# Service Quality, Patient Satisfaction, Word-Of-Mouth, and Revisit Intention in A Dental Clinic, Thailand

#### Supaprawat Siripipatthanakul

Assumption University, Thailand

#### ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between service quality, patient satisfaction, word-of-mouth (WOM), and revisit-intention among dental patients in a clinic, Thailand. The research employed a quantitative approach in data collection for statistical analysis. Quotasampling equally among four-age groups was used, and 352 completed copies of self-administered questionnaires were returned. The proposed theoretical framework was identified the model adopting PLS-SEM. Findings reveal that patient satisfaction is a mediator between service quality and its outcomes of WOM and revisit intention. Referring to elements of service quality, empathy is the highest factor influencing patient satisfaction (Beta=0.411, p<0.001), followed by reliability (Beta=0.183, p<0.05), tangibles (Beta=0.119, p<0.05), assurance (Beta=0.077, p>0.05), and responsiveness, Beta=0.053, p>0.05) at R-square 0.556. Revisit intention can be predicted by patient satisfaction by 53.4 percent (Beta=0.731, p<0.001,R2=0.534), and WOM can be explained by patient satisfaction by about 42.9 percent (Beta=0.655, p<0.001, R2=0.429). The study was limited to private dental practice (a dental clinic). Thus, the extension to clinics around this area should be considered. Moreover, the researcher suggested comprehensive coverage of other predictors in further research. The implications are managers would emphasize healthcare service quality management to satisfy their patients because it creates positive word-of-mouth and a revisit intention among dental clinic's patients.

**KEYWORDS:** Service quality, patient satisfaction, word-of-mouth, revisit intention, PLS-SEM

#### **INTRODUCTION**

According to Parasuraman in 1985, service quality dimensions and items represent core measurement criteria that transcend specific companies and industries. It is a generic instrument with high reliability, validity, and applicability that serves as a diagnostic methodology for uncovering broad areas of its service quality shortfalls and strengths. (Jandavath& Byram, 2016) Measuring service quality in the dental clinic is the first and most important factor in improving care. The quality provided plays an essential role in patient satisfaction. To improve dental service quality, paying attention to everyone's needs and demands plays a significant role. Patient preferences should be considered fundamental to providing high-quality dental care. Therefore, given the positive effects on dental service quality, service delivery processes should carefully be considered in *How to cite this paper*: Supaprawat Siripipatthanakul "Service Quality, Patient Satisfaction, Word-Of-Mouth, and Revisit Intention in A Dental Clinic,

Thailand" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-5 | Issue-5, August 2021 pp 832



2021, pp.832-841, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd43943.pdf

Copyright © 2021 by author (s) and International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed under the



terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

all quality dimensions. (Bahadori *et al.,* 2015)Healthcare is becoming more patient-centered so that patients' experiences of care and assessment of satisfaction are taken more seriously. Patient satisfaction is related to healthcare services, and better management leads to healthier patients in the long term. (Ahmady et al., 2015)

Patient satisfaction is an interactive process that reflects the patient's quality assessment of the medical service experience. Patient satisfaction is crucial for healthcare service providers in the following three areas: maintaining their relationships with the patients-satisfied patients are returned customers, identifying areas of strength and weakness in the organization, and association with their financial benefits. (Cham *et al.*, 2014) The importance of patient satisfaction has continued to grow, such that

patient satisfaction is now a virtual component of healthcare services. (Jandavath& Byram, 2016) The positive customer experience with the product leads to customer loyalty to the service offered. (Kashif *et al.*, 2016). Satisfaction is a function of the impression or perception of performance and expectations. If it is below expectations, the customer is not satisfied. If performance exceeds expectations, the customer will be very satisfied or happy. (Dicky &Masykura, 2019)

Word of mouth (WOM) plays an essential role in business development. WOM helps consumers become familiar with new services, the quality of choices. services and promotes different (Ruswanti&Kusumawati, 2020). Word of mouth (WOM) refers to verbal communications between the actual or potential consumer and other people, such as the product or service provider, independent experts, family, and friends. These communications may be either positiveor negative. (Chaniotakis&Lymperopoulos, 2009) In the present word of mouth (WOM) is verbal study. communication between consumers and other people such as family and friends about a healthcare service

provider or dental clinic. These communications are a positive word of mouth.

The revisit intention is the customer's desire to return to their destination within one year.

In service, there are two types of customers, new customers and old customers who visit again. New customers revisit service providers based on information they collect from various sources to meet consumer expectations. In comparison, old customers who visit again are consumers who have received services and have trust and are satisfied with the previous service. Most of the cause of revisit intention is satisfaction during the first meeting of consumer and service provider.

(Abubakar et al., 2017, Kurnianingrum&Hidayat, 2020 This study clarifies the link between service quality, patient satisfaction, word of mouth, and revisit intention in a dental clinic. It helps the decision manager develop a marketing plan and strategies in the private dental care sector to improve healthcare quality and its outcomes. Finally, the quality of life & health of the patients in the community will be better.



Figure 1 Conceptual framework of this study

#### **Research Methodology Study Population and Sample**

The population was a dental clinic's patients in Chonburi, Thailand. Both males and females whose age is over 20 years old through non-probability sampling (quota sampling of four-age groups) The target population was 3,584 cases. The sample size was 352 cases.

# **Study Design and Data Collection**

A cross-sectional study was conducted. The self-administered printed questionnaires were distributed for data collection. The demographics, service quality, patient satisfaction, word of mouth, and revisit intention are the constructs in this survey. All items were used five points Likert rating scale (5=Strongly agree and 1=strongly disagree). Service quality measurements were based on Bahadori *et al.* (2015) and Akbar et al. (2019). Patient satisfaction measurement was based on Ahmed et al. (2017), word of mouth intention measurements was based on Ahmed et al. (2017). The researcher explained the study's objectives to improve healthcare quality and ask for respondents' participation before questionnaire distribution.

## Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to describe respondents' demographics in frequency, mean and standard deviation. Partial least squares structural equation modeling (ADANCO 2.2.1) was adopted to test the hypotheses.

#### Results

Convergent validity was assessed through significance (p<0.05), items loading (above 0.51). Discriminant validity was assessed using average variance extracted (AVE) and Fornell-Larcker. Table 1 summarized the reliability coefficients, items loadings, composite reliability, and AVE. Following table 2 summarized the square root of the AVE of constructs and compared one construct with another in the following tables.

## Table 1 Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Tangibles

	Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
I angibles	Tangibles			
(1) The equipment in this dental clinic is modern (2) The clinic employees are clean, neat, tidy, and appropriate to their professions0.7597 0.75240.85670.5592	<ul><li>(1) The equipment in this dental clinic is modern</li><li>(2) The clinic employees are clean, neat, tidy, and appropriate to their professions</li></ul>	0.7597 0.7524	0.8567	0.5592
(3) The waiting room, tables and chairs, bathrooms, toilets, and floors are clean, beautiful, comfortable, and desirable0.7790	(3) The waiting room, tables and chairs, bathrooms, toilets, and floors are clean, beautiful, comfortable, and desirable	0.7790		
(5) The cleanliness and quality of the materials and supplies used 0.7753 for treatment are appropriate	(5) The cleanliness and quality of the materials and supplies used for treatment are appropriate	0.7753		
(6) The process of paying the bills is easy and comfortable 0.6251	(6) The process of paying the bills is easy and comfortable	0.6251		

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

#### Table2.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Reliability

Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
Reliability			
(4) In addition to the assistants and secretary, the dentist also explains the treatment procedures to the patients	0.7051	0 8924	0 5808
(5) The patients' charts are completed without any mistakes and maintained accurately and can easily be found when needed	0.7550	0.0724	0.5808
(6) The dental care costs are not high	0.7255		
(7) Everything is done correctly and without duplication	0.8045		
andreworking at the first time 💋 👩 🍤 Development	0.8131		
(8) The treatment provided be of high quality and long-term	58		
effectiveness	0.7634		
(9) The dentist gives patients useful and necessary advice for	D.		
preventing them from other diseases	<b>9</b>		

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

#### Table3.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Responsiveness

Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
Responsiveness			
(1) In this dental clinic, there is not a long time between patients'	0.7776		
physical examinations and their treatment procedures		0.8924	0.5808
(2) The treatment process is provided quickly and conveniently	0.7554		
(3) The employees behave toward patients such that can trust in	0.7775		
<ul><li>the dental clinic and its employees</li><li>(4) A secretary always be accountable for arranging the time of</li></ul>	0.7692		
treatment session by phone or in-person (5) The dentist clearly explains the problems and diseases to the	0.7756		
patients during the first visit and physical examination			
(6) The employees are willing to help the patients referred to the clinic and are ready at any time to answer their questions	0.7458		

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

## Table4.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Assurance

Assurance0.8237(1) In this dental clinic, the employees always behave towards patients with respect and courtesy and ensure privacy (2) The dentist is familiar with the newest treatment methods, as well as the modern technologies0.8237 0.82400.8878 0.82400.6643(3) The dentist has sufficient skills and is good at his/herjob (4) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so (4) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so0.77450.8365	Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
(1) In this dental clinic, the employees always behave towards patients with respect and courtesy and ensure privacy (2) The dentist is familiar with the newest treatment methods, as well as the modern technologies0.8237 0.82400.88780.6643(3) The dentist has sufficient skills and is good at his/herjob (4) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so0.8365 0.77450.7745	Assurance			
(2) The dentist is familiar with the newest treatment methods, as well as the modern technologies0.82400.0043(3) The dentist has sufficient skills and is good at his/herjob (4) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so 0.77450.7745	(1) In this dental clinic, the employees always behave towards patients with respect and courtesy and ensure privacy	0.8237	0 0070	0.6642
(3) The dentist has sufficient skills and is good at his/herjob0.8365(4) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so0.7745	(2) The dentist is familiar with the newest treatment methods, as well as the modern technologies	0.8240	0.8878	0.0043
(A) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so 0.7745	(3) The dentist has sufficient skills and is good at his/herjob	0.8365		
(4) This dental entries a good reputation among the people so $0.7745$	(4) This dental clinic has a good reputation among the people so	0.7745		
that they offer it to each other	that they offer it to each other			

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

## Table5.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Empathy

Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
Empathy			
(1) In this dental clinic, the admission process for consultation and initial physical examination are carried out quickly and easily	0.8587	0 0054	0 7053
(2) The clinic employees listen to the patients' comments and opinion	0.8495	0.9034	0.7055
(3) The clinic employees pay attention to the patients' needs	0.9018		
(4) The clinic employees pay attention to each patient's cost of	0.9148		
dental services and are assured that they are affordable.	N		

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

## Table6.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Patient Satisfaction

Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
Patient Satisfaction (PS)			
(1) I was satisfied with dental treatment of this clinic	0.9018	0.0250	0 8206
(2) I was satisfied with service of the dental clinic staff	0.9148	0.9339	0.8290
(3) I was satisfied with the dental facilities	0.9158	4	
		7	

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

## Table7.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Word of Mouth

Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
Word of Mouth (WOM)			
<ul><li>(1) I will say positive things about the dental treatment to my relatives</li><li>(2) I will recommend the dental treatment to my relatives</li></ul>	0.9665 0.9618	0.9635	0.9296
	•	· · 1	

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

# Table8.Item loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted of Revisit Intention

Items	Item Loadings	Construct Reliability	AVE
<b>Revisit Intention (RI)</b>			
<ul><li>(3) I will continue to use this dental clinic in the future</li><li>(4) I have a willingness to do the further dental treatment at this dental clinic</li></ul>	0.9527 0.9336	0.9393	0.8378
(5) I will continue the dental services even if the cost is higher	0.8567		

\* Significant at 0.05 level; AVE= average variance extracted.

Table9.Discriminant Validity: Fornell-Larcker Criter
--

Construct	Tangibles	Reliability	Responsiveness	Assurance	Empathy	PS	WOM	RI
Tangibles	0.5992							
Reliability	0.4459	0.5808						
Responsiveness	0.4065	0.5534	0.5882					
Assurance	0.3351	0.5095	0.4636	0.6643				
Empathy	0.3174	0.5319	0.5242	0.5338	0.7053			
PS	0.3039	0.4317	0.3789	0.3762	0.4993	0.8296		
WOM	0.1659	0.2922	0.2751	0.2316	0.3312	0.4293	0.9296	
RI	0.1938	0.3278	0.3064	0.2539	0.3951	0.5343	0.5745	0.8378

Square correlations; AVE in the diagonal

## **Table10.Direct Effects Inference**

	Original		Standard bootstrap results					ntile bootstrap quantiles		
Effect	coefficien t	Mean value	Standar d error	t-value	p-value (2-sided)	p-value (1-sided)	0.5%	2.5%97.5 %	97.5 %	99.5 %
Tangibles -> PS	0.1186	0.122 1	0.0524	2.2621	0.0239*	0.0120*	- 0.023 6	$0.0200 \\ 0.2232$	0.223 2	
Reliability-> PS	0.1830	0.183 1	0.0758	2.4140	0.0160*	0.0080**	- 0.017 9	0.0334 0.3304	0.330 4	
Responsivenes s ->PS	0.0534	0.053 0	0.0701	0.7629	0.4457	0.2229	- 0.140 2	-0.0830 0.9157	0.195 7	
Assurance- >PS	0.0773	0.080 3	0.9807	5.8112	0.3270	0.1635	- 0.103 8	-0.0772 0.2347	0.234 7	
Empathy->PS	0.4112	0.406 1	5.0644	3.7275	0.0000** lopr*ent	0.0000**	0.189 5	0.2395 0.5609	0.560 9	
PS->WOM	0.6552	0.652	16.2169	11.406 9	0.0000** *	0.0000**	0.540 9	0.5699 0.7279	0.727 9	
PS->RI	0.7310	0.729 2	0.0342	21.393 3	0.0000**	0.0000**	0.638	0.6546 0.7895	0.789 5	

# Table11.Indirect Effects Inference

<b>Effect</b>	Original	Standard bootstrap results					Percentile bootstrap quantiles			ap
Effect	coefficient	Mean value	Standard error	t- value	p-value (2-sided)	p-value (1-sided)	0.5%	2.5%	97.5%	99.5%
Tangibles - >WOM	0.0777	0.0794	0.0338	2.3015	0.0216*	0.0108*	-0.0139	0.0125	0.1442	
Tangibles-> RI	0.0867	0.0889	0.0379	2.2859	0.0225*	0.0112*	-0.0174	0.0144	0.1612	
Reliability ->WOM	0.1199	0.1200	0.0514	2.3347	0.0198*	0.0099**	-0.0116	0.0214	0.2187	
Reliability ->RI	0.1338	0.1338	0.0564	2.3706	0.0179*	0.0090**	-0.0122	0.0242	0.2449	
Responsiveness ->WOM	0.0350	0.0347	0.0459	0.7631	0.4456	0.2228	-0.0915	- 0.0569	0.1256	
Responsiveness -> RI	0.0391	0.0389	0.0514	0.7606	0.4471	0.2235	-0.1016	- 0.0619	0.1429	
Assurance- >WOM	0.0507	0.0519	0.0513	0.9877	0.3236	0.1618	-0.0744	- 0.0509	0.1538	
Assurance->RI	0.0565	0.0578	0.0569	0.9927	0.3211	0.1606	-0.0800	- 0.0585	0.1659	

>WOM		
Empathy->RI 0.3005 0.2966 0.0633 4.7488 0.0000*** 0.0000*** 0.1328 0.17	38 0.4177	

\* Significant at 0.05 level, \*\* Significant at 0.01 level, \*\*\* Significant at 0.001 level

## **Table12. Total Effects Inference**

Effect	Original coefficient	Standard bootstrap results				Percentile bootstrap quantiles				
Effect		Mean value	Standard error	t-value	p-value (2-sided)	p-value (1-sided)	0.5%	2.5%	97.5%	99.5%
Tangibles ->PS	0.1186	0.1221	0.0524	2.2621	0.0239*	0.0004***	- 0.0236	0.0200	0.2232	0.2464
Tangibles-> WOM	0.0777	0.0794	0.0338	2.3015	0.0216*	0.0108**	- 0.0139	0.0125	0.1442	0.1611
Tangibles ->RI	0.0867	0.0889	0.0379	2.2859	0.0225*	0.0112*	- 0.0552	- 0.0291	0.1264	0.1841
Reliability->PS	0.1830	0.1831	0.0758	2.4140	0.0160*	0.0080**	- 0.1433	- 0.1177	0.0226	0.3709
Reliability ->WOM	0.1199	0.1200	0.0514	2.3347	0.0198*	0.0099**	0.1095	0.1613	0.3370	0.2521
Reliability ->RI	0.1338	0.1338	0.0564	2.3706	0.0179*	0.0090**	0.0366	0.0653	0.2221	0.2724
Responsiveness ->PS	0.0534	0.0530	0.0701	0.7629	0.4457	0.2229	0.4577	0.4855	0.6894	0.2431
Responsiveness ->WOM	0.0350	0.0347	0.0459	0.7631	0.4456	0.2228	0.0084	0.0336	0.2304	0.1605
Responsiveness ->RI	0.0391	0.0389	0.0514	0.7606	0.4471	0.2235	- 0.1016	- 0.0619	0.1429	0.1731
Assurance ->PS	0.0773	0.0803	0.0788	0.9807	0.3270	0.1635	- 0.1038	- 0.0772	0.2347	0.2987
Assurance -> WOM	0.0507	0.0519	0.0513	0.9877	0.3236	0.1618	- 0.0744	- 0.0509	0.1538	0.1917
Assurance ->RI	0.0565	0.0578	0.0569	0.9927	0.3211	0.1606	- 0.0800	- 0.0585	0.1659	0.2081
Empathy->PS	0.4112	0.4061	0.0812	5.0644	0.0000***	0.0000***	0.1895	0.2395	0.5609	0.6068
Empathy ->WOM	0.2694	0.2650	0.0567	4.7550	0.0000***	0.0000***	0.1258	0.1546	0.3757	0.4150
Empathy ->RI	0.3005	0.2966	0.0633	4.7488	0.0002***	0.0000***	0.1328	0.1738	0.4177	0.4616
PS ->WOM	0.6552	0.6521	0.0404	16.2169	0.0000***	0.0000***	0.5409	0.5699	0.7279	0.7415
PS ->RI	0.7310	0.7292	0.0342	21.3933	0.0056**	0.0000***	0.6383	0.6546	0.7895	0.8065

\* Significant at 0.05 level, \*\* Significant at 0.01 level, \*\*\* Significant at 0.001 level

Table13. Overall Model				
Goodness of model fit (saturated model)	Value			
SRMR	0.0469			
Table14.Overall Model				
Goodness of model fit (estimated model)	Value			
CDMD	0.0(00			

SRMR equals 0.0469 and 0.0629. Values are between 0.00-0.08, which means that the model is fit.(Hair et al., 2019)



Figure2. Partial least squares structural equation model (PLS-SEM) of the study. \* Significant at 0.05 level; \*\*\* Significant at 0.001 level

#### Table15.Structural Model: R-Squared

Construct	Coefficient of determination $(\mathbb{R}^2)$	Adjusted <b>R<sup>2</sup></b>
Patient Satisfaction (PS)	Internationa0.5565nal 💲 🖌 💋	0.5501
Word of mouth (WOM)	of Trend in 0.4293 fic 🚦 😫 💋	0.4276
RevisitIntention	Researc 0.5343	0.5330

## Table 16. Respondents' Demographic profile

Information	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Conton	Female	184	52.3 %
Gender	Male	168	47.7 %
	20-30 years old	88	25.0 %
1 33	31-40 years old	88	25.0 %
Age	41-50 years old	88	25.0 %
	51 years old or over	88	25.0 %
	Single	140	39.8 %
Marital Status	Married	194	55.1 %
	Divorced	18	5.1 %
	Below bachelor's degree	262	74.4 %
Education	Bachelor's Degree	80	22.7 %
	Higher than bachelor's degree	10	2.8 %
	Less than 10,000 THB	62	17.6 %
M 41. 1	10,001-20,000 THB	184	52.3 %
Montiny meone	20,001-30,000 THB	60	17.0 %
	30,001-40,000 THB	20	5.7 %
	More than 40,000 THB	26	7.4 %
Patient Type	New Patient	190	54.0 %
	Returning Patient	(162)	(46.0 %)
	2-3 Times	114	32.4 %
	4-5 Times	32	9.1 %
	6-7 Times	5	1.4 %
	8-10 Times	2	0.6 %
	More than 10 Times	9	2.6 %

#### **Discussion and conclusions**

The results showed that most respondents were female, married, had an education level lower than a bachelor's degree, and earned income between 10,001-20,000 THB. The respondents' sociodemographic profile corresponded with the factory workers' profile at Chonburi (electronic components manufacturers, women workers, earned an income between 10,001-20,000 THB and had an education level lower than a bachelor's degree). The profile of the respondents can give the managers an idea of their patients. It is essential to be aware of the target consumers so that the dental clinic managers can develop proper managing and marketing strategies for their patients.

This study investigates the relationship between service quality, patient satisfaction, word-of-mouth (WOM) and revisit intention among dental patients in a clinic, Thailand. It found that that patient satisfaction is a significant mediator between service quality and its outcomes of WOM and revisit intention. Referring to elements of service quality, empathy is the highest factor influencing patient satisfaction (Beta=0.411,p<0.001), followed by (Beta=0.183, p<0.05), reliability tangibles (Beta=0.119, p<0.05), assurance (Beta=0.077, p>0.05), and responsiveness, (Beta=0.053, p>0.05) at R-square 0.556. Revisit intention can be predicted by patient satisfaction by 53.4 percent (Beta=0.731, p<0.001, R2=0.534), and WOM can be explained by patient satisfaction by about 42.9 percent (Beta=0.655, p<0.001, R2=0.429). The similarity was found with Kiptaci et al. (2014) that empathy and assurance are positively related to patient satisfaction. Also, patient satisfaction has a significant influence on WOM and revisit intention.

The findings support the research of Kashif et al. (2016), which found that patient experience quality positively relates to patient loyalty. Amin &Zahora (2013) found that the establishment of a higher level of hospital service quality will lead patients to have a high level of behavioral intention. Kondasani& Panda (2015) also confirmed services to Indian private hospital patient impact on loyalty perspective. Cham et al. (2016) found that service quality positively impacts loyalty in the private hospital industry. Jandavath& Byram (2016) concluded empathy affects responsiveness, assurance, and tangibles, which, in turn, indirectly affect behavioral intention. The study of Li et al. (2011) showed that healthcare service quality is positively related to patient loyalty. Furthermore, Murti et al. (2013) confirmed that perceived service quality had a positive direct effect on the patient's behavioral intention for both public and private healthcare sector.

Theresults support Murti et al. (2013) healthcare service leads to their patient satisfaction in a developing country like India. Cham et al. (2016) found that patient-perceived service quality is significantly related to their satisfaction. Also, Kashif et al. (2016) confirmed that the patient experience quality perceptions significantly contribute to patient satisfaction. Jandavath& Byram (2016) recommended that "to achieve a competitive advantage, both public and private hospitals must keep improving their service from time to time to make sure the level of service quality is at the maximum level to gain patients high satisfaction". Moriera& Silva (2015) also found that service quality proved to be a multidimensional construct and relevant to build satisfaction. Amin & Zahora (2013) confirmed that the establishment of higher hospital service quality levels would lead to patients having a high level of satisfaction. Elleuch (2008) found that process quality (Japanese health care service quality) attributes as patient satisfaction antecedent.

It also supports the study of Cham et al. (2016), which found that patient satisfaction positively affected patient loyalty (Regression weight = 0.738), and the assumption supported by the results of Jandavath&Byram (2016) (R2 = 0.72). Elleuch (2008) found that satisfied Japanese patients are likely to exhibit positively intentional behaviors (recommend and return to the same provider). Amin &Zahora (2013) indicated that patient satisfaction led patients to behavioral intention (WOM and revisit intention). Murti et al. (2013) concluded that patient satisfaction behavioral influenced intention. Furthermore, Kondasani& Panda (2015) confirmed that patient satisfaction significantly affects patient loyalty (WOM and revisit intention).

## **Research Implication**

Healthcare services depend on the visiting level of the patient. Maintain to increase the number of patients regarding healthcare providers are required to maintain consumer by paying attention to patients care needs to meet the desires and expectations for the services provided. (Sitio & Ali, 2019) Quality dimensions of dental care services are related to patient satisfaction, such as technical or aspects of care related to diagnosis and treatment, interpersonal, accessibility, availability, financial access, efficacy outcomes, continuity of care, facilities, and attitudes about overall care. (Mascarenhas, 2001). Patient satisfaction is the post-purchase evaluation of products or services, given the expectations before purchase. (Murti et al., 2013) Quality is a primary concern of healthcare agencies all over the world. Patient satisfaction has been investigated in many places in various countries. Dental complaints made by patients may cause a great deal of anxiety and stress among dental care providers. The profession needs to promote high standards of dental care services. (Mahrous&Hifnawy, 2012) Thus, the research about these measurements' relationship is essential because dental clinics can improve healthcare service quality, patient increase satisfaction, word of mouth, and revisit intention to adopt appropriate service marketing strategies.

This study examines the relationship between service quality, patient satisfaction, word of mouth, and revisit intention in a dental clinic (private dental care sector). This study may provide valuable evidence in dental clinic management and determine the importance of service quality on patient satisfaction, word of mouth, and revisit intention. Referring to many previous studies, word of mouth and revisit intention are indicators of patient loyalty. The results could bolster managers' efforts to increase dental service quality to meet patient expectations and needs. Subsequently, this allows them to effectively respond to the patient's feedback, affecting patient satisfaction and loyalty.

(Word of mouth and revisit intention) It could also be applied to any services sector to improve service and [1] quality and increasing customer satisfaction and opper loyalty to a specific brand or company.

## Suggestions for the further study

The research was performed exclusively at a dental clinic. Therefore, the findings regarding the link between dental service quality, patient satisfaction, word of mouth and revisit intention are limited to patients' perceptions. Thus, the results do not apply to other dental clinics. The recommendation for further research is to extend to sampling Thailand's dental clinics. The design of this research is a cross-sectional study. The short period is the limitation. It is not guaranteed to be representative of the clinic's population. The recommendation for future research is to have a more extended period for data collection. Therefore, the researcher should consider a longitudinal survey. This study may not cover other factors relating to patientsatisfaction, word of mouth and revisit intention. Some previous research supports the link between socio-demographics, service quality, patient satisfaction, and patient loyalty (Ahmed et al., 2017); the link between socio-demographics, service quality, and patient satisfaction (Badri et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2005); the link between social-media marketing communication, hospital image, service quality, patient satisfaction, and loyalty (Cham et al.,

2016); the link between corporate social responsibility (CSR), customer satisfaction, and behavioral loyalty (He & Li, 2010); and the link between service quality, satisfaction, trust, commitment, and loyalty. (Moreira & Silva, 2015) It is therefore recommended to consider including these variables in the further research.

The study was limited to private dental practice (a dental clinic). Thus, the extension to clinics around this area should be considered. Moreover, the researcher suggested comprehensive coverage of other predictors in further research. The implications are managers would emphasize healthcare service quality management to satisfy their patients because it creates positive word-of-mouth and a revisit intention among dental clinic's patients. Word-of-mouth and a revisit intention are identified as patient loyalty or behavioral intention indicators.

#### Acknowledgments

The appreciation and gratitude are for Dr. Piyathida Praditbatuga, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Varughese K. John, Asst. Prof. Dr. Kuldeep Nagi, Dr. Taminee Shinasharkey (Assumption University) and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sutithep Siripipattanakoon (Kasetsart University)

## References

Abubakar, A. M., Ilkan, M., Al-Tal, R. M., &Eluwole, K. K. (2017). eWOM, revisit intention, destination trust and gender. *Journal* of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 31, 220-227.

- [2] Ahmady, A. E., Pakkhesal, M., Zafarmand, A. H., &Lando, H. A. (2015). Patient satisfaction surveys in dental school clinics: a review and comparison. *Journal of dental education*, 79(4), 388-393.
- [3] Akbar, F. H., Pasinringi, S., & Awang, A. H. (2019). Factors affecting dental center service quality in indonesia. *Pesquisa Brasileiraem Odontopediatria e ClínicaIntegrada*, 19.
- [4] Amin, M., &Zahora, S. (2013). Hospital service quality and its effects on patient satisfaction and behavioural intention. *Clinical Governance: An International Journal*, 18(3), 238-254.
- [5] Bahadori, M., Raadabadi, M., Ravangard, R., &Baldacchino, D. (2015). Factors affecting dental service quality. *International journal of health care quality assurance*.
- [6] Cham, T. H., Yet Mee, L., &Nai-Chiek, A. (2014). A study of brand image, perceived service quality, patient satisfaction and

behavioral intention among the medical tourists. *Global Journal of Business and Social Science Review*, 2(2), 32-43.

- [7] Cham, T. H., Lim, Y. M., Aik, N. C., & Tay, A.
   G. M. (2016). Antecedents ofhospital brand image and the relationships with medical tourists'behavioral intention. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing*, 10(4), 412-431.
- [8] Chaniotakis, I. E., &Lymperopoulos, C. (2009). Service quality effect on satisfaction and word of mouth in the health care industry. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal.*
- [9] Dicky Novendra, H., &Masykura, I. (2019). The Effect of Store Atmosphere on Revisit Intention that is in Mediation by Customer Satisfaction.
- [10] Elleuch, A. (2008). Patient satisfaction in [19] Japan. International journal of health care quality assurance, 21(7), 692-705.
- [11] Jandavath, R. K. N., & Byram, A. (2016).
   Healthcare service quality effect on patient satisfaction and behavioural intentions in [20] corporate hospitals in India. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing.*
- [12] Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., &Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European business* [21] Kondasani, R. K. R., & Pa *review*.
- [13] Kitapci, O., Akdogan, C., &Dortyol, İ. T. (2014). The impact of service quality dimensions on patient satisfaction, repurchase intentions and word-of-mouth communication in the public healthcare industry. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 148, 161-169.
- [14] Kurnianingrum, A. F., &Hidayat, A. (2020). The Influence of Service Quality and Price Perception on Consumer Trust and Revisit Intention at Beauty Care Clinic in Indonesia. Archives of Business Review-Vol, 8(6).
- [15] Li, S. J., Huang, Y. Y., & Yang, M. M. (2011). How satisfaction modifies thestrength of the

influence of perceived service quality on behavioral intentions. *Leadership in Health Services*, 24(2), 91-105.

- [16] Mahrous, M. S., &Hifnawy, T. (2012). Patient satisfaction from dental services provided by the College of Dentistry, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 7(2), 104-109.
- [17] Mascarenhas, A. K. (2001). Patient satisfaction with the comprehensive care model of dental care delivery. *Journal of Dental Education*, 65(11), 1266-1271.
- [18] Moreira, A. C., & Silva, P. M. (2015). The trust-commitment challenge in service quality-loyalty relationships. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 28(3), 253-266.
  - 9] Murti, A., Deshpande, A., & Srivastava, N. (2013). Service quality, customer (patient) satisfaction and behavioural intention in health care services: exploring the Indian perspective. Journal of Health Management, 15(1), 29-44.
  - J Kashif, M., Samsi, S. Z. M., Awang, Z., & Mohamad, M. (2016). EXQ: measurement of healthcare experience quality in Malaysian settings: A contextualist perspective. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Healthcare Marketing*.
  - [70 Kondasani, R. K. R., & Panda, R. K. (2015). Customer perceived service quality, satisfaction, and loyalty in Indian private healthcare. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 28(5), 452-467.
- [22] Ruswanti, E., Eff, A., &Kusumawati, M. (2020). Word of mouth, trust, satisfaction, and effect of repurchase intention to Batavia hospital in west Jakarta, Indonesia. *Management Science Letters*, 10(2), 265-270.
- [23] Sitio, T., & Ali, H. (2019). Patient Satisfaction Model and Patient Loyalty: Analysis of Service Quality and Facility (Case Study at Rawamangun Special Surgery Hospital). Scholars Bulletin, 5(10), 551-559