International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 8 Issue 1, January-February 2024 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

Exploring Digital Payments, Financial Inclusion, and Monetary Policy in India

Dr. J. Suresh Kumar[1], Dr. D. Shobana[2]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Economics, St. Joseph University, Chümoukedima, Nagaland, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, St. Joseph University, Chümoukedima, Nagaland, India

ABSTRACT

The global financial landscape has undergone a profound transformation with the advent of digital payment technologies, influencing monetary policy paradigms and fostering financial inclusion initiatives. This research investigates the interplay between digital payments, financial inclusivity, and their relationship with the overarching objectives of monetary policy. The study delves into the multifaceted impact of digital payment systems on the attainment of monetary policy goals. It scrutinizes the role of digital financial services in fostering greater access to financial resources for underserved populations, thereby addressing issues of financial exclusion. Moreover, it assesses the implications of enhanced financial inclusivity on broader economic variables, such as consumption patterns, savings behavior, and income distribution, which are pivotal concerns for central banks in their pursuit of stability and growth. Furthermore, the research analyzes the efficacy of digital payment infrastructures in influencing the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy. It investigates how these technologies may alter the velocity of money, liquidity preferences, and the effectiveness of policy tools in steering economic variables toward desired targets, such as price stability and sustainable growth. The findings of this research are expected to contribute to policy discourse by providing a nuanced understanding of how leveraging digital payment innovations can potentially align with and augment the efficacy of monetary policy frameworks. Ultimately, it seeks to offer recommendations for policymakers, financial institutions, and regulators to leverage digital finance as a catalyst for advancing both financial inclusion goals and the broader aims of monetary policy in an increasingly digitalized global economy.

How to cite this paper: Dr. J. Suresh Kumar | Dr. D. Shobana "Exploring Digital Payments, Financial Inclusion, and Monetary Policy in India" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-8 | Issue-1, February 2024, pp.417-424, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd63443.pdf

IJTSRD63443

KEYWORDS: *Economic Development, Financial Services, Digital Financial Infrastructure, Payment Systems and Financial Access*

INTRODUCTION

The advent of digital payments has resulted in a significant shift in the dynamics of monetary policy and financial inclusion within the rapidly evolving financial transactions industry. The objectives of monetary policy are impacted by the shift in the financial services sector's accessibility, efficacy, and inclusivity brought about by the expansion of digital payment systems. Navigating this dynamic financial environment requires a grasp of the complex relationships between digital payments, financial inclusion, and monetary policy objectives as countries throughout the world adopt digitalization in financial transactions.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Hadi, Wayan, Wibowo, and Wardhono (2021) propose an empirical study examining the intricate relationship between financial inclusion and the stability of the financial system in the ASEAN-4 region. The dynamics of financial inclusion are examined in this study along with how it impacts the stability of the financial systems in the ASEAN-4 nations. The Journal of Asian Finance published it. The study employs rigorous empirical approaches to investigate the relationship between financial inclusion initiatives and the stability of financial systems in the ASEAN-4 countries. The study's findings shed light on the complex dynamics between these variables, revealing compelling insights into

how financial inclusion initiatives influence the stability of financial systems in this region. This academic work is important for policymakers, financial institutions, and other stakeholders who are interested in building strong and stable financial ecosystems among the ASEAN-4 countries. It also deepens our understanding of how financial inclusion shapes the stability of financial systems.

Le, Chuc, and Taghizadeh-Hesary (2019) present a comprehensive analysis in the Borsa Istanbul Review examining the intricate relationship between financial inclusion and its consequential effects on both financial efficiency and sustainability, grounded in empirical evidence drawn from Asian economies. This empirical study meticulously scrutinizes the influence of financial inclusion initiatives on the broader contexts of financial efficiency and sustainability across diverse Asian settings. By exploring this nexus, the research delivers insightful perspectives into the multifaceted impacts of promoting financial inclusion on the wider financial landscape. This academic paper makes an important contribution to our understanding of how financial inclusion efforts, particularly in the Asian area, might influence the sustainability and efficiency of financial systems. Their empirical findings have immediate consequences for policymakers, financial institutions, and stakeholders involved in improving the sustainability and efficiency of financial systems. They also provide subtle insights into the possible benefits and drawbacks of increasing financial inclusion.

Using the PVAR approach, **Oanh, T. T. K., Van, L. T. T., & Dinh, L. Q. (2023, June)** examined the complex relationship between financial stability, monetary policy, and financial inclusion in 58 countries between 2004 and 2020—that is, 31 high financial development countries (HFDCs) and 27 low financial development countries (LFDCs). Different correlations between these characteristics in HFDCs and LFDCs are found by the investigation. Interestingly, in LFDCs, the impulse-response function shows that financial stability and inclusion are positively correlated, but inflation and money supply growth rates are negatively correlated. In contrast, financial stability shows negative associations with financial inclusion, inflation, and money supply growth rates, while a positive relationship between financial inclusion and both of these variables develops in HFDCs. These fascinating results imply that financial inclusion in LFDCs reduces inflation and acts as a catalyst for financial stability. On the other hand, it can exacerbate financial instability in HFDCs and encourage persistent inflationary tendencies. Variance decomposition is used in the text to support these results, highlighting how clearly these correlations exist—this is especially true for HFDCs. The report ends with specific policy recommendations for each unique group of nations that aim to promote financial stability through monetary policy initiatives and targeted financial inclusion.

Using data from both developing and established nations, **Shalihin and Safuan (2021)** conduct an incisive investigation of Indonesian Economics and Finance, examining the effects of financial inclusion and openness on the stability of banking systems. This study rigorously examines the interrelationship between financial inclusion, openness, and their influence on the stability of banking systems across diverse economic contexts. By probing this relationship, the research offers valuable empirical evidence shedding light on the complex dynamics that shape banking stability in both developing and developed nations. This scholarly endeavor contributes significantly to comprehending the effects of financial inclusion and openness on banking stability. Their findings provide nuanced insights into the intricate interactions among these variables, presenting implications for policymakers, financial regulators, and stakeholders keen on fortifying banking stability amidst evolving global economic landscapes.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The proliferation of digital payment systems has significantly altered the landscape of financial transactions globally. Concurrently, the pursuit of financial inclusion has been a key policy goal for many economies. However, there exists a gap in understanding the intricate relationship between digital payments, financial inclusion, and their impact on achieving monetary policy objectives. As highlighted by Arner et al. (2017), the surge in digital financial services presents opportunities for enhancing financial inclusion by extending access to previously underserved populations. Yet, effective integration of these systems into monetary policy frameworks remains an understudied area (Mersch, 2018). The challenge lies in comprehending how the proliferation of digital payment technologies influences monetary policy goals such as price stability, efficient payment systems, and the transmission mechanisms of monetary policy. Existing literature, while acknowledging the potential of digital payments to enhance financial inclusion, lacks a comprehensive analysis of their nuanced effects on broader macroeconomic indicators and the efficacy of monetary policy tools. This knowledge

gap impedes policymakers' ability to harness the full potential of digital payments in achieving macroeconomic stability and inclusive growth. Therefore, this research seeks to investigate the complex interplay between digital payment adoption, financial inclusion, and their implications for the effectiveness of monetary policy. By analyzing empirical data and employing econometric models, this study aims to provide insights into how central banks can leverage digital payment ecosystems to achieve their monetary policy objectives while promoting financial inclusion in the contemporary financial landscape.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The study of digital payments, financial inclusion, and their relationship with monetary policy goals is significant for several reasons. Firstly, the rise of digital payments has transformed the financial landscape, impacting the efficiency and accessibility of transactions. Understanding this shift is crucial for adapting monetary policies to the evolving economic environment. Financial inclusion, which involves providing access to financial services for all segments of the population, is closely tied to social and economic development. Analyzing its relationship with digital payments helps policymakers identify ways to leverage technology for broader financial inclusion, contributing to economic growth and poverty reduction. Furthermore, the study aids in assessing how digital payments influence key monetary policy goals, such as price stability, economic growth, and employment. The speed and transparency of digital transactions can impact inflation, while enhanced financial inclusion may contribute to economic development. Exploring the interplay between digital payments, financial inclusion, and monetary policy goals is essential for policymakers to make informed decisions that align with the changing dynamics of the financial landscape and contribute to overall economic well-being.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To researching India's digital payment system.
- To measure how the use of digital payments affects indicators of financial inclusion.
- To assess how improved financial inclusion through digital payments will affect the macroeconomic situation.
- To evaluate the risks and resilience that digital payment networks bring to the implementation of monetary policy.
- To investigate how regulatory frameworks might best optimize the connection between the objectives of monetary policy and digital payments.

These goals aim to give policymakers and other stakeholders in the financial ecosystem a thorough understanding and practical insights by exploring the relationship between digital payments, financial inclusion, and monetary policy goals from a variety of angles.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is conceptual in character, with an exploratory research foundation. A systematic technique is usually used in research on the connections between digital payments, financial inclusion, and monetary policy objectives. To comprehend the present level of knowledge about digital payments, financial inclusions, and monetary policy objectives, do a thorough review of the body of available literature. The objective of this methodology is to offer a methodical and meticulous way to investigating the complex relationships among digital payments, financial inclusion, and the achievement of monetary policy objectives. The integration of both qualitative and quantitative methodologies facilitates a comprehensive comprehension of the intricate dynamics involved.

DIGITAL PAYMENT SYSTEM IN INDIA

Unified Payments Interface (UPI): Users can link several bank accounts to a single mobile application using UPI, a real-time payment mechanism. Paytm, PhonePe, Google Pay, and other popular UPI apps are available.

Mobile Wallets: With mobile wallets, users may make payments and keep money online. Paytm, Mobikwik, and PhonePe are a few well-known mobile wallet companies.

Bharat Interface for Money (BHIM): The National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) is the organisation behind the government-backed UPI software BHIM. It seeks to make digital payments easier for consumers.

National Electronic Funds Transfer (NEFT): One-to-one money transfers are made easier by the NEFT electronic funds transfer system. On a deferred net settlement (DNS) basis, it functions.

Immediate Payment Service (IMPS): Instant interbank electronic fund transfers are made possible by IMPS. Both online banking and mobile devices can access it.

Razorpay: Razorpay is a payment gateway that helps companies conduct online purchases. It accepts a number of payment options, including as net banking, UPI, and credit/debit cards.

Aadhaar Enabled Payment System (AePS): With AePS, users can use their fingerprint authentication and Aadhaar number to conduct financial transactions at a micro-ATM.

FASTag: Using RFID technology, FASTag is an electronic toll collection system. On highways, it is frequently utilised for automated toll collection.

RuPay: An Indian domestic card payment network is called RuPay. Credit and debit cards are among the payment solutions it offers.

Banking Apps: Customers in India can conduct various financial operations, including digital payments and fund transfers, by utilizing the mobile banking apps provided by numerous banks.

India's digital payment environment is expanding, with new features and services being added on a regular basis. Users can select from a variety of alternatives according to their needs and tastes. As the world of digital payments continues to change, it's advised to stay up to date.

IMPACT OF DIGITAL PAYMENT ADOPTION ON FINANCIAL INCLUSION METRICS

Quantifying the impact of digital payment adoption on financial inclusion metrics involves examining various indicators that measure access to and usage of financial services.

1. **Increase in Account Ownership**: Studies have shown that digital payment adoption leads to increased account ownership. For instance, the Global Findex database by the World Bank indicates that digital payments have contributed to a rise in the number of adults with bank accounts globally. (World Bank - Global Findex Database)
2. **Rise in Usage of Formal Financial Services:** Digital payment adoption correlates with increased usage of formal financial services. Research from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) suggests that in countries where digital payments are widely adopted, there's a subsequent increase in the use of banking services among previously unbanked populations. (IMF - Working Paper)
3. **Access to Credit and Loans**: Enhanced financial inclusion through digital payments often enables easier access to credit and loans for previously underserved populations. According to a report by the Center for Financial Inclusion, increased digital payment adoption has led to a rise in credit access for small businesses and individuals in certain regions. (Center for Financial Inclusion Report)
4. **Reduction in Gender Disparities:** Digital payments can contribute to reducing gender disparities in financial access. The Better than Cash Alliance has observed that digital payments empower women by giving them control over their finances, leading to increased financial inclusion among women. (Better Than Cash Alliance Report)
5. **Impact on Rural Financial Inclusion**: Digital payment adoption significantly affects rural financial inclusion. Studies by the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP) have demonstrated that digital financial services have increased access to financial tools and services in rural areas, improving financial inclusion metrics in these regions. (CGAP - Rural and Agricultural Finance)
6. **Change in Transaction Volumes**: The shift from cash to digital payments can be quantified through transaction volumes. Reports from payment service providers or government agencies often track the increase in digital transactions over time, reflecting the impact of adoption on financial inclusion metrics. (Various Payment Service Provider Reports and Government Publications)

Quantifying the impact of digital payment adoption on financial inclusion involves assessing changes in key metrics such as account ownership, usage of formal financial services, access to credit, gender disparities, rural financial inclusion, and transaction volumes. These metrics provide tangible evidence of the positive effects of digital payment adoption on enhancing financial inclusion.

MACROECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF ENHANCED FINANCIAL INCLUSION THROUGH DIGITAL PAYMENTS

Enhanced financial inclusion through digital payments has far-reaching macroeconomic implications that can transform economies in multiple ways.

1. **Increased Economic Growth and Productivity**: Digital payments enable greater financial inclusion, allowing more people to access financial services and participate in the formal economy. This can lead to increased economic growth and productivity. (World Bank - Global Findex Database)
2. **Reduced Transaction Costs and Increased Efficiency:** Digital payments streamline transactions, reducing the costs associated with cash handling and making financial transactions more efficient. This efficiency can contribute to GDP growth. (International Monetary Fund - IMF Working Paper)

3. **Improved Monetary Policy Transmission:** With increased usage of digital payments, central banks can gather more accurate and timely data on economic transactions. This enables more effective monetary policy implementation and better economic management. (Bank for International Settlements - BIS Paper)
4. **Reduction in Informal Economy:** Increased financial inclusion through digital payments can reduce the size of the informal economy, leading to more tax revenues for governments and improved fiscal policies. (Brookings Institution - Digital Financial Inclusion)
5. **Enhanced Financial Stability:** Digital payments can contribute to increased financial stability by reducing systemic risks associated with cash-based transactions. It provides greater transparency and traceability, reducing opportunities for illicit activities. (World Economic Forum - WEF Report)
6. **Job Creation and Poverty Alleviation:** Improved financial inclusion can create employment opportunities, particularly in the fintech sector, and contribute to poverty alleviation by enabling access to credit and savings facilities for underserved populations. (Center for Financial Inclusion - CFI Report)
7. **Enhanced Resilience to Economic Shocks**: Diversification of financial services through digital platforms can enhance resilience to economic shocks by providing alternative payment and banking channels, reducing the dependency on traditional banking systems. (Harvard Kennedy School - HKS Working Paper)

Enhanced financial inclusion through digital payments not only fosters economic growth but also promotes stability, efficiency, and inclusivity within economies. As highlighted by various studies and reports, the macroeconomic implications are multifaceted, impacting growth, policy effectiveness, stability, and poverty alleviation.

RESILIENCE AND RISKS INTRODUCED BY DIGITAL PAYMENT ECOSYSTEMS IN MONETARY POLICY IMPLEMENTATION

The integration of digital payment ecosystems can significantly influence the resilience and introduce new risks to monetary policy implementation.

A. Resilience Introduced by Digital Payment Ecosystems:

1. **Improved Policy Transmission**: Digital payment ecosystems offer real-time data on transactions, providing central banks with more accurate information. This can enhance the effectiveness of monetary policy implementation by improving the transmission mechanism. (Bank for International Settlements - BIS)
2. **Enhanced Policy Tools**: Central banks can leverage digital payment data to develop more targeted and effective policy tools. This includes better liquidity management and the ability to respond promptly to economic changes. (International Monetary Fund - IMF)
3. **Reduced Informality**: Digital payments reduce cash transactions, which can help in bringing informal economic activities into the formal sector. This increased formalization supports better policy implementation and monitoring. (World Bank - Global Findex Database)

B. Risks Introduced by Digital Payment Ecosystems:

1. **Operational and Cyber Risks**: Increased reliance on digital payment systems amplifies operational and cyber risks. Disruptions due to system failures, cyberattacks, or technical glitches could hinder monetary policy implementation. (Financial Stability Board - FSB)
2. **Privacy and Data Security Concerns**: The collection and use of vast amounts of transaction data in digital payments raise privacy concerns. Mishandling of sensitive data can lead to public distrust or vulnerabilities that could impact monetary policy strategies. (World Economic Forum - WEF)
3. **Financial Stability Challenges**: The rapid adoption of digital payments might outpace regulatory frameworks, posing challenges for financial stability. The speed and volume of transactions may create new systemic risks that require proactive regulation. (Bank for International Settlements - BIS)
4. **Inclusivity Risks**: While digital payments can enhance financial inclusion, there are risks that certain populations may be excluded due to lack of access to technology or digital literacy. This exclusion could affect policy effectiveness and equitable monetary transmission. (Brookings Institution - Digital Financial Inclusion)

REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS AND TECHNOLOGICAL SAFEGUARDS

Digital payment ecosystems offer resilience by improving policy transmission and providing new policy tools, but they also introduce risks related to cyber threats, data privacy, financial stability, and inclusivity. Regulatory frameworks and technological

safeguards are crucial in mitigating these risks while harnessing the potential benefits of digital payments for effective monetary policy implementation.

Regulatory frameworks play a pivotal role in optimizing the relationship between digital payments and achieving monetary policy goals.

1. **Facilitating Financial Stability:** Regulatory frameworks help maintain stability within digital payment systems. They establish standards for risk management, ensuring the resilience of payment infrastructures and reducing the potential for disruptions that might affect monetary policy transmission. (Bank for International Settlements (BIS))
2. **Enhancing Policy Transmission Mechanisms:** Clear and comprehensive regulations provide a transparent environment for digital payment systems. This transparency enhances the ability of central banks to use payment data for a more effective monetary policy transmission. (International Monetary Fund (IMF))
3. **Ensuring Inclusivity and Equal Access:** Regulatory frameworks can mandate inclusive practices, ensuring that digital payment services are accessible to all segments of society. This inclusivity aligns with monetary policy goals aiming for broad-based economic participation. (World Bank - Global Findex Database)
4. **Mitigating Risks and Safeguarding Consumers:** Regulations are essential in managing risks associated with digital payments. They establish consumer protection measures, cybersecurity standards, and mechanisms for dispute resolution, safeguarding users' interests and confidence in the financial system. (Financial Stability Board (FSB))
5. **Promoting Innovation and Competition:** Regulatory frameworks should foster an environment that encourages innovation while maintaining a level playing field. Regulations that balance innovation with risk management can promote healthy competition and diverse digital payment solutions, contributing to monetary policy goals. (World Economic Forum (WEF))

Regulatory frameworks are pivotal in optimizing the relationship between digital payments and achieving monetary policy objectives. They ensure stability, enhance policy transmission mechanisms, promote inclusivity, mitigate risks, and encourage innovation within digital payment ecosystems. A well-designed regulatory environment strikes a balance between fostering innovation and addressing potential risks, ultimately supporting the alignment of digital payments with broader monetary policy objectives.

CONCLUSION

The present research study reveals a compelling connection between these elements. Digital payment adoption significantly enhances financial inclusion, offering a pathway to achieve broader monetary policy objectives. It serves as a catalyst for economic growth, efficiency, and improved policy transmission by providing real-time data and fostering a more inclusive financial landscape. However, this transformation also introduces risks, necessitating robust regulatory frameworks to ensure stability, safeguard consumers, and encourage innovation. Overall, understanding and leveraging the synergy between digital payments, financial inclusion, and monetary policy goals offer a promising avenue for sustainable economic development and equitable financial access.

REFERENCES

[1] Ahamed, M. M., Ho, S. J., Mallick, S. K., and Matousek, R. (2021). Inclusive banking, financial regulation and bank performance: Cross-country evidence. Journal of Banking and Finance, 124, 106055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2021.106055

[2] Albulescu, C. T. (2015). Banks' Profitability and Financial Soundness Indicators: A Macro-level Investigation in Emerging Countries. Procedia Economics and Finance, 23(October 2014), 203–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00551-1

[3] Allen, Franklin, et al. (2018). "The Foundations of Financial Inclusion: Understanding Ownership and Use of Formal Accounts." Journal of Financial Intermediation, vol. 35, pp. 1-23.

[4] Almahadin, H. A., Kaddumi, T., and AL-Kilani, Q. (2020). Banking soundness financial stability nexus: Empirical evidence from Jordan. Banks and Bank Systems, 15(3), 218–227. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.15(3).2020.19

[5] Aracil, E., Gómez-Bengoechea, G., and Moreno-de-Tejada, O. (2022). Institutional quality and the financial inclusion-poverty alleviation link: Empirical evidence across countries. Borsa Istanbul Review, 22(1), 179–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2021.03.006

[6] Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., and Buckley, R. P. (2017). The Evolution of Fintech: A New Post-Crisis Paradigm? Georgetown Journal of International Law, 48(4), 1271–1319.

[7] Arun, T., and Kamath, R. (2015). Financial inclusion: Policies and practices. IIMB Management Review, 27(4), 267–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2015.09.004

[8] Bashiru, S., Bunyaminu, A., Yakubu, I. N., and Al-Faryan, M. A. S. (2023). Drivers of Financial Inclusion: Insights from Sub-Saharan Africa. Economies, 11(5), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11050146

[9] Cahyadin, M. (2020). The linkage between globalisation and financial inclusion: Do inequality and institutions matter? Economic Journal of Emerging Markets, 12(2), 220–233. https://doi.org/10.20885/ejem.vol12.iss2.art8

[10] Claessens, Stijn, et al. (2018). "The Role of Financial Inclusion in Monetary Policy Transmission." IMF Working Papers, No. 18/250.

[11] Cui, L., Weng, S., and Song, M. (2022). Financial inclusion, renewable energy consumption, and inclusive growth: cross-country evidence. Energy Efficiency, 15(6), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12053-022-10051-y

[12] Datta, S. K., and Singh, K. (2019). Variation and determinants of financial inclusion and their association with human development: A cross-country analysis. IIMB Management Review, 31(4), 336–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2019.07.013

[13] Determinants of financial inclusion: Evidence from Tanzania. (2023, May 30). *Journal of Economic Research & Reviews*, *3*(2). https://doi.org/10.33140/jerr.03.02.04

[14] Erlando, A., Riyanto, F. D., and Masakazu, S. (2020). Financial inclusion, economic growth, and poverty alleviation: evidence from eastern Indonesia. Heliyon, 6(10), e05235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05235

[15] Fauzan, I. F., Firdaus, M., and Sahara, S. (2020). Regional financial inclusion and poverty: Evidence from Indonesia. Economic Journal of Emerging Markets, 12(1), 25–38. https://doi.org/10.20885/ejem.vol12.iss1.art3

[16] Financial Stability Board. (2020). "Enhancing Cross-Border Payments: Building Blocks of a Global Roadmap." Report to the G20.

[17] Fraymovich, D. Y., Gundorova, M. A., Mischenko, Z. V., Guzhov, A. M., and Sultanova, A. V. (2021). Small business development and resource use in Russian regions. R-Economy, 7(2), 88–99. https://doi.org/10.15826/recon.2021.7.2.008

[18] G20. (2016). "G20 High-Level Principles for Digital Financial Inclusion." G20 Summit, Hangzhou.

[19] Geng, Z., and He, G. (2021). Digital financial inclusion and sustainable employment: Evidence from countries along the belt and road. Borsa Istanbul Review, 21(3), 307–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2021.04.004

[20] Gutiérrez-Romero, R., and Ahamed, M. (2021). COVID-19 response needs to broaden financial inclusion to curb the rise in poverty. World Development, 138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105229

[21] Ha, N. T. T., and Quyen, P. G. (2018). Monetary policy, bank competitiveness and bank risk-taking: Empirical evidence from Vietnam. Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance, 14(2), 137–156. https://doi.org/10.21315/aamjaf2018.14.2.6

[22] Hadi, N., Wayan, S., Wibowo, R., and Wardhono, A. (2021). An Empirical Study of Financial Inclusion and Financial System Stability in ASEAN-4. Journal of Asian Finance, 8(7), 139–150. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no7.0139

[23] Iqbal, B. A., and Sami, S. (2017). Papel de los bancos en la inclusión financiera en la India. Contaduria y Administracion, 62(2), 644–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cya.2017.01.007

[24] Koomson, I., Koomson, P., and Abdul-Mumuni, A. (2023). Trust in banks, financial inclusion and the mediating role of borrower discouragement. International Review of Economics and Finance, 88(July), 1418–1431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2023.07.090

[25] Le, T. H., Chuc, A. T., and Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2019). Financial inclusion and its impact on financial efficiency and sustainability: Empirical evidence from Asia. Borsa Istanbul Review, 19(4), 310–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2019.07.002

[26] Malik, A. H., Md Isa, A. H. bin, Jais, M. bin, Ur Rehman, A., and Ali Khan, M. (2022). Financial stability of Asian Nations: Governance quality and financial inclusion. Borsa Istanbul Review, 22(2), 377–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2021.05.005

[27] McKinnon, R. I. (1973). Money and capital in economic development. Brookings Institution.

[28] Mersch, Y. (2018). Digital Currencies and the Future of the Monetary System. Speech at the Official Monetary and Financial Institutions Forum (OMFIF) City Lecture, London.

[29] Morgan, P. J. and V. P. (2014). ADBI Working Paper Financial Stability and Financial Inclusion. 488, 75–75.

[30] Oanh, T. T. K., Van, L. T. T., and Dinh, L. Q. (2023). Relationship between financial inclusion, monetary policy and financial stability: An analysis in high financial development and low financial development countries. Heliyon, 9(6), e16647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16647

[31] Ozili, P. K. (2018). Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. Borsa Istanbul Review, 18(4), 329–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003

[32] Pietrzak, M., and Espinosa-Vega, M. (2021). Can Financial Soundness Indicators Help Predict Financial Sector Distress? IMF Working Paper Statistics Department Can Financial Soundness Indicators Help Predict Financial Sector Distress? Authorized for distribution by.

[33] Reserve Bank of India. (2021). "Monetary Policy Report." RBI Publications.

[34] Salina, A. P., Zhang, X., and Hassan, O. A. G. (2020). An assessment of the financial soundness of the Kazakh banks. Asian Journal of Accounting Research, 6(1), 23–37. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-03-2019-0022

[35] Sedera, R. M. H., Risfandy, T., and Futri, I. N. (2022). Financial Inclusion and Bank Profitability: Evidence from Indonesia. Journal of Accounting and Investment, 23(3), 398–412. https://doi.org/10.18196/jai.v23i3.14721

[36] Shalihin, M. A., and Safuan, S. (2021). Effects of Financial Inclusion and Openness on Banking Stability: Evidence from Developing and Developed Countries. Economics and Finance in Indonesia, 67(2), 212. https://doi.org/10.47291/efi.v67i2.967

[37] Shaw, E. S. (1973). Financial deepening in economic development. Oxford University Press.

[38] Siddik, M. N. A., and Kabiraj, S. (2018). Does financial inclusion induce financial stability? Evidence from cross-country analysis. Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal, 12(1), 33–46. https://doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v12i1.3

[39] ŞİT, A. (2022). The Effect of The Financial Soundness Index on The Financial Performance of Banks: An Application in Turkey. İktisadi İdari ve Siyasal Araştırmalar Dergisi, 7, 129–140. https://doi.org/10.25204/iktisad.1023782

[40] Susilowati, E., and Leonnard, L. (2019). Factors Influence Financial Inclusion: Evidence from Indonesian Micro Data. Etikonomi, 18(1), 121–132. https://doi.org/10.15408/etk.v18i1.9070

[41] Úbeda, F., Mendez, A., and Martínez, F. J. F. (2022). The Sustainable Practices of Multinational Banks As Drivers of Financial Inclusion in Developing Countries. Finance Research Letters, 103278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2022.103278

[42] Viswanathan, R., and Telukdarie, A. (2021). A systems dynamics approach to SME digitalization. Procedia Computer Science, 180(2019), 816–824. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.331

[43] Vo, D. H., Nguyen, N. T., and Thi-Hong Van, L. (2021). Financial inclusion and stability in the Asian region using bank-level data. Borsa Istanbul Review, 21(1), 36–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2020.06.003

[44] World Bank. (2019). "The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring Financial Inclusion and the Fintech Revolution." World Bank Group.

[45] Zheng, H., and Li, X. (2022). The impact of digital financial inclusion on carbon dioxide emissions: Empirical evidence from Chinese provinces data. Energy Reports, 8, 9431–9440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2022.07.050

[46] Zins, A., and Weill, L. (2016). The determinants of financial inclusion in Africa. Review of Development Finance, 6(1), 46–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2016.05.001