Influence of Education and Family Size on the Effectiveness of NULM (National Urban Livelihood Mission Project) in Mysore City

Ms. Umme Hani¹, Dr. Jyothi H. P²

¹Research Scholar, ²Associate Professor, ^{1,2}DoS in Social Work, University of Mysore, Manasagangothri, Mysuru, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

The study addresses influence of Education and Family Size on the Effectiveness of NULM (National Urban Livelihood Mission Project) in Mysore city. The study is based on primary data collected through interview methods by using structured questionnaire. 200 sample respondents are selected randomly from SHGs members under NULM Project in Mysore city. It was found from the study that majority of the respondents have done their secondary education level with mean value of 76.1728 (s.d. 7.46557), However, their awareness about various things has been increased after joining SHGs. It is suggested that creating awareness about the more government Programmes under NULM and bank procedures is necessary. NULM programme should encourage the SHGs Women in participation in the entire awareness programme in Urban Development.

KEYWORDS: Socio-Economic Development; Education; NULM; Women Empowerment; SHGs; family

of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

ISSN: 2456-6470

How to cite this paper: Ms. Umme Hani | Dr. Jyothi H. P "Influence of Education and Family Size on the Effectiveness of NULM (National Urban Livelihood Mission Project) in Mysore City"

Published in International
Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470,
Volume-5 | Issue-6,

IJTSRD47540

October 2021, pp.1118-1123, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd47540.pdf

Copyright © 2021 by author (s) and International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed under the



terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

INTRODUCTION

Empowerment of women is one of the important factors for eradication of Poverty, as the women's contribution in various levels for economic development though both remunerative and unremunerative work at home and the work place in combating poverty.

are the key contribution to the economic at all levels women participation combating poverty though both remunerative and un-remunerative work at home and the work place.

Empowerment of women is one of the important factors for eradication of Poverty, as the women's contribution in various levels for economic development for eradication of Poverty, as the women are the key contributors to the economy at all levels, women participation combating poverty though both remunerative and un-remunerative work at home and the work place. The formation of self help groups has provided a lot of confidence among members of self help groups to save money taken loan from banks,

internal lending at lower rate of interest. Thus women saw economic development to some extent. However there was greater need from government to uplift women both socially and economically. When the researcher reviewed various literatures, she found that NULM project has put good efforts to uplift urban poor women. The researcher felt interesting to research as how the NULM project has contributed for Social and Economic Development of urban poor women.

REVIEW of LITERATURE

Hampamma & Naidu (2017) studied the impact of SHGs on socio-economic betterment, women empowerment and family welfare of tribal women inhabited in Kurnool area and the role of SHGs in the development of Tribal families. Nalina (2016) gave a conceptual frame work on women empowerment through self Help Group. The concept of self-help group has its roots in rural areas and it has been mooted along the rural and semi-urban women to improve their living conditions. Manohar (2015)

made an investigation on the point effect of self-help group sand smaller scale fund on monetary empowerment of women—a contextual analysis of Haveri localein Karnataka, with the destination so assessing the job of Self Help Groups in ladies strengthening in the examination region and evaluating the effect of miniaturized scale money gave by the SHGs to the respondent woman individuals in their pay creating exercises, reserve funds.

OBJECTIVES

- ➤ To analyze women empowerment through Self Help Groups under NULM with respect to the SHG members in Mysore City, Karnataka.
- ➤ To identify influence of Education level and Family size on Women Empowerment and SHG members

- > To find out influence between number of members in the family and empowerment
- ➤ To study and Document the perception of self help group members towards NULM project

METHODOLOGY

The study is based on primary and secondary data. The primary data were collected from 200 SHGs women entrepreneurs under NULM project. The researcher used a structures interview schedule in the areas of Mysore city through random sampling methods. The secondary data were collected from government documents prepared by Mysore City Corporations(MCC), books, journals, newspapers and websites to support the findings of the study.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Table 1: Influence of Education level and Family size on Women Empowerment and SHG members

Type of Empowermen		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
4	Illiterate cienti	27		14.47427
Ė	Primary	42	67.3611	18.24342
A.	Secondary	54	69.3364	14.01966
Economic / 8	PUC/Diploma	50	70.75	14.02162
rmal (Graduation	23	74.1304	15.19544
		4	73.75	10.01157
g in a	Total end in Sch	200	70.0125	15.09057
of len	Illiterate alcha	27	81.0185	7.72917
() To	Primary elopme	42	80.1786	5.64155
W 6	Secondary 56-64	54	78.0093	9.71747
Political	PUC/Diploma	50	82.9	7.7486
(V)	Graduation	23	76.413	11.5755
N. C.	Post Graduation	4	84.375	10.48312
	Total	200	80.0375	8.7162
	Illiterate	27	84.6091	9.84063
	Primary	42	86.6667	10.18941
	Secondary	54	78.3539	8.61404
Educational	PUC/Diploma	50	78.8	7.83053
	Graduation	23	78.6473	6.98689
	Post Graduation	4	81.6667	12.6198
	Total	200 81.1556 9.43		9.43325
	Illiterate	27	80.7407	14.81606
	Primary	42	83.7698	12.83658
	Secondary	54	82.3457	11.47652
Social	PUC/Diploma	50	85	10.18536
	Graduation	23	82.3188	11.01811
	Post Graduation	4	91.6667	10
	Total	200	83.275	11.89989
	Illiterate	27	86.7725	9.54698
	Primary	42	89.5238	11.05066
Psychological	Secondary	54	84.127	10.71444
	PUC/Diploma	50	84.9714	8.80744
	Graduation	23	88.5714	9.82217

	Post Graduation	4	85	10
	Total	200	86.3571	10.1731
Total	Illiterate	27	77.7901	7.3712
	Primary	42	77.8333	8.78106
	Secondary	54	76.1728	7.46557
	PUC/Diploma	50	78.0867	7.29265
	Graduation	23	78.4348	6.98659
	Post Graduation	4	81.25	7.18215
	Total	200	77.58	7.6207

Table 2: ANOVA results for significance Education level and Family size on Women Empowerment and SHG members

ANOVA							
		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Economic	Between Groups	793.093	5	158.619	.691	.631	
	Within Groups	44524.237	194	229.506			
	Total	45317.330	199				
	Between Groups	1036.058	5	207.212	2.855	.016	
Political	Within Groups	14082.410	194	72.590			
	Total	15118.469	199				
	Between Groups	2444.689	5	488.938	6.214	.000	
Educational	Within Groups	15263.558	194	78.678			
	Total /	17708.247	199	<u> </u>			
Social	Between Groups	681.817	5	136.363	.962	.442	
	Within Groups	27498.058	194	141.743			
	Total Inte	28179.875	199	Y)			
Psychological	Between Groups	910.547	5	182.109	1.795	.116	
	Within Groups	19684.351	194	101.466			
	Total	20594.898	199	5 8			
	Between Groups	194.329	5 _	38.866	.664	.652	
Total empowerment	Within Groups	SN 11362.613	194	58.570			
	Total	11556.942	199	8			

Economic empowerment: The mean percent economic empowerment of the respondents based on educational qualification is **70.06**, **67.36**, **69.33**, **70.75**, **74.13** and **73.75** of Illiterate, Primary, Secondary, PUC/Diploma, Graduation and Post Graduation respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (**F=0.691**; **p=.631**), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, educational qualification of the respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Political empowerment: The mean percent **Political** empowerments of the respondents based on educational qualification are **81.01**, **80.17**, **78.00**, **82.90**, **76.41** and **84.37** of Illiterate, Primary, Secondary, PUC/Diploma, Graduation and Post Graduation respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (**F**=2.855; **p**=**0**.016), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, educational qualification of the respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Educational empowerment: The mean percent economic empowerments of the respondents based on educational qualification are **84.61**, **86.67**, **78.35**, **78.80**, **78.65** and **81.67** of Illiterate, Primary, Secondary, PUC/Diploma, Graduation and Post Graduation respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a significant mean difference between these scores (**F=6.214**; **p=.000**). Further, Scheffe's post hoc test revealed that those who were married had lesser educational empowerment compared to respondents of Widow and Spinster.

Social empowerment: The mean percent Social empowerment of the respondents based on educational qualification is **80.74**, **83.76**, **82.34**, **85.00**, **82.31**, **and 91.66** of Illiterate, Primary, Secondary, PUC/Diploma, Graduation and Post Graduation respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (**F=0.962**; **p=0.442**), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, educational qualification of the respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Psychological empowerment: The mean percent **Psychological** empowerments of the respondents based on educational qualification are **86.77**, **89.52**, **84.12**, **84.97**, **88.57** and **85.00**of Illiterate, Primary, Secondary, PUC/Diploma, Graduation and Post Graduation respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (**F=1.795**; **p=0.116**), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, educational qualification of the respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Table 3Influence between Number of members in the family and empowerment

	veen rumber of members in		11111 0011111 0	
Type of empowerment	Number of family member	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
	1-2	33	74.6717	10.56753
Economic	3-5	131	69.1858	15.28625
Economic	5+	36	68.7500	17.32337
	Total	200	70.0125	15.09057
	1-2	33	80.6061	8.45554
Dolitical	3-5	131	80.0382	9.00846
Political	5+	36	79.5139	8.03607
	Total	200	80.0375	8.71620
	1-2	33	80.2694	8.65593
Educational	3-5	131	81.5267	9.63960
Educational	5+	36	80.6173	9.52651
	Total	200	81.1556	9.43325
	1-2	33	86.5657	11.20314
Casial	3-5	131	82.5191	12.59386
Social	5+	36	83.0093	9.42657
	Total	200	83.2750	11.89989
	1-2	33	88.4848	10.03781
Darrahalasiaal	3-5	131	86.1723	10.53553
Psychological	5+	36	85.0794	8.82908
	Total	200	86.3571	10.17310
	1-2	33	80.2929	6.05516
Total ammayyammart	3-5	131	77.1323	7.78193
Total empowerment	5+	36	76.7222	7.95603
	Total	200	77.5800	7.62070

Table 4: ANOVA result for

ANOVA							
		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Economic	Between Groups	863.295	2	431.648	1.913	.150	
	Within Groups	44454.035	197	225.655			
	Total	45317.330	199				
	Between Groups	20.538	2	10.269	.134	.875	
Political	Within Groups	15097.931	197	76.639			
	Total	15118.469	199				
Educational	Between Groups	54.394	2	27.197	.303	.739	
	Within Groups	17653.853	197	89.613			
	Total	17708.247	199				
	Between Groups	434.735	2	217.367	1.543	.216	
Social	Within Groups	27745.140	197	140.838			
	Total	28179.875	199				
Psychological	Between Groups	212.649	2	106.324	1.028	.360	
	Within Groups	20382.249	197	103.463			
	Total	20594.898	199				
Total empowerment	Between Groups	295.623	2	147.811	2.586	.078	
	Within Groups	11261.319	197	57.164			
	Total	11556.942	199				

Economic empowerment: The mean percent economic empowerment of the respondents belonging to 1-2, 3-5 and >5 member in the family is 74.67, 69.18 and 68.75 respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (F=1.913; p=.150), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, number of members in the family of respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Political empowerment: The mean percent Political empowerment of the respondents belonging to 1-2, 3-5 and >5 member in the family is 80.60, 80.03 and 79.51 respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (F=0.134; p=0.875), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, number of members in the family of respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Educational empowerment: The mean percent Educational empowerment of the respondents [2] belonging to 1-2, 3-5 and >5 member in the family is 80.26, 81.52 and 80.61 respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (F=0.303; p=0.739), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, number of members in the family of respondents did not significantly influence over [3] economic empowerment.

Social empowerment: The mean percent **Social** empowerment of the respondents belonging to 1-2, 3-5 and >5 member in the family is **86.56**, **82.51 and 83.00** respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (**F=1.543**; **p=0.216**), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, number of members in the family of respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

Psychological empowerment: The mean percent Psychological empowerment of the respondents belonging to 1-2, 3-5 and >5 member in the family is 88.48, 86.17, 85.07 respectively. One-way ANOVA revealed a non - significant mean difference between these scores (F=1.028; p=0.360), indicating that all the means are statistically similar. In other words, number of members in the family of respondents did not significantly influence over economic empowerment.

SUMMERY

Government of India, launched NULM with the aim of reduce poverty and vulnerability of the urban poor households and resting on the foundation that the mobilization of urban poor households into SHGs and

their federations at the area and city levels, is an important investment for an effective and sustainable poverty reduction programme. Under NULM, to catalyse the formation of SHGs and their federations and promote the financial inclusion of SHG members. It is found from the study that majority of the respondents have completed secondary education level. However, their awareness about various programmes was increased after joining SHGs. It is suggested that creating awareness about the more government Programmes under NULM and bank procedures is necessary. NULM programme should Encourage the SHGs Women in participation in the all the awareness programme in Urban Development.

Reference

- [1] Bandyopadhyay, C. (2014). Empowerment of Women in Participating Self-Help Group in Bardhaman District of West Bengal. *PRAGATI: Journal of Indian Economy*, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.17492/pragati.v1i2.2512
- [2] Bhushan, B., Sudan, R. S., & Sethi, S. (2015).
 Analysis of Socio-economic Characteristic of SHG (Self-Help Group) of WomenAssociated With Dairy Farming. *Journal of Animal Research*, 5(4), 839.
 https://doi.org/10.5958/2277-1501940X.2015.00139.4
 - [3] Choudhary, A. S. (2015). Economic Empowerment of Rural Women Entrepreneurs in Rajasthan through Self- help Group: A Case of SAKHI. *Krishi Sanskriti Publications*, 2(3), 287–292.
 - [4] Datta, P., Debnath, U., & Panda, C. K. (2018). Attitudes of rural women towards self help group. *AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE DIGEST A Research Journal*, 38(3), 217–220.
 - [5] Gandhi, S. (n.d.). Progress, Performance and Problems of Self Help Group Movement in India: A case study of District Solan in Himachal Pradesh. 6.
 - [6] Hampamma, A., & Naidu, R. V. K. (2017). Development of Tribal women through Self Help Group (A Study on Socio economic status of Chenchu Tribe of Kurnool District, Andhrapradesh.). *IRJMST*, 8(8), 234–240.
 - [7] Jamal, M. A., Raihana, A. K. A., & Sultana, H. Y. (2016). Empowerment of Muslim Women through Microfinance and Self Help Groups: A Case Study of Chennai City. *Asian Business Consortium*, 6, 117–124.

- [8] Nalina. (2016). Self-Help Groups and Women Empowerment. *ADR Journals*, 2(Special Issue 2015).
- [9] Manohar, C. P. (2015). Impact of self help groups and micro-finance on economic empowerment of women-A case study of Haveri district in Karnataka. *International*
- Journal of Social and Economic Research, 5(4), 59-64.
- [10] Rao, C. S., & Rao, N. V(2017). A Research on Decisive Factors for the Progress of Self Help Groups in Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh. *IJTSRD*, *1*(6), 1145–1150.

