# Enhance the Bacterial Activity on Compressive Strength of Cement Concrete and Quantification of Crack-Healing in Bacteria Based Self-Healing Concrete

Uttam Tiwary<sup>1</sup>, Dr. A. K Saxena<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>M Tech Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, <sup>1,2</sup>Lakshmi Narain College of Technology, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### ABSTRACT

The investigation work is for improvement of the compressive strength of concrete by self-healing property. We used Bacillus sphaericus as bacteria and calcium lactate as nutrient. We used M25 M30and M35 grade of concrete for the work. It was observed that for M25 grade of bio-concrete the compressive strength was nearly 17% more than that of conventional concrete after 3 days, nearly 20.5% after 7 days, nearly 22.53% after 14 days, nearly 25.27% after 28 days of curing. It was observed that for M30 grade of bio concrete the compressive strength was nearly 15.5% more than that of conventional concrete after 3 days, nearly 24.75% after 7 days, nearly 27.44% after 14 days and 29.47% after 28 days of curing.

**KEYWORDS:** bacterial concrete, compressive strength, calcite precipitation, cement, slump cone

• IJISKD International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development

SSN: 2456-6470

*How to cite this paper*: Uttam Tiwary | Dr. A. K Saxena "Enhance the Bacterial Activity on Compressive Strength of Cement Concrete and Quantification of Crack-Healing in Bacteria Based Self-

Healing Concrete" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-4 | Issue-6, October 2020



October 2020, pp.598-603, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd33459.pdf

Copyright © 2020 by author(s) and International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development Journal. This is an Open Access article distributed

under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution



License (CC BY 4.0) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is one of the major materials used in the construction industry. Crack initiation and further formation is a phenomenon related to durability. Cracks that go ahead to leakage problems cause harmful effect on concrete matrix. Durability can be improved by preventing further entrance of water and other substances. Self-healing phenomenon is characterized by regaining progress performance after a defect occurs. Damage targeted in bacteria-based self- healing concrete for the most part relates to enhancement of durability, leakage prevention and extend service life of concrete structures. Effect of bacteria on various parameters of concrete proves to be beneficial development also improve compressive strength of concrete. Modern era this technique is used for the crack remediation and durability improvement of concrete.

In concrete, cracking is almost inescapable due to its brittleness property and complex environment. Once crack produced, due to various chemicals reinforcement bar begins to corrode. It results into diminish in structure life span. The cracks may promulgate at much lower stress that necessary to cause crack initiation. Cracking in concrete leads as major cause to several damages to the structural elements such as reduction in strength of concrete, corrosion of steel bars which result in carbonation that diminish the pH of concrete consequential in destruction of the naturally occurring passive film that protects the steel bar from moisture and oxygen causing corrosion so it is very essential to repair the cracks. To date self-healing in concrete has been achieved primarily through three different types; autogenously healing.

# 2. BACILLUS SPHAERICUS

In 1995, Gollapudi et al., were the first to introduce this novel carbonate precipitation has been anticipated as an alternative and environmental friendly crack repair technique. Bacillus Sphaericus produces urease, which catalyzes urea to supply CO<sub>2</sub> and ammonia, leading to a rise of pH value within the surroundings where ions Ca<sup>2+</sup> and CO<sub>3</sub> precipitate as CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The first three factors are provided by the metabolism of the bacteria while the cell wall of the bacteria will act as a nucleation site. Possible biochemical reactions in medium to precipitate CaCO<sub>3</sub> at the cell surface that provides a nucleation site are often summarized as follows.

$$Ca^{2+} + Cell \rightarrow Cell-Ca^{2+}$$

 $\mathrm{Cl}^{\scriptscriptstyle -} + \mathrm{HCO}^{\scriptscriptstyle 3^{\scriptscriptstyle -}} + \mathrm{NH3} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH4Cl} + \mathrm{CO}_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2^{\scriptscriptstyle -}}$ 

#### 3. OBJECTIVE OF THE WORK

The main goal of this research project was to test the applicability of alternative metabolic mineral-producing pathways by bacteria which are truly incorporated in the concrete matrix. Such bacteria added to the concrete mixture prior to casting should remain viable for prolonged periods once integrated in the concrete matrix and be able to produce copious amounts of minerals needed to plug or seal freshly formed cracks. Integrated bacteria would thus represent an internal self-healing agent which autonomously decreases matrix permeability upon crack formation. An integrated healing agent would save manual inspection and repair and moreover increase structure durability. Addition of such an agent to the concrete mixture would thus save both money and the environment as less maintenance and use of environmental unfriendly repair material is needed.

#### 4. Literature Review

**Sujatha et al. (2017)** ureolytic bacteria was isolated from ant hill and was cultured for the study. Mortar cubes of 70.6x70.6x70.6 mm was casted and bacteria was administered into the mortar through curing water. Here control specimen and one batch of bacterial where cured in tap water and another batch of bacterial cubes where cured in 1g urea/L. Compressive strength after 28 days of the bacterial cement mortar is also found to increase up to 18% when cured with water containing urea and up to 12% when cure with water.

**Maheswaran et al. (2018)** comparison of ureolytic activity of Bacillus cereus and Bacillus pasteurii is done here. The bacterial mortar cubes are casted by replacing entire volume of water was replaced with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) suspended bacteria. Curing of bacterial cubes where carried out in nutrient solution. The test results shows an increase of 38% compressive strength using Bacillus cereus at bacterial cell concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml and 29% increase in the case of Bacillus pasteurii over the control cement mortar

specimen at bacterial concentration of 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml. Bacillus cereus incorporated mortar cubes show significant decrease in chloride permeability. X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscope, thermo gravimetric analysis and Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy are used to confirm the bacterial calcite precipitation

**Mian Luo, Chun-xiang Qian, Rui-yang Li (2019)** analyzed the precipitations formed at the cracks surface of the cement paste specimens with Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) equipped with an Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometer (EDS), and then examined by X-ray Diffraction (XRD) In conclusion, the results presented in this study show that the microbial self-healing agent can be used to achieve the goal of concrete crack self-healing. Two types of bacteria were used Type 1 - calcium lactate, Type 2 - calcium formate with bacteria spores

#### 5. Materials

Following are the basic tests performed on the constituent materials used, before casting concrete.

#### Cement

- 33 grade OPC (follows IS 269)
- ➢ 43 grade OPC (follows IS 8112)
- 53 grade OPC (follows IS 12269)

The numbers 33, 43 and 53 represent the 28 days compressive strength of a standard cement sand mortar. This cement is very commonly used in normal concrete constructions. Fineness of cement Specific gravity of cement

#### Fine aggregate

Sieve analysis of fine aggregate Specific gravity Water absorption

The size of the sand must be between 75microns to 4.75mm. That means it must completely pass through IS 4.75mm sieve and completely retained on IS 75 micron sieve

#### **Coarse aggregate**

Sieve analysis of coarse aggregate Specific gravity Water absorption

It is generally comprises of crushed stones like granite. Sometimes gravel or broken bricks are also used as coarse aggregates. Coarse aggregate occupy the most part of the concrete matrix and contribute toward weight and strength of the hardened concrete

#### 6. Cement

Cement is also easily available in market. It is used as binder material. It is widely used to bind sand and gravels altogether with it. It sets and gets harden with time when mixed with water. Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) has been used in the present work. It is a type of blended cement which is obtained by clinkers, gypsum materials and thoroughly mixing them in correct proportions. Portland is a natural material containing siliceous or siliceous-aluminous substance in the reactive form which, when combines with calcium hydroxide in the presence of water produces calcium silicate and aluminate hydrate compounds having cementitious properties.

The testing of concrete is done according to IS Code 10262 Portland cement of 43 grades conforming to IS 8112-1989 was used. And specific gravity 3.15 was used.



Figure: 1 Ordinary Portland cement Bag

#### 7. Fine Aggregates (sand)

By definition, fine aggregates pass through IS sieve size 4.75 mm. Selecting fine aggregate on the basis of its zone of

gradation, surface texture, water absorption, particles shape and size gives concrete better durability, strength and makes it economic. Specifications of aggregate used fulfils the requirement as per IS: 383-9170. Other properties of fine aggregate like specific gravity, water absorption, etc. satisfies IS: 2386 (part III). Calculated specific gravity and water absorption for fine aggregate was found to be 2.65 and 0.84% respectively



**Figure 2: Sand Sample** 

# 8. Coarse Aggregate

By definition, coarse aggregates get retained on IS sieve size 4.75 mm. Construction aggregates which makes bulk of the concrete adds strength to the overall composite materials. Aggregates used in the testing and casting should be free from dust particles, mud or any other impurities. In this thesis work, machine crushed basalt stones of maximum 20 mm size are used. Specifications of aggregate used fulfils the requirement as per IS: 383-1970. Some tests were performed to determine the properties of coarse aggregate as per IS: 2386 (part III). Calculated specific gravity of coarse aggregate used in the experiment was found to be 3.125. Testing for the water absorption of coarse aggregate was performed and the calculated result was 2.0%.

#### 11. Calcium lactate

In this thesis work, calcium lactate is used as nutrient food for bacillus sphaericus. It's another name is calcium salt pentahydrate (C6H10CaO6). When lactic acid reacts with calcium hydroxide, calcium lactate forms. Calcium lactate is used as calcium source or food for the bacteria to produce calcium carbonate which decides compressive strength of the concrete. Calcium source is added externally to the dry mix of concrete prepared. This additional nutrient source helps to improve compressive strength, self-healing property and durability of the concrete. Different proportions of calcium lactate are added to the dry mix with respect to the weight of cement to be added. Generally, 0.25 % of the weight of the cement is taken for the study.

Grade of concrete	Name of the specimen	Name of the test	Size of the specimen (in mm)	Number of specimen
M-25	Concrete cubes for conventional concrete	Compression	150 x 150 x 150	12
M-25	Concrete cubes for bio-concrete	Compression	150 x 150 x 150	12
M-30	Concrete cubes for conventional concrete	Compression	150 x 150 x 150	12
M-30	Concrete cubes for bio-concrete	Compression	150 x 150 x 150	12
Total Cubes				48

## Table 1: Size of the specimen

#### **12. RESULTS**

#### **Compressive Strength Test on concrete cubes**

All the concrete cubes are tested in a load controlled Compressive testing machine (CTM) to obtain the unidirectional compressive strength obtained at 3, 7, 14 and 28 days as shown in Tables. The same results are also plotted in Graphs for 3, 7, 14 and 28-day compressive strength respectively. It can be observed from the table and the graphs that as the cell concentration increase the compressive strengths at 3, 7, 14 and 28 days increases initially.



Figure: 3 Course Aggregate Sample

#### 9. Water

Water is easily available and inexpensive but the most important ingredient of concrete. The water must be free from impurities like oil, alkali, acid etc which is used for mixing concrete. Water is essential material of concrete which gets combined with cement to form a cement paste by the process of hydration. Then this cement paste adheres to aggregates and fills the voids to get better strength and bond among constituent materials. Water used was natural potable which satisfies the provisions of IS 456:2000.

#### **10. Bacillus Sphaericus**

Concrete is a very essential building material since it is very brittle, so it is expected to crack with time. So to prevent concrete from cracks, self-healing agents are used. The objective of this study to use Bacillus Sphaericus in the concrete mix which produces the calcium precipitate which is useful in dealing with the situation of crack. The studies and results from various surveys have given an idea about bacterial concrete.

S. No.	Materials and other properties	Quantity/ Proportions
1.	Ratio of Cement: Fine aggregate. Coarse aggregate.	1: 1.48 :2.6
2.	Cement	435.4 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
3.	Fine aggregate (sand)	646 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
4.	Coarse aggregate	1126.1 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
5.	Water	204.69 L/m <sup>3</sup>
6.	Water-Cement ratio	0.47
7.	Slump	75 mm

#### Table 2 Properties of grade M25 mix design concrete

#### Table 3 Properties of grade M30 mix design concrete

S. No.	Materials and other properties	Quantity/ Proportions
1.	Ratio of Cement: Fine aggregate. Coarse aggregate	1: 1.38 :2.45
2.	Cement	455.95 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
3.	Fine aggregate (sand)	631.29 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
4.	Coarse aggregate	1117.31 Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
5.	Water	204.5 L/m <sup>3</sup>
6.	Water-Cement ratio	0.45
7.	Slump	75 mm

Comparison of compressive conventional and bio-concrete

strength of M25

#### Table 4. Comparison of compressive strength of M25 elop conventional and bio-concrete

Days of	Average compressive strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )		Increment of compressive
curing	Conventional concrete	Bio- concrete	strength in bio- concrete
3 days	13.80	16.15	17.02 %
7 days	21.11	25.43	20.464 %
14 days	28.64	35.09	22.52 %
28 days	31.85	39.9	25.27 %



Graph 1 Graphical representation of comparison of compressive strengths of M25 conventional and bioconcrete



# Graph 2 Graphical representation of comparison of compressive strengths of M25 conventional and bioconcrete

**From the above graph,** it is clear that there is enhancement in compressive strength of bio- concrete as compared to the conventional one. There is about 17-25 % of improvement in compressive strength for all the stages of curing. Maximum enhancement in compressive strength could be observed after 28 days of curing is 25.27 % increment in bio- concrete.

Comparison of compressive strength of M30 conventional and bio-concrete

# Table 5 Comparison of compressive strength of M30 conventional and bio-concrete

Days of	Average compressive strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )		Increment of compressive
curing	Conventional concrete	Bio- concrete	strength in bio- concrete
3 days	23.03	26.60	15.50 %
7 days	25.33	31.60	24.75 %
14 days	34.84	44.01	27.44 %
28 days	39.08	50.60	29.47 %



Graph 3 Graphical representation of comparison of compressive strengths of M30 conventional and bioconcrete





**From the above graph**, it is clear that there is enhancement in compressive strength of bio- concrete as compared to the conventional one. There is about 15-29 % of improvement in compressive strength for all the stages of curing. Maximum enhancement in compressive strength could be observed after 28 days of curing is 29.47% increment in bio- concrete. Enhancement in compressive strength increases with the grade of concrete.

## 13. Self-Healing Performance of Bacterial Concrete or Bio-Concrete:

Bacterial concrete shows self-healing property when a load of 70 % of the failure load obtained at 28 days of curing is applied to it, followed by curing that loaded moulds for next 28 days. When the concrete moulds get loaded, it develops cracks on its surface. That loaded concrete moulds then put for curing for the next 28 days where bacillus sphaericus and calcium lactate reacts with water and starts healing concrete by forming a white cast of calcium carbonate precipitate over the cracks, thus sealing the cracks and improving the compressive strength of bio-concrete.

# 14. Bacterial Concrete Moulds after Crack Formation

Bacterial concrete moulds develop cracks when subjected to a load of 70 % of the failure load is applied to it. Minor cracks approximately up to 0.2 mm in the concrete get healed autogenously. This step of developing cracks is necessary to check whether concrete shows healing property or not. For any grade of concrete, procedure is same to develop cracks. From the above results, cracking loads are as follows-

#### Table 6 Determining cracking loads for bio-concrete

Grade of bio- concrete	Failure load (at 28 days of curing)	Cracking load (70 % of the failure load)
M25	39.9N/mm <sup>2</sup>	27.93 N/mm <sup>2</sup>
M30	50.60 N/mm <sup>2</sup>	35.42 N/mm <sup>2</sup>

Some images of cracks formed in the bio-concrete is shown below. Now these moulds will be put again for curing for next 28 days to check its healing property. The width of cracks can be measured with the help of microscope and further studies could be carried out. These cracks degrade the quality and compressive strength of concrete, that's why, it is required to make bacterial concrete purposely.



Figure 4. Cracks developed in the bacterial concrete



Figure 5 Cracks formation



Figure 6 Sealing of cracks

#### **15. CONCLUSION**

The thesis work is for improvement of the compressive strength of concrete by self-healing property. We used Bacillus sphaericus as bacteria and calcium lactate as nutrient. We used M25 and M35 grade of concrete for the work. We can make following conclusions according to our work:-

- 1. It was observed that for M25 grade of bio-concrete the compressive strength was nearly 17% more than that of conventional concrete after 3 days, nearly 20.5% after 7 days, nearly 22.53% after 14 days, nearly 25.27% after 28 days of curing.
- 2. It was observed that for M30 grade of bio concrete the compressive strength was nearly 15.5% more than that of conventional concrete after 3 days, nearly 24.75% after 7 days, nearly 27.44% after 14 days and 29.47% after 28 days of curing.
- 3. As a data from some previous research it is obtained that concrete with the addition of Bacillus sphaericus gives slightly higher strength than Bacillus pasteurii.
- 4. Because of its self-healing and ecofriendly property they are way better than the conventional concrete.

- 5. Bacterial concrete are more durable and environment friendly.
- 6. According to previous research some bacteria are dangerous for human health but bacillus sphaericus is not harmful for human health.
- 7. Due to use of bacteria and its nutrient the initial cost of the concrete is increased but the maintenance cost is reduced.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Bang et.al. (2007),"Remediation of concrete using microorganisms" American Concrete Institute Materials J., 98, 3-9.
- [2] Bachmeier, K. L., Williams, A. E., Warmington, J. R., and Bang, S. S., (2007), Urease activity in microbiologicallyinduced calcite precipitation, Journal of Biotechnology, 93, 171–181
- [3] De Muynck, W, De Belie, N, and Verstraete, W, Improvement of concrete durability with the aid of bacteria, Proceedings of the First International Conference on Self-Healing Materials 18-20 April 2007, TU Deflt, Netherland.
- [4] Achal V., Mukherjee A., Basu P. C, and Reddy M. S. (2009) "Strain improvement of sporosarcina Pasteurii for enhanced urease and calcite production" Journal of industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 36, 981-988.
- [5] Achal, V., Mukerjee, A., and. and Reddy, M. S ,(2011) Effect of calcifying bacteria on permeation properties of concrete structures, Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology, 38, 1229–1234
- [6] Wiktor, V., and Jonkers, H. M.(2011) Quantification of crack-healing in novel bacteria- based self-healing concrete, Cement & Concrete Composites, 33,763–770.
- [7] Chahal, N., Siddique, R. and Rajor, A. (2012). Influence of bacteria on the compressive strength, water absorption and rapid chloride permeability of concrete incorporating silica fume, Construction and Building Materials, 37, 645–651
- [8] Majumdar, S., Sarkar, M., Chowdhury, T., Chattopadhyay, B., & Mandal, S.(2012) Use of bacterial protein powder in commercial fly ash pozzolana cements for high performance construction materials, Open Journal of Civil Engineering, 2, 218-228

- [9] Annamalai, S. K., Arunachalam, K. D., and Sathyanarayanan, K.S.,(2012) Production and characterization of Bio Caulk by Bacillus pasteurii and its remediation properties with carbon nano tubes on concrete fractures and fissures, Materials Research Bulletin, 47, 3362–3368
- [10] Navneet Chahal, Rafat Siddique, Anita Rojar (2012) "Influence of bacteria on the compressive strength, water absorption and rapid chloride permeability of fly ash concrete" Construction and Building Materials, 28, 351-356.
- [11] Achal et.al. (2015). Influence of bacteria on the compressive strength, water absorption and rapid chloride permeability of concrete incorporating silica fume, Construction and Building Materials, 37, 645–651
- [12] Sujatha S., Sarayu K., Annaselvi M., Ramachandra Murthy A., Ramesh Kumar V., Nagesh R. Iyer, (2017), Soil Bacteria for the Strength Enhancement of Cement Mortar, Journal of Civil Engineering Research, 4(2A), 51-54
- [13] Maheswaran, S., Dasuru, S. S., Murthy, A. R., Bhuvaneshwari, B.; Kumar, V. R., Palani, G. S., Iyer, N. R., Krishnamoorthy, S., and Sandhya, S., (2018) Strength improvement studies using new type wild strain *Bacillus cereus* on cement mortar, Current Science, 106,1-10

[14] Mian Luo, Chun-xiang Qian, Rui-yang Li "Factors affecting crack repairing capacity of bacteria-based Scientific Concrete, Construction and Building Materials" 87 (2019) 1–7.

- [15] Smita G. Khade, Sachin J. Mane "Investigation of Bacterial Activity on Compressive Strength of Cement
   [56] 647 Concrete" 2019 IJESC Volume 7 Issue No.9.
  - [16] IS 456:2000 "Plain and Reinforced Concrete-Code of Practice".
  - [17] Indian Standards IS:4031(Part 4):1988-Methods of physical tests for hydraulic cement
  - [18] Indian Standards IS:4031(Part 5):1988-Methods of physical tests for hydraulic cement Indian Standards IS 455 (1989): Portland Slag Cement Specification