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#### Abstract

Grid floor is an assembly of intersecting beam at regular interval and interconnected to a slab of nominal thickness is known as grid floor .These slab covers large free area and therefore it is adopted for public hall. A waffle slab is a type of building material that has two direction reinforcement. These types of floor are used to cover a large obstruction free are and therefore a good choice for public assembly hall. The present work includes the investigative parameter in terms of flexural action such bending moment, torsion and shear force, spacing of grid are the parameter considered for analysis and the results are evaluated for various grid panels. E-Tabs software is used for the analysis of grid slabs. Objective of this study is to analysis the grid slabs for different panels of orthogonal grids \& diagonal grids.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Grid/waffle slab is defined as an assembly of beams that are intersecting at regular intervals \& are interconnected to a slab of uniform thickness. These waffle slabs cover large free area \& are therefore adopted for public hall. These have pleasant appearance, also less maintenance cost, however the construction of a grid slab is considered to be cost prohibited. Waffle name comes in due to its grid pattern that is created by its reinforced ribs. A grid slab has reinforcement in both X \& Y direction. These types of slabs cover large obstructions free are and hence these are a good choice for public assembly hall etc., and these grid structures are monolithic and are stiffer in nature. Waffle slab are designed to be more solid when used on longer span \& with heavier loads. By checking the various parameters that are involved, economical solution could be initiated for grid slab. Grids are highly redundant structure system and it is indeterminate (DOF) is not measured by equilibrium equation. The matrix formulation by stiffness of structure is computed by stiffness method.
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## A. Types of grid slabs

## 1. Orthogonal grid slabs

These are regular type of grid system where the xordinate node and y coordinate note are mutually perpendicular to each other. The loads are transferred perpendicular from slab to column. These grid slabs considered to be stiffer compared to other types of grid

## 2. Diagonal grid slabs

The diagonal grids/dia grids are grid slabs that are inclined to plane, normally 45 to 60 degrees. These types of slab are less stiff inclined

## 3. Three way grid slabs

This type of grid include two grid running in orthogonal direction and other grid line passing diagonal in between grid between the grid junction column are placed stiffness of the joint is distributed to links surrounding the nodes.

## B. Methods of analysis

Grid is highly redundant structural system and therefore statically indeterminate. Various approaches available for the analysis of grid floor frame, are as listed below.

1. Analysis of grid by Rankine - Grashoff method.
2. Analysis by plate analogy theory.
3. Stiffness method.

## 1. Rankine - Grashoff method

This is an approximate method. This method is based on equating the deflections at junctions of ribs at either per unit width of slab strip. The slabs are considered simply supported on edges

## 2. Plate analogy method

This method is based on Timoshenko analysis of orthopic plate theory considering plane stress analysis accounts shear and bending effect. This is a rigorous method of analysis. In this method also the analysis is done by considering the grid simply supported on edges. Bending \& torsion moments and shears are obtained per unit width of slab strip

## 3. Stiffness method

This method, also called as Matrix/direct stiffness method, is used for the computer-automated complex structures study which includes statically indeterminate type structures. This method uses member stiffness relations for computing these
member forces and displacements in the structures. This method is the exact application of the finite element method (FEM).

## C. Objectives

The following objectives are considered in the present study
$>$ To compare the nodal forces of a grid slab between Manual \& Software analysis
$>$ Analysis of orthogonal and diagonal grid slab for various grid panels \& to obtain nodal forces for a particular Live load $\left(10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$>$ To obtain deflection of grid slabs

## 2. METHODOLOGY

First a numerical data of a grid slab available will be considered for both manual as well as software calculation is done using different methods. With the obtained results from the manual calculation, software analysis is observed. Bending moments are obtained at mid span \& cross beam is taken for considerations. Results are compared between manual \& software analysis. Software analysis for orthogonal grids \& diagonal grids of various grid sizes considering live load ( $10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) only. Bending moment, shear force and deflection are obtained for different grid panels
3. MODELLING AND ANALYSIS

| Type of analysis | Manual analysis | E- TA BS software |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size of grid | 12 m by 16 m | 12 m by 16 m |
| Spacing of ribs | $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Concrete $\left(f_{c k}\right)$ | $20 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ | $20 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| Steel $\left(f_{y}\right)$ | $\mathbf{4 1 5 ~ N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 5} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| Slab thickness | 100 mm | 100 mm |
| Beam size | $200 \mathrm{~mm} * 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $200 \mathrm{~mm} * 00 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Live load | - | $1.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| Floor finish | - | $0.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |



Fig 1: AutoCAD diagram of grid slab


Fig 2: Deformation of grid slab


Fig 3: Bending moments


Fig 4: 3-D view of Grid slab
Table 1:- Comparision of Maximum moments in grid (per metre)

| Method of analysis | Rankine Grashofif <br> Theory | Timoshenko's <br> Plate theory | E-Tabs 2017 <br> Stifiness method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bending Moment $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m})$ | 90 | 108 | 92 |
| Bending Moment $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m})$ | 48 | 61 | 49 |

## COMPARISION CHART



## 4. RESULTS

Table 2:- Results of orthogonal grids for Live load of $10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

| Grid details | Beam direction | Beam mark | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{kN}$-m | Ox, Oy kN | mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid panel size $=5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} 1 \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | 6.3 3.6 | 3.42 1.6 | 2 1.2 |
| Grid panel size $=6 \mathrm{~m} \times 8 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.5 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \\ & 10.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 6.3 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 13 | 8.3 | 7.7 |
| Grid panel size $=6 \mathrm{mx} 10 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 21.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20 \\ 10.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 117 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 11 | 8.3 | 10 |
| Grid panel size $=8 \mathrm{~m} \times 8 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 400 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 31.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.5 \\ & 15.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 8.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=8 \mathrm{mx} 10 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 400 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 17.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.5 \\ & 30.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grid panel size $=8 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{12m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 400 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X-direction | B1 B2 B3 | $\begin{gathered} 87.2 \\ 75.3 \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40 \\ 34.6 \\ 17.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 20 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.5 \\ 25.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.3 \\ & 14.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| Grid details | Beam direction | Beam mark | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{kN}$-m | $\underset{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{Qx}, \mathbf{Q y}}$ | - mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid panel size $=9 \mathrm{~m} \times 9 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 450 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.2 \\ 45.4 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 25.1 \\ 13.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 11.2 \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=9 \mathrm{mx} 10 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 450 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along <br> shorter span \& $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along longer span | X-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.5 \\ & 42.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 10.4 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 52 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28 \\ 23.6 \\ 11.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18 \\ 15.4 \\ 8.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=9 \mathrm{mx} 12 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 450 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ c/c along <br> shorter span \& 2 mc c along longer span | X-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101.6 \\ 87.6 \\ 49.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 40 \\ 22.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 21 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.1 \\ 47 \\ 26.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.4 \\ & 23.2 \\ & 11.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 21 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grid panel size $=9 \mathrm{mx} 15 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 450 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \\ & \text { B5 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 105 \\ 90.4 \\ 66 \\ 34.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 44.2 \\ 40 \\ 29.4 \\ 14.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \\ 25.2 \\ 22 \\ 16.2 \\ 8.6 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 31 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 27 \\ 23.4 \\ 12.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \\ 23 \\ 13.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{mx} 10 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.5 \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 20.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ |
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| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{mx} 12 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 129 \\ 111.7 \\ 65 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50.4 \\ 44 \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28 \\ 24.3 \\ 14.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.6 \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.6 \\ & 16.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{mx} 14 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154.1 \\ 124.6 \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.1 \\ & 46.4 \\ & 23.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.5 \\ 27.3 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} 1 \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.2 \\ & 45.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.2 \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.7 \\ 20.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along <br> shorter span \& $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along longer span | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \\ & \text { B5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140.4 \\ 134.4 \\ 116 \\ 85.6 \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50 \\ 47.7 \\ 41.4 \\ 30 \\ 15.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31 \\ 29.4 \\ 25.5 \\ 19 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.1 \\ & 33.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 19.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{~m} \times 16 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 180 \\ & 168 \\ & 131 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 64 60 47 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39 \\ & 37 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B4 } \\ & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 72.2 \\ 61.3 \\ 39 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.4 \\ 33 \\ 18.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 37 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grid details | Beam direction | Beam mark | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{kN}$-m | $\underset{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{Qx}, \mathrm{Oy}}$ | $\delta \mathrm{mm}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{mx} 18 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X-direction | B1 <br> B2 <br> B3 <br> B4 | 194 174.3 134 73.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 60 \\ 47 \\ 23.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.4 \\ 38 \\ 29.5 \\ 16.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.3 \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{mx} 20 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \\ & \text { B5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 207.5 \\ 200.4 \\ 177 \\ 134.6 \\ 73.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.5 \\ 66.5 \\ 60 \\ 46.2 \\ 24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45.6 \\ 44 \\ 39 \\ 30 \\ 16.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 32.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.6 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.3 \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} 12 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156.2 \\ 134.2 \\ 77.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.7 \\ 46.6 \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \\ 25.4 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} 14 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 203.5 \\ 162 \\ 89.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.1 \\ 53 \\ 27.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.5 \\ 30.3 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Y-direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 149.5 \\ 129.2 \\ 75.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.4 \\ 45 \\ 24.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.4 \\ & 33.3 \\ & 19.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} 15 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{c}$ along shorter span \& $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along longer span | X -direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \\ & \text { B5 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 194 \\ 184.5 \\ 157 \\ 113 \\ 59.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 59.1 \\ 56.6 \\ 49 \\ 35.6 \\ 19.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 35.7 <br> 34.1 <br> 29.3 <br> 21.5 <br> 11.4 |


|  | Y-direction | B1 B2 B3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 116 \\ 100.1 \\ 58.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 46.1 41 24 | 35.6 31 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{16m}$ <br> Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ <br> Grid panel size $=9 \mathrm{mx} 12 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 450 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along shorter <br> span \& $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along longer span | X-direction | B1 | 252 | 76.4 | 46.1 |
|  |  | B2 | 233.1 | 71.3 | 43 |
|  |  | B3 | 178 | 56 | 33.3 |
|  |  | B4 | 96 | 28.5 | 18.2 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 132.6 | 48.7 | 46 |
|  |  | B2 | 115 | 42.4 | 40 |
|  |  | B3 | 67 | 22.7 | 23 |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} 18 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | B1 | 281 | 82.3 | 51.4 |
|  |  | B2 | 249.1 | 74.1 | 45.8 |
|  |  | B3 | 185.7 | 56.8 | 34.8 |
|  |  | B4 | 98.7 | 29.4 | 18.8 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 115 | 46.2 | 52.1 |
|  |  | B2 | 99.1 | 40.3 | 45.1 |
|  |  | B3 | 58.2 | 21.6 | 26.1 |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} 20 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | B1 | 309.4 | 88 | 56.5 |
|  |  | B2 | 296.3 | 84.6 | 54.2 |
|  |  | B3 | 256.3 | 74.7 | 47.3 |
|  |  | B4 | 188.7 | 56.7 | 35.4 |
|  |  | B5 | 100 | 30.6 | 19 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 100 | 44.5 | 56.5 |
|  |  | B2 | 87.4 | 38.8 | 49 |
|  |  | B3 | 51.8 | 20.8 | 28.3 |
| Grid panel size $=14 \mathrm{mx14} \mathrm{~m}$ |  | B1 | 230.6 | 67 | 32.5 |
| Beam size $=230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 700 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | B2 | 185 | 54.7 | 26.2 |
| Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ |  | B3 | 104 | 30 | 15 |


| Grid details | Beam direction | Beam mark | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{kN}$-m | Qx, Qy kN | $\delta \mathrm{mm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid panel size $=14 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 700 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along shorter span \& $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ along longer span | X -direction | B1 | 232.6 | 63.2 | 32.5 |
|  |  | B2 | 221.5 | 60.5 | 31 |
|  |  | B3 | 189.1 | 52.3 | 26.5 |
|  |  | B4 | 138.1 | 38.3 | 19.5 |
|  |  | B5 | 73 | 23 | 10.3 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 190.6 | 62 | 31.7 |
|  |  | B2 | 152.3 | 51.7 | 25.5 |
|  |  | B3 | 85.1 | 29.7 | 14.3 |
| Grid panel size $=14 \mathrm{~m} \times 16 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 700 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | B1 | 302.7 | 83 | 42.2 |
|  |  | B2 | 280.2 | 77 | 39 |
|  |  | B3 | 215 | 61 | 30 |
|  |  | B4 | 118 | 34 | 16.6 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 218.5 | 64.3 | 41.2 |
|  |  | B2 | 175.3 | 52.5 | 33.3 |
|  |  | B3 | 98.3 | 27.6 | 18.5 |
| Grid panel size $=14 \mathrm{mx} 18 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 700 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X-direction | B1 | 353 | 93 | 49.1 |
|  |  | B2 | 312.1 | 83.3 | 43.6 |
|  |  | B3 | 233.7 | 64 | 33 |
|  |  | B4 | 126 | 36.3 | 17.7 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 200.3 | 60.7 | 48.6 |
|  |  | B2 | 161 | 50 | 39.2 |
|  |  | B3 | 90.2 | 26.1 | 21.8 |
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| Grid panel size $=14 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 700 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | B1 | 401.3 | 102.2 | 55.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B2 | 383.5 | 98.2 | 53.3 |
|  |  | B3 | 330 | 86.2 | 46.1 |
|  |  | B4 | 243.1 | 65.2 | 34.2 |
|  |  | B5 | 130 | 38 | 18.3 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 175.5 | 58 | 54.4 |
|  |  | B2 | 141 | 47.2 | 44 |
|  |  | B3 | 79.4 | 24.7 | 24.4 |
| Grid panel size $=15 \mathrm{mx} 15 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=230 \mathrm{~mm} \times 750 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | B1 | 214 | 62 | 28.3 |
|  |  | B2 | 203.3 | 59.5 | 27 |
|  |  | B3 | 172.5 | 52.1 | 23.1 |
|  |  | B4 | 125.3 | 39.3 | 17 |
|  |  | B5 | 66.4 | 21.1 | 9 |
| Grid panel size $=18 \mathrm{mx} 18 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 900 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | B1 | 422 | 92 | 43 |
|  |  | B2 | 371 | 83.5 | 38 |
|  |  | B3 | 275.1 | 66 | 28.4 |
|  |  | B4 | 146 | 38 | 15.2 |
| Grid panel size $=18 \mathrm{mx} \mathrm{20m}$ Beam size $=250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 900 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{c}$ | X -direction | B1 | 527 | 113.4 | 53 |
|  |  | B2 | 502 | 109 | 51 |
|  |  | B3 | 428.2 | 95.3 | 43.5 |
|  |  | B4 | 312.4 | 73.1 | 32 |
|  |  | B5 | 166 | 45 | 17 |
|  | Y-direction | B1 | 409 | 92.5 | 52.4 |
|  |  | B2 | 360 | 83.2 | 46.3 |
|  |  | B3 | 267.5 | 64 | 34.6 |
|  |  | B4 | 144 | 39.4 | 18.5 |
| Grid panel size $=20 \mathrm{mx} 20 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> Beam size $=250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | B1 | 547.7 | 112 | 50.3 |
|  |  | B2 | $\checkmark 520.7$ | 107.6 | 48 |
|  |  | B3 | - 442.3 | 94.2 | 41.1 |
|  |  | B4 | 322 | 74.5 | 30.1 |
|  |  | B5 | 170.8 | 45.3 | 16 |

Table 3:- Results of diagonal grids for Live load of $10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathbf{m}^{2}$

| Grid details | Beam direction | Beam mark | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{kN}$-m | Qx, Qy kN | $\delta \mathrm{mm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid panel size $=5 \mathrm{mx} 5 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 4.74 \\ 4.1 \\ 5.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ 4 \\ 3.5 \\ 5.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 1.5 \\ 1 \\ 0.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=8 \mathrm{mx} 8 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 400 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 26 \\ 25 \\ 32.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 16 \\ 20.2 \\ 24.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 6 \\ 3.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=10 \mathrm{mx} 10 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.4 \\ 57.4 \\ 57.2 \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.7 \\ 27 \\ 34.2 \\ 48.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 11.1 \\ 6.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grid panel size $=12 \mathrm{mx} 12 \mathrm{~m}$ Beam size $=200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ Beam spacing $=2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y direction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B2 } \\ & \text { B3 } \\ & \text { B4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ 106.4 \\ 109 \\ 153.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.2 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 50.8 \\ & 79.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ 17.6 \\ 10.6 \\ 3.1 \end{gathered}$ |


|  |  | B1 | 428 | 243 | 26.3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B2 | 262.2 | 133 | 25.5 |
| Grid panel size $=20 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{20} \mathrm{m}$ |  | B3 | 273.6 | 77.8 | 23 |
| Beam size $=250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | B4 | 291 | 63.6 | 19.1 |
| Beam spacing $=1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c}$ | X \& Y | B5 | 312 | 95.4 | 14.3 |
|  | direction | B6 | 334.4 | 161 | 9.2 |
|  |  | B7 | 377.6 | 218 | 4.5 |
|  |  | B8 | 374.2 | 221 | 1 |

Here, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Bending moment
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ Shear force
$\delta=$ Deflection


Fig 5: $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ orthogonal grid


Fig 6: Orthogonal grid 3-D view with beam marking


Fig 7: 20m x 20m diagonal grid


Fig 8: Diagonal grid 3-D view with beam marking

## 5. CONCLUSION

The present study is focused on orthogonal and diagonal grid slab analysis using E-TABS 2017 software for various grid panels with only live load acting on the slabs. Below stated are the conclusions drawn from the above analysis carried out
> Between Rankine-Grashoff, Plate analogy and Stiffness method, Rankine-Grashoff \& Stiffness method results are almost same but Timoshenko's Plate analogy theory overestimates the shear force and bending moment.
$>$ In case of orthogonal grid slabs, the bending moment of beams in grid slabs goes on decreasing towards the peripheral beams.
$>$ In case of diagonal grid slabs, the bending moment decreases till certain beam and again starts to increases towards the peripheral beams.
> In diagonal slab the bending moment of mid span beam obtained from E-Tabs is much lesser
when compared to the result obtained by using the formula ( $\mathrm{W} * \mathbf{2 *}$ ) $) \mathbf{8}$
Where, $\mathrm{W}=$ Shear force obtained from E-Tabs $\mathrm{L}=$ Length of beam

This is because of its unique property of providing rigidity for bending and shear
$>$ The bending moment of the peripheral beam is higher compared to mid span beam in diagonal grid slab.
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