Analytical Solution of the Dynamics of Atmospheric CO₂ using the LADM-Padé Approximation Approach

Liberty Ebiwareme

Department of Mathematics, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

In this research paper, the Laplace transform method combined with the semi-analytical Adomian decomposition method (LADM) is proposed to solve the mathematical model of crime deterrence in society. The model is solved to obtain analytical solution to the governing parameters in the form of a rapidly convergent series to illustrate its reliability, capability, and efficiency of this hybrid method. The practical result obtained reveal, the method is accurate and an efficient tool for solving wide variety of several first and higher order models.

KEYWORDS: Laplace Adomian **Decomposition** method Padé Approximant, Analytical solution, Global (LADM),warming cientific

Journa/

International Journal of Trend in Scientific **Development**

How to cite this paper: Liberty Ebiwareme "Analytical Solution of the Dynamics of Atmospheric CO₂ using the LADM-Padé Approximation Approach"

Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-6 | Issue-2, February 2022. pp.1292-1306,



URL:

www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd49429.pdf

Copyright © 2022 by author (s) and International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

(CC

Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative



BY

Commons

4.0)

Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

INTRODUCTION

The term global warming is defined as the unabated steady rise in the level of atmospheric carbon (iv) oxide gas beyond an allowable threshold. From preindustrial times, the level of CO_2 was just 270ppm (Parts per million). This number has risen to a worrisome level of 395ppm (Parts per million).Misra and Verma (2013), Rasool and Schneider (1971), (Tennakone, 1990). This rising trend of atmospheric carbon (iv) oxide if not checked does not bode well for both human and the ecosystem balance. Sequel to this, group of industrialized nation under the aegis of G7 have gathered severally to brainstormed on how to mitigate the effect of climate change occasioned by the ominous rise of carbon (iv) oxide (Pluss, 1956), McMichael, Woodruff and Hales (2006). Concerted efforts have been made by these countries to reduce and stabilize the future concentrate CO_2 via legislation, Woodwell, Hobbie, Houghton, Melillo, Moore, Peterson, and Shaver (1983). Reports abound that shows climate change accounts for about 90% of health risks or challenges faced by

human. Therefore, if this rise in carbon (iv) oxide is not checked, it will portend danger to humans. For example, extreme weather conditions such as floods, Tsunami, windstorm, and drought are all caused by climate change. The attendant effects of the above conditions are direct injuries, malnutrition, infectious diseases, and airborne disease, Marten, Jettsen, Niessen and Rothmans (1995).

Equally, increased in the presence of vectors during this period cause vector-borne disease such as malaria, diarrhoea, dengue fever and others (Alexiadis, 2007). The accompanying heat waves also evoke respiratory and cardiovascular problems to humans. Following the above adverse effects of carbon (iv) oxide in the atmosphere, a better understanding behind the factors responsible for the increased level of CO_2 in the atmosphere and their effects is needed. Previous studies have shown that forest biomass and human population are the primary factors responsible for the rise in the level of carbon (iv) oxide. Human activities like

deforestation and burning of fossil fuel triggers the level of atmospheric CO_2 . Upstaging the forestbiomass carbon (iv) oxide link due to human activities also lead to increase in the level of atmospheric carbon (iv) oxide, Detwiler and Hall (1988), Khasnis and Nettleman (2005), (Kurane, 2010).

Volume of studies have been devoted to understanding the interaction of human population, carbon (iv) oxide and its attendant effects on human population and climate change. Caetano, Gherardi and Yoneyama (2011) have considered the mathematical model that relates atmospheric C0₂, forest biomass and GDP. In this study, it was shown that clean technology and reforestation are needed to attain desired C02 level. Malhi and Grace (2000), (Ewers, 2006), Kremen, Niles, Dalton, Daily, Ehrlich, Fay, Grewal and Culley (2000), (Mahar, 1989), Olabisi, Reich, Johnson, Kapuscinski, Suh and Wilson (2009) have studied the connection between human activities and global warming using feedback control. The study reveal increased in the level of carbon (iv) oxide has destabilizing effect. The biomass-carbon (iv) oxide system have been investigated using a mathematical model. The study show that excessive deforestation destabilizes the biomass-carbon (iv) oxide equilibrium.

The Laplace transformation and Adomian decomposition method (LADM) is a hybrid semianalytical method which is a fusion of the two methods. The method requires taking the Laplace transform of both sides of the equation using the accompanying initial condition. Thereafter, the resulting solution is then written in operator form which gives an n -fold integral proportional to the highest degree of the invertible function. The zeroth order and the recursive algorithm are then obtained which gives the solution that converges to the exact solution if it exists. The LADM originally proposed by Khuri, Songen and Mendelson (2003), (Khuri, 2004), Khuri and Alchikh (2019, 2020) is preferable over the famous Adomian decomposition method because it converges faster to the exact solution. It has been extensively applied in the following areas: linear and nonlinear PDEs, coupled systems of PDEs, numerical solution of the Duffing equation, analytical solution of an HIV model, Newell-Whitehead-Segel equation, systems of ordinary differential equations, linear and nonlinear integral equation with weak kernel, nthorder integro-differential equations, twodimensional viscous fluid with shrinking sheet, logistics equation, numerical solution of the crime deterrence model, nonlinear function equation and convection diffusion-dissipative equations, (Fadaei, 2011), Khan, Hussain, Jafari and Khan (2010), (Yusufoglu, 2006), (Nasser, 1997), (Ongun, 2011), (Pue-on, 2013), (Cherrualt, 2002), (Dogan, 2012), (Hendi, 2011), (Wazwaz, 1999, 2010), (Waleed, 2013), (Manafianheris, 2012), Mohamed and Torky (2013), Koroma, Widattala, Kamera and Zhang (2013), Islam, Khan, Faraz and Austin (2010), Yindoula, Youssouf, Bissanga, Bassino and Some (2014), (Khuri, 2001), Al-Khaled and Allan (2005), (Doan, 2012).

In this present study, we seek analytical solution to the parameters governing the problem using Laplace Adomian decomposition method. The article is composed as follows: section 1 takes the introduction. In section 2, the basics, and fundamentals of the Adomian decomposition method is extensively discussed. Section 3presents an in-depth review of the hybrid Laplace Adomian decomposition method. In sections 4 & 5, the Padé approximation and application of LADM to the model problem is presented. Numerical application via simulation is contained in section 6 and finally the section 7 gives the concluding remarks.

(1)

(2)

(7)

(8)

ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD (ADM)

Consider a general nonlinear differential equation of the form F[y(x)] = g(x)

Where F is a nonlinear operator and y, g are both functions of x

Decomposing the nonlinear operator, F into two parts comprising a linear and nonlinear operator.

$$L[y(x)] + R[y(x)] + N[y(x)] = g(x)$$

Where L is the highest order derivative that's assumed to be invertible, R is the linear differential operator with order less than that of L, N is a nonlinear term and g is the source term

Rewriting Eq. (2) for
$$L[y(x)]$$
, we obtain

$$L[y(x)] = g(x) - R[y(x)] - N[y(x)]$$
(3)

Taking the inverse operator, L^{-1} on both sides of Eq. (3), we get $y(x) = L^{-1}g(x) - L^{-1}R[y(x)] - L^{-1}N[y(x)]$ (4)

Where ϕ is the term arising from the integration of the source term. It is obtained using the following sequence depending on the order of the given equation.

$$\phi = \begin{cases} y(0) & \text{for } L = \frac{d}{dx} \\ y(0) + xy'(0) & \text{for } L = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \\ y(0) + xy'(0) + \frac{x^2}{2!}y''(0) & \text{for } L = \frac{d^3}{dx^3} \\ y(0) + xy'(0) + \frac{x^2}{2}y''(0) + \frac{x^3}{3!}y'''(0) & \text{for } L = \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \\ \vdots & \text{for } L = \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \\ \vdots & \text{for } L = \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \\ \vdots & \text{for } L = \frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}} \end{cases}$$

By the standard Adomian decomposition method, we write the unknown solution as an infinite decomposition series of the form $v(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n(x)$ (5)

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x)$$
Putting Eq. (5) into Eq. (4), we obtain
(5)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x) = \phi - L^{-1} R[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x)] - L^{-1} N[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x)]$$
(6)

Matching both sides of Eq. (6), we obtain the zeroth order component given by $y_0 = \phi$

Then the recursive relation is given by $y_{n+1}(x) = -L^{-1}R[y_n] - L^{-1}N[y_n], n \ge 0$

The solution of the problem in Eq. (1) is obtain as limit of the decomposing series $y(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(x)$

Similarly, the nonlinear term can be determined by an infinite series of the Adomian polynomials. That is, $N[y_0, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ (9)

Then the
$$A_n^{\prime s}$$
 are obtained from the relation

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{\lambda}}{d\lambda^n} [N(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k y_k)]_{\lambda=0}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3$$
(10)

Using Eq. (9), the first five Adomian polynomials are given as

 $A_0 = N(y_0)$

 $A_1 = y_1 N'(y_0)$

$$\begin{split} A_{2} &= y_{2}N'(y_{0}) + \frac{1}{2!}y_{1}^{2}N''(y_{0}) \\ A_{3} &= y_{3}N'(y_{0}) + y_{1}y_{2}N''(y_{0}) + \frac{1}{3!}y_{1}^{3}N'''(y_{0}) \\ A_{4} &= y_{4}N'(y_{0}) + \frac{1}{2}N''(y_{0})(2y_{1}y_{3} + y_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}N'''(y_{0})y_{1}^{2}y_{2} + \frac{1}{4!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})y_{1}^{4} \\ A_{5} &= y_{5}N'(y_{0}) + \frac{1}{2}N''(y_{0})(2y_{1}y_{4} + 2y_{2}y_{3}) + \frac{1}{3!}N'''(y_{0})(3y_{1}^{2}y_{3} + 3y_{1}y_{2}^{2}) + \frac{4}{4!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})(y_{1}^{2}y_{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{5!}N^{(v)}(y_{0})y_{1}^{5} \\ A_{6} &= y_{6}N'(y_{0}) + \frac{1}{2!}N''(y_{0})(2y_{1}y_{5} + 2y_{1}y_{4} + y_{3}^{2}) + \frac{1}{3!}N'''(y_{0})(3y_{1}^{2}y_{4} + y_{3}^{2} + 6y_{1}y_{2}y_{3}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})(4y_{1}^{3}y_{3} + 6y_{1}^{2}y_{2}^{2}) + \frac{5}{5!}N^{(v)}(y_{0})y_{1}^{4}y_{2} + \frac{1}{6!}N^{(vi)}(y_{0})y_{1}^{6} \\ A_{7} &= y_{7}N'(y_{0}) + \frac{1}{2!}N''(y_{0})(2y_{1}y_{6} + 2y_{2}y_{5} + 2y_{3}y_{4}) + \frac{1}{3!}N'''(y_{0})(3y_{1}^{2}y_{5} + 3y_{1}y_{3}^{2} + 3y_{3}y_{2}^{2} + 6y_{1}y_{2}y_{4}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})(4y_{1}^{3}y_{4} + 12y_{1}^{2}y_{2}y_{3} + 4y_{1}y_{3}^{2}) + \frac{1}{5!}N^{(v)}(y_{0})(5y_{1}^{4}y_{3} + 10y_{1}^{3}y_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6!}N^{(vi)}(y_{0})(2y_{1}y_{7} + 2y_{2}y_{6} + 2y_{3}y_{5} + y_{4}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!}N'''(y_{0})(3y_{1}^{2}y_{6} + 3y_{4}y_{2}^{2} + 3y_{2}y_{3}^{2} + 6y_{1}y_{2}y_{5} + 6y_{1}y_{3}y_{4}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})(4y_{1}^{3}y_{5} + 12y_{1}^{2}y_{2}y_{4} + 12y_{1}y_{2}y_{3} + 6y_{1}^{2}y_{3}^{2} + y_{4}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{5!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})(4y_{1}^{3}y_{5} + 12y_{1}^{2}y_{2}y_{4} + 12y_{1}y_{2}y_{3} + 6y_{1}^{2}y_{3}^{2} + y_{4}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{5!}N^{(iv)}(y_{0})(5y_{1}^{4}y_{4} + 20y_{1}^{3}y_{2}y_{3} + 10y_{1}^{2}y_{3}^{2}) + \frac{1}{6!}N^{(vi)}(y_{0})(y_{1}^{5}y_{3} + 15y_{1}^{4}y_{2}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{7}{7!}N^{(vii)}(y_{0})y_{5}^{6}y_{2} + \frac{1}{8!}N^{(viii)}(y_{0})y_{1}^{8}$$

LAPLACE ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD (LADM)

In this subsection, we outline the basics of the fundamentals of the fusionLaplace transformation and Adomian decomposition method (LADM)

Consider a functional differential equation of the form L[u(x)] + R[u(x)] + N[u(x)] = g(x)(11)

Subject to the initial condition

$$u(x,0) = f(x), \ \frac{\partial u(x,0)}{\partial t} = h(x)$$
(12)

Rearranging the above, we obtain the following relation for L[u(x)]L[u(x)] = g(x) - R[u(x)] - N[u(x)](13)

Applying Laplace transform on both sides of Eq. (11), supposing the highest differential operator is of order two and using the differentiation property, we get

$$s^{2}\mathcal{L}\{u(x)\} - sh(x) - f(x) = \mathcal{L}\{g(x)\} - \mathcal{L}\{Ru(x)\} - \mathcal{L}\{Nu(x)\}$$

$$s^{2}\mathcal{L}\{u(x)\} = sh(x) + f(x) + \mathcal{L}\{g(x)\} - \mathcal{L}\{Ru(x)\} - \mathcal{L}\{Nu(x)\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{u(x)\} = \frac{h(x)}{s} + \frac{f(x)}{s^{2}} + \frac{1}{s^{2}}\mathcal{L}\{g(x)\} - \frac{1}{s^{2}}\mathcal{L}\{Ru(x)\} - \frac{1}{s^{2}}\mathcal{L}\{Nu(x)\}$$
(14)

Next, we apply the inverse transform on both sides of Eq. (14), we obtain $u(x) = \phi(x) - \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^2} \mathcal{L} \{ Ru(x) \} - \frac{1}{s^2} \mathcal{L} \{ Nu(x) \} \right]$

Where $\phi(x)$ is the term arising from the first three terms on the right-hand side of Eq.

(15)

| Next, we assume the solution as decomposing series in the form | |
|--|------|
| $u(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x)$ | (16) |
| Similarly, the nonlinear terms are written in terms of the Adomian polynomials | |
| $Nu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ | (17) |

Where the $A_n^{\prime s}$ represents the Adomian polynomials defined in the form

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \left[N\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i y_i\right) \right]_{i=0}, n = 0, 1, 2, 3$$
(18)

Plugging Eqs (16) and (17) into Eq. (15), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x) = \phi(x) - \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^2} \mathcal{L} \{ R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x) \} - \frac{1}{s^2} \mathcal{L} \{ N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \} \right]$$
(19)

Matching both sides of Eq. (19), we obtain an iterative algorithm in the form

$$u_0(x) = \phi(x)$$

$$u_{1}(x) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{2}} \mathcal{L} \left\{ R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{0}(x) \right\} - \frac{1}{s^{2}} \mathcal{L} \left\{ N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{0} \right\} \right]$$

$$u_{2}(x) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{2}} \mathcal{L} \{ R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{1}(x) \} - \frac{1}{s^{2}} \mathcal{L} \{ N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{1} \} \right]$$

$$u_{3}(x) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{2}} \mathcal{L} \left\{ R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{2}(x) \right\} - \frac{1}{s^{2}} \mathcal{L} \left\{ N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{2} \right\} \right]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(20)$$

$$u_{n+1}(x) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s^2}\mathcal{L}\left\{R\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}u_n(x)\right\} - \frac{1}{s^2}\mathcal{L}\left\{N\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_n\right\}\right] \text{nal Journal}$$

Then the solution of the differential equation is obtained as the sum of decomposed series in the form $u(x) \approx u_0(x) + u_1(x) + u_2(x) + \cdots$ (21)

PADÉ APPROXIMATION

In Mathematics and other applied sciences, power series representation of a function is usually in truncated form. To approximate these functions to an appreciable degree, polynomials are used because their singularities are easily noticeable in each finite region. However, the radius of convergence may not be large enough to contain two boundaries, for this reason, power series is not always the best method to approximate a function. To overcome this inherent hurdle, a new approximation is applied to the solution obtained using power series as a quotient of two functions with varying degrees in a finite interval.

Padé approximation has been widely used to approximate several problems and has tremendous applications especially in computer calculation because it gives a better approximation without truncating its power series and still in problems where the series diverges. The different Padé approximants are obtained with the use of symbolic software Mathematica

A rational approximation to a function f(x) on [a, b] is the quotient of two polynomials, $P_N(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$ of degrees N and M respectively. It is denoted by [N/M](x)

That is,
$$[N/M](x) = \frac{P_N(x)}{Q_M(x)}$$
, $a \le x \le b$ (22)

Now consider the formal power series $f(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^k}$

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k$$

$$f(x) = \frac{P_N(x)}{Q_M(x)} + O(x^{N+M+1})$$

Rearranging gives

$$f(x) - \frac{P_N(x)}{Q_M(x)} = O(x^{N+M+1})$$
(24)

(23)

Multiply both sides of Eq. (24) by a constant keep it unchanged, hence we impose the normalization condition.

$$Q_M(0) = 1.0$$
 (25)

Next, we require that $P_N(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$ have non-common factors, so we write the coefficients of the $P_N(x)$ and $Q_M(x)$ as follows

Using Eqs. (25) and (26), we multiply Eq. (23) by $Q_M(x)$. This linearizes the coefficient equation. It is given in detailed form as

 $(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_Nx^N) = (b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_Mx^M)(c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots + c_Nx^N)$

Equating the coefficients of x^{N+1} , x^{N+2} , ..., x^{N+M} successively to zero, we obtain the system of Equations

For j < 0, we define $c_k = 0$ for consistency. Setting $b_0 = 1$, Eq. (22) become a set of *M* linear equations for *M* unknown coefficients in the denominator.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{N-M+1} & c_{N-M+2} & \dots & c_{N+1} \\ c_{N-M+2} & c_{N-M+3} & \dots & c_{N+2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_N & c_{N+1} & \dots & \dots & c_{N+M+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_M \\ b_{M-1} \\ \vdots \\ b_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{N+1} \\ c_{N+2} \\ \vdots \\ c_{N+M} \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

Solving the above system in Eq. (28), the coefficients b_i for i = 1, 2, ..., M may be found. Since the coefficients of the numerator, $c_0, c_1, c_2, ..., c_k$ is known. We equate the coefficients of $1, x, x^2, ..., x^N, x^{N+M}$ to obtain the remaining coefficients $a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_N$ notation.

Writing the above in explicit form, we obtain the above in explicit form, we obtain

$$a_{0} = c_{0}$$

$$a_{1} = c_{1} + b_{1}c_{0}$$

$$a_{2} = c_{2} + b_{1}c_{1} + b_{2}c_{0}$$
(29)

$$a_N = c_N + \sum_{k=1}^N b_k c_{N-k}$$
, $N = min(N, M)$

In view of Eqs. (28) and (29), the numerator and denominator of the Pade approximant are all determined which agrees with the original series to the order of x^{N+M} .

Now, to solve the system (29) for the set of unknowns, we assume that the Eqs. (28) and (29) are non-singular, so we can obtain the solution via the determinant. See [42-45]

$$[N/M] = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} a_{N-M+1} & a_{N-M+2} & \dots & a_{N+1} \\ a_{N} & a_{N+1} & \dots & a_{N+M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=M}^{N} a_{j-M} x^{j} & \sum_{j=M-1}^{N} a_{j-M+1} x^{j} & \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} x^{j} \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} a_{N-M+1} & a_{N-M+2} & \dots & a_{N+1} \\ a_{N} & a_{N+1} & \dots & a_{N+M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x^{M} & x^{M-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}$$
(30)

To obtain the diagonal Pade approximants of different orders such as [2/2], [4/4], [6/6], we use symbolic software Mathematica

APPLICATION OF LADM TO THE MODEL

Given the mathematical model for global warming as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= Q_0 + \lambda N - aX - \lambda_1 XF \end{aligned} (31) \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= sN \left(1 - \frac{N}{L}\right) - \theta XN + \pi \phi NF \end{aligned} (32) \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= sN \left(1 - \frac{N}{L}\right) - \theta XN + \pi \phi NF \end{aligned} (32) \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= \left(1 - \frac{N}{R}\right) - \phi NF + \pi_1 \lambda_1 XF \end{aligned} (33) \\ \text{Rearranging the above Eqs(31) - (33), we obtain \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{1}{Q_0} + \lambda N - aX - \lambda_1 XF \end{aligned} (34) \\ \frac{dx}{dt} &= \frac{1}{L} F (M - F) - \phi NF + \pi_1 \lambda_1 XF \end{aligned} (35) \\ \frac{dt}{dt} &= \frac{1}{L} F (M - F) - \phi NF + \pi_1 \lambda_1 XF \end{aligned} (36) \\ X(0) > 0, N(0) \ge 0, F(0) \ge 0 \end{aligned} (37) \\ \text{Where the parameters } X, N \text{ and } F \text{ have their usual meanings} \\ X = amount of C_0 in the atmosphere \\ N = Available human population \\ F = Forst Biomass \end{aligned} (38) \\ \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right) = \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} (N - N) - \mathcal{L} (\lambda X F) \\ \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right) = \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} (N - N) - \mathcal{L} (\lambda N + \mathcal{L} (\pi \Lambda F)) \end{aligned} (38) \\ \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right) = \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} (N (L - N)) - \mathcal{L} (\lambda N + \mathcal{L} (\pi \Lambda F)) \end{aligned} (40) \\ \text{Applying the formula for Laplace transform in the first derivative, we obtain \\ w \mathcal{L} (N - N(0) = \mathcal{L} (Q_0) + \lambda \mathcal{L} (N) - \mathcal{L} (\lambda N + \mathcal{L} (\lambda \Lambda F)) \end{aligned} (41) \\ w \mathcal{L} (N - N(0) = \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} (N (L - N)) - \theta \mathcal{L} (N + \pi \Lambda \mathcal{L} (X F)) \end{aligned} (42) \\ w \mathcal{L} (F) = \frac{M}{m} \mathcal{L} (F (M - F)) - \phi \mathcal{L} (N F) + \pi_1 \Lambda_2 \mathcal{L} (X F) \end{aligned} (44) \\ w \mathcal{L} (N) = \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} (N - N) - \theta \mathcal{L} (N + \pi \phi \mathcal{L} (N F) + \pi_1 \Lambda_2 \mathcal{L} (X F) \end{aligned} (44) \\ w \mathcal{L} (N) = \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} (N - N) - \theta \mathcal{L} (N + \pi \phi \mathcal{L} (N F) + \pi_1 \Lambda_2 \mathcal{L} (X F) \end{aligned} (44) \\ w \mathcal{L} (N) = \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (F (M - F)) - \phi \mathcal{L} (N F) + \pi_1 \Lambda_2 \mathcal{L} (X F) \end{aligned} (45) \\ w \mathcal{L} (F) = \frac{M}{m} \mathcal{L} (F (M - F)) - \phi \mathcal{L} (N F) + \pi_1 \Lambda_2 \mathcal{L} (X F) \end{aligned} (46) \\ Further simplification by dividing both sides by w, we get \\ \mathcal{L} (X) = \frac{0}{m} + \lambda \mathcal{L} (N) - \frac{0}{m} \mathcal{L} (X) + \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (X F) \end{aligned} (45) \\ w \mathcal{L} (F) = \frac{M}{m} \mathcal{L} (F (M - F)) - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (F (M - F)) - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (A) \end{aligned} (47) \\ \mathcal{L} (N) = \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (N (-N)) - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (X F) + \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (A) \end{aligned} (47) \\ \mathcal{L} (N) = \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (N (-N)) - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (X - M) = \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{L} (A) \end{aligned} (46) \\ Where A = XF, B = XN, C = NF$$
 (50) \\ By the calarker transform decomposition method, we

$$A_{1} = X_{0}F_{1} + X_{1}F_{0}$$

$$A_{2} = X_{0}F_{2} + X_{1}F_{1} + X_{2}F_{0}$$

$$A_{3} = X_{0}F_{3} + X_{1}F_{2} + X_{2}F_{1} + X_{3}F_{0}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{4} = X_{0}F_{4} + X_{1}F_{3} + X_{2}F_{2} + X_{3}F_{1} + X_{4}F_{0} \end{aligned} (53) \\ A_{5} = X_{0}F_{5} + X_{1}F_{4} + X_{2}F_{3} + X_{3}F_{2} + X_{4}F_{1} + X_{5}F_{0} \\ A_{6} = X_{0}F_{6} + X_{1}F_{5} + X_{2}F_{4} + X_{3}F_{3} + X_{4}F_{2} + X_{5}F_{1} + X_{6}F_{0} \\ A_{7} = X_{0}F_{7} + X_{1}F_{6} + X_{2}F_{5} + X_{3}F_{4} + X_{4}F_{3} + X_{5}F_{2} + X_{6}F_{1} + X_{7}F_{0} \\ A_{8} = X_{0}F_{8} + X_{1}F_{7} + X_{2}F_{6} + X_{3}F_{5} + X_{4}F_{4} + X_{5}F_{3} + X_{6}F_{2} + X_{7}F_{1} + X_{8}F_{0} \\ B_{0} = X_{0}N_{0} \\ B_{1} = X_{0}N_{1} + X_{1}N_{0} \\ B_{2} = X_{0}N_{2} + X_{1}N_{1} + X_{2}N_{0} \\ B_{3} = X_{0}N_{3} + X_{1}N_{2} + X_{2}N_{1} + X_{3}N_{0} \\ B_{4} = X_{0}N_{4} + X_{1}N_{4} + X_{2}N_{2} + X_{3}N_{1} + X_{4}N_{0} \\ B_{5} = X_{0}N_{5} + X_{1}N_{4} + X_{2}N_{3} + X_{3}N_{2} + X_{4}N_{1} + X_{5}N_{0} \\ B_{6} = X_{0}N_{6} + X_{1}N_{5} + X_{2}N_{4} + X_{3}N_{5} + X_{4}N_{4} + X_{5}N_{3} + X_{6}N_{2} + X_{7}N_{1} + X_{8}N_{0} \\ C_{0} = N_{0}F_{0} \\ C_{1} = N_{0}F_{1} + N_{1}F_{0} \\ C_{2} = N_{0}F_{2} + N_{1}F_{1} + N_{2}F_{0} \\ C_{3} = N_{0}F_{3} + N_{1}F_{2} + N_{2}F_{1} + N_{3}F_{3} + N_{4}F_{2} + N_{5}F_{1} + N_{6}F_{0} \\ C_{6} = N_{0}F_{6} + N_{1}F_{5} + N_{2}F_{4} + N_{3}F_{3} + N_{4}F_{2} + N_{5}F_{1} + N_{6}F_{0} \\ C_{6} = N_{0}F_{6} + N_{1}F_{5} + N_{2}F_{4} + N_{3}F_{5} + N_{4}F_{4} + N_{5}F_{3} + N_{5}F_{2} + N_{7}F_{1} + N_{8}F_{0} \\ C_{6} = N_{0}F_{6} + N_{1}F_{5} + N_{2}F_{6} + N_{3}F_{5} + N_{4}F_{4} + N_{5}F_{3} + N_{5}F_{2} + N_{7}F_{1} + N_{7}F_{0} \\ C_{8} = N_{0}F_{8} + N_{1}F_{7} + N_{2}F_{6} + N_{3}F_{5} + N_{3}F_{5} + N_{4}F_{4} + N_{5}F_{3} + N_{5}F_{2} + N_{7}F_{1} + N_{7}F_{0} \\ Putling Eqs. (51) and (52) into Eqs (47) (49) Develoment \\ \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{n}\} = \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}N_{n}N_{n}] - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{n}\} = \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}F_{n}) - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}F_{n}\} - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}F_{n}) - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}F_{n}\} - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}F_{n}\} - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{N_{0}\} - \frac{M_{0}}{M} \mathcal{L}\{N_{0}\} - \frac{M_{0$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{0}\} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{1}\} = \frac{s}{wL} \mathcal{L}\{N_{0}(L - N_{0})\} - \frac{\theta}{w} \mathcal{L}\{B_{0}\} + \frac{\pi\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_{0}\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{2}\} = \frac{s}{wL} \mathcal{L}\{N_{1}(L - N_{1})\} - \frac{\theta}{w} \mathcal{L}\{B_{1}\} + \frac{\pi\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_{1}\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{3}\} = \frac{s}{wL} \mathcal{L}\{N_{2}(L - N_{2})\} - \frac{\theta}{w} \mathcal{L}\{B_{2}\} + \frac{\pi\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_{2}\}$$

$$\vdots$$
(60)

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{n+1}\} = \frac{s}{wL} \mathcal{L}\{N_n(L-N_n)\} - \frac{\theta}{w} \mathcal{L}\{B_n\} + \frac{\pi\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_n\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{0}\} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{1}\} = \frac{\mu}{wM} \mathcal{L}\{F_{0}(M - F_{0})\} - \frac{\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_{0}\} + \frac{\pi_{1}\lambda_{1}}{w} \mathcal{L}\{A_{0}\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{2}\} = \frac{\mu}{wM} \mathcal{L}\{F_{1}(M - F_{1})\} - \frac{\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_{1}\} + \frac{\pi_{1}\lambda_{1}}{w} \mathcal{L}\{A_{1}\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{3}\} = \frac{\mu}{wM} \mathcal{L}\{F_{2}(M - F_{2})\} - \frac{\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_{2}\} + \frac{\pi_{1}\lambda_{1}}{w} \mathcal{L}\{A_{2}\}$$

$$\vdots$$
(61)

$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{n+1}\} = \frac{\mu}{wM} \mathcal{L}\{F_n(M - F_n)\} - \frac{\phi}{w} \mathcal{L}\{C_n\} + \frac{\pi_1 \lambda_1}{w} \mathcal{L}\{A_n\}$$

NUMERICAL APPLICATION

In this section, we apply the LADM to the numerical solution of the model using simulation.

Applying the inverse Laplace transform to both sides of Eqs (59) - (61) gives

$$\mathcal{L}\{X_0\} = \frac{Q_0}{w^2}, \ \mathcal{L}\{N_0\} = \frac{1}{w}, \ \mathcal{L}\{F_0\} = \frac{1}{w}$$
(62)

Substitution of Eq (62) into the second equations in Eqs (59) - (61), we get

$$\mathcal{L}\{X_{1}\} = \frac{\lambda}{w^{2}} - \frac{\alpha Q_{0}}{w^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{1}Q_{0}}{w^{3}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{1}\} = \frac{s(WL-)}{w^{3}L} - \frac{QQ_{0}}{w^{3}} + \frac{\pi\phi}{w^{2}}$$
(63)
$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{1}\} = \frac{\mu}{wM} \left(\frac{wM-1}{w^{2}}\right) - \frac{\phi}{w^{3}} + \frac{\pi_{1}\lambda_{1}Q_{0}}{w^{3}}$$
Putting the values of $\mathcal{L}\{X_{1}\}, \mathcal{L}\{N_{1}\}$ and $\mathcal{L}\{F_{1}\}$ into the second Eqs. (59) – (61), we obtain
$$\mathcal{L}\{X_{2}\} = \frac{\lambda}{w^{2}} - \frac{\alpha Q_{0}}{w^{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{1}Q_{0}}{w^{3}}$$
Research and
$$\mathcal{L}\{N_{2}\} = \frac{s(WL-1)}{w^{3}L} - \frac{QQ_{0}}{w^{3}} + \frac{\pi\phi}{w^{2}}$$
(64)
$$\mathcal{L}\{F_{2}\} = \frac{\mu}{wM} \left(\frac{wM-1}{w^{2}}\right) - \frac{\phi}{w^{3}} + \frac{\pi_{1}\lambda_{1}Q_{0}}{w^{3}}$$

Evaluating the Laplace transform of the quantities on the RHS of Eqs. (62) - (64), and applying the inverse Laplace transform, we obtain the values, $X_1(t)$, $N_1(t)$, $F_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$, $N_2(t)$, $F_2(t)$. Similarly, the other higher order solutions $X_3(t)$, $X_4(t)$, ..., $X_n(t)$, $N_3(t)$, $N_4(t)$, ..., $N_n(t)$, $F_3(t)$, $F_4(t)$, ..., $F_n(t)$ are obtained recursively in a similar fashion using Eqs. (59) - (61)

Now to obtain the solution of the parameters of interest in explicit form, we apply LADM to the model by taking the following values via simulation. We take X(0) = 1, N(0) = 1, F(0) = 1, for the three components of the model. Next, we take $Q_0 = 1, \lambda = 0.05, \alpha = 0.03, \lambda_1 = 0.0001, s == 0.01, L = 1000, \theta = 0.00001, \mu = 0.2, M = 2000, \pi = 0.01, \phi = 0.0002, \pi_1 = 0.01$. A few first approximations for X(t), N(t) and F(t) are calculated and presented below using LADM as follows.

$$\mathcal{L}\{X_0\} = \frac{1}{w^2}, \mathcal{L}\{N_0\} = \frac{1}{w}, \mathcal{L}\{F_0\} = \frac{1}{w}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{X_1\} = \frac{0.05}{w^2} - \frac{0.03}{w^3} + \frac{0.0001}{w^4}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{N_1\} = \frac{0.00999}{w^2} - \frac{0.0001}{w^3} + \frac{0.000022}{w^4}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\{F_1\} = \frac{0.00999}{w^2} - \frac{0.0002}{w^3} + \frac{0.000002}{w^4}$$
(65)

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of both sides of the above equations, we obtain the solutions of the parameters as follows.

 $X(t) = 0.05t - 0.03t^2 + 0.0000333333t^3$

$$N(t) = 0.00999t + 10^{-6}t^2 - 3.33333 \times 10^{-6}t^3$$

$$F(t) = 0.00999 - 0.0001t^2 + 3.33333 \times 10^{-7}t^3$$

(67)

Next., we calculate the [5/5] Pade approximates of the infinite series solution which gives the following rational fraction approximation of the parameters of interest using Mathematica

$$\begin{split} X_{Pade}(t) &= \frac{1.+0.7195405t-0.365002t^2+0.0050t^3-0.0000051t^4}{1-0.593t+2.16\times10^{-1}\ t^2+1.68\times10^{-15}t^3-1.4\times10^{-16}t^4} \\ N_{Pade}(t) &= \frac{1.+0.0049t-0.048t^2-0.0333\ ^3+1.6649\times10^{-8}t^4}{1-0.0045t+6.0408\times10^{-17}t^2+4.74\times10^{-1}\ t^3+4.9\times10^{-19}t^4} \\ F_{Pade}(t) &= \frac{1.+0.0502t-0.00506t^2+0.05308t^3-1.6565\times10^{-8}t^4}{1-0.049t+6.9697\times10^{-14}t^2-3.503\times10^{-17}t^3-3.4\times10^{-18}t^4} \end{split}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this subsection, the results of the problem in Eq. (1) are presented to show the effects of the governing parameters on the model. The effectiveness and accuracy of the numerical methods are displayed in Tables 1-3 and Figures 1-7. The methods give highly accurate results in few steps. The results obtained when compared are consistent with literature

| t | LADM | LADM-Padé | 4 th Order R-K |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 0 | 61 0 | 1 | |
| 0.2 | -1.27322 | -1.27369 | -1.27310 |
| 0.4 | -13.01120 | -13.1023 | -13.1201 |
| 0.6 | -39.11090 | -41.6953 | -41.6102 |
| 0.8 | -92.2623 | -134.119 OU | -134.102 |
| 1.0 | -196.788 | ren-195.23 len | -194.21 |
| 1.2 | -39 <mark>2.44</mark> | Re 178.808 and | 178.801 |
| | 4 | | |

| Ta | ble 1 | l: N | lumerical | Com | putat | ions f | for X | (t) | |
|----|-------|------|-----------|-----|-------|--------|-------|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |

 Table 2: Numerical computations for N(t)

| t | LADM | LADM-Padé | 4 th Order R-K |
|-----|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 0 | | 1 | • 12 |
| 0.2 | 19.0903 | 19.0903 | 19.0901 |
| 0.4 | 37.3871 | 37.3872 | 37.3862 |
| 0.6 | 56.0688 | 56.0699 | 56.0700 |
| 0.8 | 75.5955 | 75.6116 | 75.6110 |
| 1.0 | 96.867 | 96.9949 | 96.9950 |
| 1.2 | 121.397 | 122.11 | 122.020 |

Table 3: Numerical Computations for F(t)

| t | LADM | LADM-Padé | 4 th Order R-K |
|-----|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 0 | -1.05 | -1.05 | -1.05 |
| 0.2 | -1.04715 | -1.04715 | -1.04715 |
| 0.4 | -1.02824 | -1.02796 | -1.02796 |
| 0.6 | -0.983335 | -0.973338 | -0.97337 |
| 0.8 | -0.959682 | -0.833489 | 0.833452 |
| 1.0 | -1.30508 | -0.318347 | -0.318340 |
| 1.2 | -3.3568 | -5.94349 | -5.94340 |





Figure 1 Computation of Atmospheric CO₂ Against Time



Figure 2 Computations of Human Population Against Time



Figure 3 Computation of Forest Biomass Against Time



International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development @ www.ijtsrd.com eISSN: 2456-6470

Figure 4 Computation of Amount of CO2 Against Human Population



Figure 6 Human population Against Forest Biomass



Figure 7 Computation of Atmospheric CO2, Human Population and Forest Biomass against Time

[6]

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this study, the approximate analytical solution of the mathematical model describing the dynamics of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is solved using the fusion of Laplace transform and Adomian decomposition method (LADM). The validity, accuracy, flexibility, and effectiveness of the method is demonstrated by obtaining the exact solution of the parameters of interest subject to the initial condition. The solution obtained shows the MADM is effective and convenient.

Furthermore, MADM is a promising tool to effectively both linear and nonlinear PDEs. The benchmark solution is a ready reference for further works in the crime model.

REFERENCE

- Misra, A. K., Verma, M. (2013). A mathematical model to study the dynamics of carbon (iv) oxide gas in the atmosphere. Applied Mathematics and computation, 219, 8595-8609.
- [2] Rasool, S. I., Schneider, S. H. (1971). Atmospheric carbon dioxide and Aerosols: Effects of large increases on global climate. Science, 183: 138-141.
- [3] Tennakone, K. (1990). Stability of the carbon-Biomass equilibrium in the atmosphere. Mathematical model, Applied Mathematics & Computation, 35, 125-130.
- [4] Plass, G. N. (1956). The carbon dioxide of climate change. Tellus, 8: 140-154.
- [5] McMichael, A. J., Woodruff, R. E., Hales, S. (2006). Climate change and human health; present and future risks. Lancet 367, 859-869.

Woodwell, G. M., Hobbie, J. E., Houghton, R. A., Melillo, B., Moore, B., Peterson, B. J., Shaver, G. R. (1983). Global deforestation: contribution to atmospheric carbon (iv) oxide. Science, 222, 1081-1086.

[7] Martens, W. J. M., Jetten, T. H., Niessen, L. M., Rotmans, J. (1995). Climate change and vector-borne diseases. A global perspective, global environ. Change, 5, 195-209.

Alexiadis, A. (2007). Global warming and human activity; a model for studying the potential instability of carbon (iv) oxide/temperature feedback mechanism. Ecological Modelling, 203, 243-256.

- [9] Detwiler, R. P., Hall, C. A. S. (1988). Tropical forests and the global carbon cycle. Science, 239:42.
- [10] Khasnis, A. A., Nettleman, M. D. (2005). Infectious Diseases. Arch. Med. Res, 36, 689-696.
- [11] Kurane, I. (2010). The effect of global warming on Infectious diseases. Osong public health Res. Perspect, 1, 4-9.
- [12] Caetano, M. A. L., Gherardi, D. F. M., Yoneyama, T. (2011). An optimised policy for the reduction of CO_2 emission in the Brazilian legal amazon. Ecological Modelling, 222, 2835-2840.
- [13] Malhi, Y., Grace, J. (2000). Tropical forests and atmospheric carbon (iv) oxide. Trends Ecol. Evolution, 15, 332-337.
- [14] Ewers, B. M. (2006). Interaction effects between economic development and forest

cover determine deforestation rates. Global Environmental Change, 16, 161-169.

- [15] Kremen, C., Niles, J. O., Dalton, M. C., Daily, G. C., Ehrlich, P. R., Fay, J. P., Grewal, D., Cullery, R. P. (2000). Economic incentives for rain forest conservation across scales. Science, 288, 1828-1832.
- [16] Mahar, D. J. (1989). Government policies and Deforestation in Brazil's amazon region. World Bank, Washington, DC, USA, 56 pp.
- [17] Olabisi, L. S., Reich, P. B., Johnson, K. A., Kapuchinski, A. R., Suh, S., Wilson, E. J. (2009). Reducing greenhouse gas emission for climate stabilization: framing regional options. Environmental science and Technology, 43, 1696-1703.
- Sohngen, B., Mendelson, R. (2003). An optimal control model of forest carbon sequestration. American Journal of [30] Agricultural Economics, 85, 448-457.
- [19] Khuri, S. A. (2004). A new Approach to Bratu's problem. Applied Mathematics Computation, 147, 131-136.
- [20] Khuri, S. A., Alchikh, R. (2019). An iterative [31] Approach for the numerical solution of Fractional Boundary Value problems. International Journal of Applied Computational Mathematics, 5, 147.
- [21] Khuri, S. A., Alchikh, R. (2020). On the solution of the fractional Bratu's problem.
 International Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, ISSN: 0972-0502, 2169-012X.
- [22] Fadaei, J. (2011). Application of Laplace Adomian decomposition method on linear and nonlinear system of PDEs. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 5, 1307-1315.
- [23] Khan, M., Hussain, M., Jafari, H., Khan, Y. (2010). Application of Laplace decomposition method to solve nonlinear coupled partial differential equations. World Applied Science Journal, 9, 13-19.
- [24] Yusufoglu, E. (2006). Numerical solution of the Duffing equation by the Laplace decomposition algorithm. Applied Mathematics computation, 177, 572-580.
- [25] Nasser, A. S. (1997). A Numerical method for the solution of the Falkner-Skanequation. Applied Mathematics Computation, 81, 259-264.

- [26] Ongun, M. Y. (2011). The Laplace Adomian decomposition for solving a model for HIV infection of CD4+Tcells. Mathematics and computational modelling, 53, 597-603.
- [27] Pue-on, P. (2013). Laplace Adomian decomposition method for solving Newell-Whitehead-Segel Equation. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol 7, No. 132, 6593-6600.
- [28] Cherruault, Y. (2002). Solution of Nonlinear Equation by Modified Adomian Decomposition method. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 132(1), 167-172.
- [29] Doğan, N. (2012). Solution of the System of Ordinary Differential Equation by Combined Laplace Transform–Adomian Decomposition Method. Mathematical and Computational Applications. 17(3), 203-2012.
 - Hendi, F. A. (2011). The Combined Laplace Adomian decomposition Method. Applied for Solving Linear and Nonlinear Volterra Integral Equation with Weakly kernel. Studies in Nonlinear Sciences. 2(4), 129-134.
- [31] Wazwaz, A. M. (2010). The combined Laplace transform–Adomiande composition method for handling nonlinear Volterra Integro–differential equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 216(4), 1304–1309.
- [32] Waleed, A. H. (2013). Solving nth-order Integro-differential equation using the combined Laplace transform-Adomian decomposition method. Applied Mathematics, 4, 882-886.
- [33] Manafianheris, J. (2012). Solving the Integrodifferential equations using the modified Laplace Adomian decomposition method. Journal of mathematical Extension, 6, 41-55.
- [34] Mohamed, M. A., Torky, M. S. (2013). Numerical solution of Nonlinear system of differential by Partial the Laplace decomposition method Pade and the Approximation. American Journal of Computational Mathematics, 3, 175-184.
- [35] Koroma, M. A., Widatalla, S., Kamara, A. F., Zhang, C. (2013). Laplace Adomian decomposition method applied to a twodimensional viscous fluid with shrinking sheet. 7, 525-529.

- [36] Islam, S., Khan, Y., Faraz, N., Austin, F. (2010). Numerical solution to Logistic differential equation by using Laplace decomposition method. World Applied Science Journal, 8, 1100-1105.
- [37] Yindoula, J. B., Youssouf, P., Bissanga, G., Bassino, F., Some, B. (2014). Application of the Adomian decomposition method and Laplace transform method to solving the convection diffusion-dissipation equation. International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research, 3, 30-35.
- [38] Khuri, S. A. (2001). A Laplace decomposition algorithm applied to a class of nonlinear differential equation. Journal of Applied Mathematics, 1, 141-155.
- [39] Al-khaled, K., and Allan, F. (2005). Decomposition Method for Solving Nonlinear

Integro-Differential Equations. *Mathematics* and computing. 19(1-2), 415-425.

- [40] Khan, M., and Hussain, M. (2011). Application of Laplace Decomposition Method on Semi-Infinite Domain. Numerical Algorithm. 56(2), 211-218.
- [41] Doan, N. (2012). Solution of the system of ordinary differential equations by combined Laplace transform and Adomian decomposition method. Mathematical and Computational Applications, 17, 203-211.
- [42] Wazwaz, A. M. (1999). Analytical approximations and Pade approximants for Volterra's population model. Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation, 100, 31-35.

