Analyses & Design of a Tall Building for Hilly Area Zone V Using STAAD-Pro

Nilesh Ghidode¹, Prof. Afzal Khan²

¹M. Tech Scholar, ²Professor,

^{1,2}Department of Civil Engineering, Millennium Institute of Technology & Science, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

There are various previous studies done for proper planning and good construction practices of multistoried buildings on hilly area. Analysis and design of space building frame for seismic loading and wind pressure is very essential these days because of the construction of high rise buildings. It is also necessary to construct an economical and more durable structure. current work examines the structural behavior of reinforced concrete columns, beam, and footing in sloping geometry. In this study a 16 storey RCC building is analyzed on Hilly Surface. In this study the attempt is made to analyze the multi-storey buildings on sloping ground with and without shear walls. The performance of the building with configurations of shear walls is studied. RCC building models having 16 storeys with straight shear walls and without straight shear walls resting on sloping ground (slope 1V:2.33H) are considered for the study. response spectrum analysis of building is carried out using structural engineering software Staad Pro and the seismic performance of building with shear wall configurations is compared with respect to parameters like base shear, lateral displacement, time period and member forces.

INTRODUCTION

India have extensive sizes of sloping locale, which are ordered under seismic zone. In this area the development of multistory RC encircled structures on slope inclines has a well-known and squeezing request, because of its monetary development and fast urbanization. This development in development movement is including increment in populace thickness. Calculation of ground slope is fundamental to many traditional Geographical Information Systems (GIS) applications. Slope is an important component in scientific, military and civilian analyses. Various methods exist for calculating slope. Manual slope *How to cite this paper*: Nilesh Ghidode | Prof. Afzal Khan "Analyses & Design of a Tall Building for Hilly Area Zone V Using STAAD-Pro" Published in

International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-6 | Issue-3, April 2022, pp.2189-2197, URL:



www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd49946.pdf

Copyright © 2022 by author (s) and International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed under the



terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

generation, based upon contour line information, is a long established and generally acceptable.

OBJECTIVES

ISSN: 2456-6470

To finalize design parameter of a Tall RCC building located of hilly location Zone V

METHODOLOG

Separate models are created on STAAD.pro to affects the performance of building throughout earthquake. So to enhance the seismic performance of building on sloping ground the shear walls play important role.



Fig. 4.3: Building frame on slopping ground



Fig. 4.4: Building frame on slopping ground

					STAAD.Pro	V8i (SELECTser	ries 6) - Structu	re3						- 0	×
File	Edit	View Too	s Select Geometry Commands	Analyze Mode Windo	w Help										
	6	- 1	6 🖻 X Q + Q + 📶 🖬	* 🖉 🖨 🖉	3 & D 🗍 🗖 📥	🏭 🖽 🍋 ዞ	+ 1 🍄 1 🐹 ? 🔛	(R D #	8 6 P	∩月 👁 ₩	V	IF	B 💼 🖻		
Ø	Ø (6666	Ø 🗗 🕂 🕂 💠 💠 🕹 🖸 🏘	🔣 ଉଇ୍ଭ୍ର୍ର୍	Q 🕅 Q 🖻 🛒 C	t: Load Case	E 1	× 😵							
1	6 4 8	🛱 🔂 🗒 .	: 🚳 🖩 🖌 👗 🐇 🖷	> FA 51 -= @	* 🖗 🔮 🌀 🖌	4 4	0 7	÷ ±							
1	aut.			/ []		10		and lost i							
	16)													- (2
200	Mod	deling <mark>/Buik</mark>	ing Plarmer <mark>/ Piping /</mark> Bridge De	Postprocessing Fo	undation Design Ste	eel Design RAM	Connection Co	oncrete Desigr	n Advanced	Slab Design	Banthqual	e		1	J
A	dh	E 🖉		Struc	ture3 - Whole Struc	ture				-	i 🗖 s	tructure3 -	Nodes		83
	a Set	Be									Node	X	Y	Z	^
<u>8</u>	-										454	0.000	0.000	0.000	2
NR.	atry	late			NAMAN						455	0.000	0.000	17.0	2)
		_									456	24.500	0.000	17.500	~
A	Ge	-									458	3.500	0.000	0.000	-
12m	144										459	3.500	0.000	17.500	
1	T	SL				no <mark>C</mark>					460	7.000	0.000	0,000	
12	ener										461	7.000	0.000	17	
+	0	밀				m l <mark>e</mark>					463	10.500	0.000	17.500	
Dette		So									464	14.000	0.000	0.000	
	Ŧ	<u>2</u>									100	44.000	0 000	47 500	
\Rightarrow										Structure3 - Beams 📃 🛛					
1	Analy	Paran									Beam	Node A	Node B	Property Re	efn ^
ala	٥	•									1	454	470	1	
R	5										3	456	511	1_	
4	Desi	pos.									4	457	468	150	33
222	Ī	mo			SKINSS						5	458	454	R	32
NOF	-	m									6	459	461	1	
18						WL.					8	460	472	1	
-Gg											. 9	461	463	1	
		ysic *	z			1000				1.000	ALCUNCTU	2 V46010 (WS473	1	¥
		÷								Load 1		settings to	activate V	/indows.	>
For H	l elp, pr	ress F1								Mod	eling Mo Lo	ad 1 : LOAD	CASE 1	Input Units:	kN-m
E]	ف (🤉 🛈 🌔 🗴								12	» 🕐	- (þ) 🗄	7:36 attl 12/8/	PM 2021
			Fig. 4.5: B	uilding fra	me on slo	p <mark>ping g</mark> i	round w	vith she	ear wa	all For	Load	ling			

LOAD COMBINATIONS

International Journal

The following load combination has been used for the calculating the member forces and for comparing its results as per IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002. Research and

- ➤ 1.5 (DL + IL)
- ➤ 1.5 (DL ± EL)
- ➢ 0.9 (DL ± EL)

				0					1		
in:	o Antilitettentett Apir	a Anno 1993	A	eta //www.iii	alian Di - yr	Stee Des	on		inter la constante de la const	arrait Stall Woman 🖊	
91 2	000			Structure	3 - Whole 5	structure				Paranel (CEC) (all and	Structure3 - Job Info =
		2	62	æ.	eta	623	62	sta .	20		Cent
		32	da	da -	ಡು	ata i	æ	zh	220		Pax Fat
		- 20	da	ela	eža	eta	283	20	285		34 34
		22	ets	eta	eða	eta	695	eta	80		Figurative StructureSatz Directory COLearn/Finality/s Date / Time 27-Apr-2019 12-56 FM
		22	da	e a	eta	ಹ	di.	æ	222		Fielder 28421 Non.
		280	055	20	eta	854	223	ab.	252		Name Date 25-0118
		25	eds	ф	eđa	ch	640	da	20		Comment
	3	372	658	sta	ida -	623	122	100	358		divate Windows

Fig. 4.1: Plan of the model of 16th storey building on sloping ground



Fig. 4.6: Loading on Building without shear wall on sloping ground



Fig. 4.7: Building with straight shape shear wall on sloping ground

RESULTS

Table 5.1: Base shear results for structure on sloping ground

	Zone = 5
Models	Base shear (KN)
Model 1	2936.32
Model 2	3896.38



Fig. 5.1: Variation of base shear for building on slopping ground

From the results obtained from this study it will be discovered that the incorporation of shear enclose RCC frame will increase the base shear because of increase in lateral stiffness. The period of time of structure reduces and there's considerable reduction in lateral displacement of structure additionally. Therefore it will be same that the incorporation of shear wall will increase the base shear this impact is additionally seen in after we modification the zone 5.

Fundamental time period



Fig. 5.2: Variation of time period for building on slopping ground

Both the models with and without shear walls have less time period as compared with model 1. Model 2 has minimum time period for zone 5.





It is observed that maximum axial forces are seen in model-I is 1981.05KN it reduces model- II 1769.25KN for zone 5. From both the models.









Fig. 5.5: Bending moment results for structure on sloping ground for zone 5





It is observed that maximum Nodal deflection (mm) is seen in model-I is 7.890mm it reduces model- II 1.40mm for zone5 From both the models.

Model 2

Fig. 5.6: Nodal deflection results for structure on slopping ground for zone 5

MODELS

CONCLUSION

 \geq Maximum shear forces are seen in model-I is 208.22KN it Increases in model- II 256.54KN for zone 5.

0.5

0

Model 1

- > Maximum Bending moment (KNm) is seen in model-I is 2783.32 it reduces model- II 2562.11 for zone 5. From both the models.
- Maximum Nodal deflection (mm) is seen in \geq model-I is 7.890mm it reduces model- II 1.40mm for zone 5. From both the models in terms of nodal deflection model 2 with shear wall shows best result.
- \geq Hence in case of slope ground building with straight shear wall perform best.

REFERENCES

Anshuman Nimade, Niraj Soni, Mahesh [1] Patidar, Vikas Joshi (2018), Dynamic Analysis of Flat Slab System in Vertical Irregular Building with & without Shear Wall,

International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, Volume V, Issue I, 132-139

[2] Asnhuman. S, Dipendu Bhunia, Bhavin Ranjiyani (2011), "Solution of shear wall location in multi-storey building" International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering Research.

Nodal deflection (mm) Max z (in mm)

- [3] B. G. Birajdar and S. S. Nalawade, "Seismic analysis of buildings resting on sloping ground", 13th world conference on earthquake engineering, Vancuover, B. C., Canada, August 1-6, 2004, paper No. 1472.
- [4] Chaitanya Kumar J. D., Lute Venkat (2013), "Analysis of multi storey building with precast load bearing walls" International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, Volume 4.
- Manohar K, Dr. Jagadish Kori G (2014), [5] Analysis On Seismic Performance Of High

Rise Building By Changing The Location Of Shear Wall For Different Soil Condition, International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development, Issue 4, Vol. 4, 237-245.

- [6] MD Afroz Patel, Shaik Abdulla (2016), A Study on Positioning of Different Shapes of Shear Walls in L Shaped Building Subjected to Seismic Forces, International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, Vol. 5 Issue 07, pp. 480-487
- [7] Mohammed Umar Farooque Patel, A. V. Kulkarni and Nayeemulla Inamdar, "A Performance study and seismic evaluation of RC frame buildings on sloping ground", IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE). P. 51-58(2014).
- [8] Mohd Atif, Prof. Laxmikant Vairagade, Vikrant Nair (2015), "Comparative study on seismic analysis of multi storey building stiffened with bracing and shear wall" International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol. 2.
- [9] Mohite P. M., IJERT Vol-3, Issue-10 Oct-2014 SRD "Effect Of Sloping on Setback and stepback

configuration of RCC frame Building"

- [10] Mukesh sharma, Girish Sharma, Abhishek Gupta, Ankush Tanta (2017), Review Paper On Seismic Analysis And Behaviour Of Multistorey RC Building With And Without Shear Walls, International Journal of Technical Innovation in Modern Engineering & Science, Volume 4, Issue 08, 939-943.
- [11] N. K. Meshram, Gauravi M. Munde (2018), Seismic Analysis of Shear Wall at Different Location on Multi-storey RCC Building, International Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovative Research & Development, Vol. 02 Issue 02, 7-28.
- [12] P. P. Chandurkar, Dr. P. S. Pajgade (2013), "Seismic analysis of RCC building with and without shear wall." International Journal of Modern Engineering Research, Vol. 3.
- [13] Pandey A. D, Prabhat Kumar and Sharad Sharma, "Seismic soil structure interaction of buildings on hillslopes", International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering, Volume 2, No 2, 2011.

@ IJTSRD | Unique Paper ID – IJTSRD49946 | Volume – 6 | Issue – 3 | Mar-Apr 2022