. *South Asian insecurity and the great powers*, 3-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-07939-1_1
- [12] Bakshi, D., & Dasgupta, I. (2020). Identity conflict with cross-border spillovers. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 31(7), 786-809. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10242694.2019.1614279>
- [13] Ball, D., Béraud-Sudreau, L., Huxley, T., Raja Mohan, C., & Taylor, B. (2019). Geopolitical evolution in South Asia. *Adelphi Series*, 59(478-480), 125-164. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19445571.2019.1968723>
- [14] Brunet-Jailly, E. (2022). Cross-border cooperation: a global overview. *Alternatives*, 47(1), 3-17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03043754211073463>
- [15] Chapman, G. (2018). *The Geopolitics of South Asia: From Early Empires to India, Pakistan and Bangladesh: From Early Empires to India, Pakistan and Bangladesh*. Routledge.
- [16] Deiana, M. A., Komarova, M., & McCall, C. (2019). Cross-border cooperation as conflict transformation: Promises and limitations in EU peacebuilding. *Geopolitics*, 24(3), 529-540. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2019.1599518>
- [17] Dodh, P. (2017). India–Pakistan Relations. *World Affairs: The Journal of International Issues*, 21(4), 110-125.
- [18] Frazier, D., & Stewart-Ingersoll, R. (2010). Regional powers and security: A framework for understanding order within regional security complexes. *European Journal of International Relations*, 16(4), 731-753. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066109359847>

- [19] Gupta, A. (1997). Issues in South Asia: geopolitics or geoeconomics. *International Studies*, 34(1), 15-24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020881797034001002>
- [20] Grare, F. (2014). India–Pakistan Relations: Does Modi Matter?. *The Washington Quarterly*, 37(4), 101-114. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2014.1002158>
- [21] Hussain, E. (2019). India–Pakistan relations: challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 6(1), 82-95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2347797018823964>
- [22] Jauhari, A. (2013). India-Pakistan relations: international implications. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v9n1p42>
- [23] Kacowicz, A. M., & Press-Barnathan, G. (2016). Regional security governance. *The Oxford handbook of comparative regionalism*, 297-322.
- [24] Kraft, H. J. S. (2017). Great power dynamics and the waning of ASEAN centrality in regional security. *Asian Politics & Policy*, 9(4), 597-612. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aspp.12350>
- [25] Kumar, C. S. S. (2015). India-Pakistan Relations: Issues and Challenges. *KASHMIR PROBLEM AND INDIA-PAKISTAN RELATIONS in SHODHMANTHAN*, 20.
- [26] Kumar, R. (2020). India & South Asia: Geopolitics, regional trade and economic growth spillovers. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 29(1), 69-88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638199.2019.1636121>
- [27] Lazar, M. S. (2014). The Ukrainian Crisis Seen from a Regional Perspective: Isolated Conflict or Driving Agent for Regional Security Dynamics?. *Europolity-Continuity and Change in European Governance*, 8(2), 37-61.
- [28] Lama, M. P. (2007). Geopolitics of power trading in South Asia: Opportunities and challenges. *Strategic Analysis*, 31(2), 339-364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09700160701391209>
- [29] Lall, M. (Ed.). (2009). *The geopolitics of energy in South Asia (Vol. 4)*. Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- [30] Mukherjee, A. (2009). A brand new day or back to the future? The dynamics of India-Pakistan relations. *India Review*, 8(4), 404-445. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736480903324990>
- [31] Morgan, P. M. (1997). Regional security complexes and regional orders. *Regional orders: Building security in a new world*, 20-42.
- [32] Mitra, S. K. (2001). War and Peace in South Asia: a revisionist view of India-Pakistan relations. *Contemporary South Asia*, 10(3), 361-379. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09584930120109568>
- [33] Mohan, C. R. (2008). India's geopolitics and Southeast Asian security. *Southeast Asian Affairs*, 2008(2008), 43-60. <https://doi.org/10.1355/9789812307910-005>
- [34] Mirwaldt, K. (2010). Contact, conflict and geography: What factors shape cross-border citizen relations?. *Political Geography*, 29(8), 434-443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2010.10.004>
- [35] McCall, C. (2013). European Union cross-border cooperation and conflict amelioration. *Space and Polity*, 17(2), 197-216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562576.2013.817512>
- [36] Mattelaer, A. (2019). *The resurgence of bilateral diplomacy in Europe*. Brussels: Egmont Institute.
- [37] Martinsen, D. S. (2009). Conflict and conflict management in the cross-border provision of healthcare services. *West European Politics*, 32(4), 792-809. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402380902945466>
- [38] Peng, J., & Wegge, N. (2015). China's bilateral diplomacy in the Arctic. *Polar Geography*, 38(3), 233-249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1088937X.2015.1086445>
- [39] Pannier, A. (2020). Bilateral relations. *Global diplomacy: An introduction to theory and practice*, 19-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28786-3_2
- [40] Rana, K. S. (2002). *Bilateral diplomacy*. Diplo Foundation.
- [41] Roy, M. S. (2009). *International and Regional Security Dynamics*. Institute of Defences Studies and Analyses, New Delhi, 7.
- [42] Rozental, A., & Buenrostro, A. (2013). *Bilateral diplomacy*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199588862.013.0013>
- [43] Rana, K. S. (2018). *Bilateral diplomacy*. *The Encyclopedia of Diplomacy*, 1-11.

- [44] Rana, K. S. (2020). Bilateral diplomacy: a practitioner perspective. Policy Papers and Briefs, 15(1), 1-15.
- [45] Shukla, A. (2019). The Politics of Kartarpur Corridor and India-Pakistan Relations. Indian Council of World Affairs, 10, 1-8.
- [46] Schultz, K. A. (2015). Borders, conflict, and trade. Annual Review of Political Science, 18(1), 125-145. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-020614-095002>
- [47] Sugunakararaju, S. R. T. P., & Akhtar, S. (2015). India-Pakistan relations: Challenges and opportunities. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS), 20(12), 07-12.
- [48] Shailo, I. (2013). Critical geopolitics and the construction of security in South Asia (Doctoral dissertation, Carleton University).
- [49] Toktomushev, K. (2018). Understanding cross-border conflict in post-Soviet Central Asia. Connections, 17(1), 21-41. <https://doi.org/10.11610/Connections.17.1.02>
- [50] Wagner, C. (2016). The effects of the China-Pakistan economic corridor on India-Pakistan relations. <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-46898-4>

