ABSTRACT

Aim: To investigate preference of selected spectacle design in Oman population. In addition, to compare ranking of conventional spectacles frame design, and its association to age, gender, and education levels and choice of frame.

Methods: A questionnaire-based study was designed to evaluate the choices of frame design selection by people in Oman society. A total number of 100 participants aged from 15 years and above were selected randomly from Oman Society. The research was explained, consent obtained, completed questionnaire was collected and data was analyzed.

Results: An important finding in my study was that square frame design is the most popular design chosen by participants (63 out of 100), followed by browline, rimless, semi rimless, wayfarer, rectangular, aviator, navigator frame. The least preferred is half eye frame. Others results obtained relating to frame design is the weight of spectacle frame is considered as main factor affecting the wear compliance (97%), followed by color (88%), material (86%) and shape (80%). Brand of spectacle frame is least important factor affecting the wear compliance for spectacle frame (65%). Common reasons for wearing spectacle is near sightedness (myopia) in both males and females (58%) while the far sightedness (hyperopia) represents the lowest percentage for wearing spectacle (15%).

Conclusions: People preferred to wear square frame design and Browline frame. Color, weight, materials and brand name affect choices and wearing compliance.
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1. INTRODUCTION
1.1. Background

Glasses also known as eyeglasses or spectacles are devices consisting of glass or hard plastic lenses mounted in a frame that holds them in front of a person’s eyes. Glasses is the simplest and most popular way of correcting refractive error. Lenses may be spherical, cylindrical or a mixture of both.

- Spherical lenses have a constant curvature over the entire surface and may be convex (converge light rays, known as plus lenses) or concave (diverge light rays, known as minus lenses).
- Cylindrical lenses have focusing powers in one meridian only, the orientation of which depends on the patient’s problem [1].

Refractive errors may also corrected by:

- Contact lens: this option is cosmetically much better though the maintenance and cleaning of contact lenses may be cumbersome. There is also a slight risk of infection to the cornea, if the contact lenses are not cleaned properly before use.
- Refractive surgeries: these are increasingly becoming popular with the advent of more predictable laser treatments.

Refractive error:
The function of the eye is to see clearly the objects around us. The inability of the eye to accurately focus the rays of light coming from distance on the retina is called refractive error. This condition may be either because the eye is too short or long in length, or because the cornea or lens does not have the required refractive power. Refractive errors commonly account for half or more of impaired vision in most surveyed populations. The prevalence of refractive errors has been found to vary across race and geographic location, gender, age, educational level and amount of near work, and parental education.

Refractive errors include

**Myopia (near-sightedness):**
This is the condition in which the eye is too long and the light is focused in front of the retina. Distant objects are blurred but the near objects are seen clearly. The eye has too much optical power and to correct it the optical power is reduced by either minus glasses or contact lenses, or by surgery.

**Hypermetropia (long-sightedness):**
This is the condition in which the eye is too short and the light is focused behind the retina. The eye has less optical power than is needed. When young, the eye can use the lens within the eye to compensate, but reading glasses or plus glasses are needed at a relatively early age. Later, distance glasses are needed as well, such that glasses for distance plus and near are required.
1.2. Literature review

A study by Zhou; et al\(^1\) identified the specific characteristics in making glasses designs, particularly those compatible with adjustable glasses, more or less appealing to Chinese children and their parents. The main outcome are Current adjustable lens designs are unattractive to Chinese children and their parents.

Aaron M; et al\(^7\) studied the prevalence and determinants of compliance with spectacle wear among school-age children in Oaxaca, Mexico, who were provided spectacles free of charge. The study showed that oval frames, which are more expensive, could be dispensed to those in city areas. Round frames could be used in rural areas where compliance is less problematic.

The study by Sakamoto Y; et al\(^8\) found the relationship between eyeglasses size and protection of the eye surface from the effects of solar ultraviolet (UV) rays was investigated. The main outcome are the efficacy of eyeglasses against UV depends on their size and shape. Small eyeglasses do not offer ideal UV protection for the Japanese face shape.

In another study done by Alderson; et al\(^9\) compared spectacles bought online with spectacles from optometry practices. They concluded that greater number of online spectacles were deemed unsafe or unacceptable because of poor spectacle frame fit, poor cosmetic appearance, and inaccurate optical centration.

Vivasan; et al\(^10\) understood the profiles of the patient who attended and chose to purchase spectacle from the public sector eye clinics in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa. In addition, to explore patient perception of the spectacle frames on offer and to understand the motivation of the patient in selecting their spectacle frames. They found that high acceptance by patients of the range of spectacles offered in public sector eye clinics with specific suggestions to improve it.

Messer; et al\(^11\) investigated factors associated with spectacle wear in a group of primarily Native American children provided spectacles free of charge through a school-based vision program. The main outcome despite being provided with two pairs of spectacles, loss and breakage were the most commonly reported reasons for not wearing spectacles. The best predictive factor for determining whether participants were wearing spectacles was their uncorrected acuity.

The study by Abdulkabir A; et al\(^4\) evaluated challenges, attitudes and practices among spectacle wearers to effect positive change when necessary, and determine positive change in a resource-limited economy. Show that Attitudes and practices requiring positive change crossed gender and educational levels among spectacle wearers. The cost of spectacles should be regulated and availability of standard eye care practices would reduce challenges including lens-related defects and quackery.

Furthermore, Khandekar; et al\(^12\) determined the degree of compliance of spectacle wear and some of its determinants among school children of Dhakhiliya region of Oman. They found that The study identifies the factors of high-risk of non-compliance as (a) male gender, (b) younger age, and (c) low myopic refractive error. The eye health care program of
Oman should focus on these high-risk groups to further improve the compliance for visual aids.

In another study, school children Luminita-Adel et al.[13] assessed the compliance in school children aged between 5 to 12 years in the treatment of refractive errors by optical correction with glasses. They showed that a positive impact, managing to increase student compliance to treatment with 161%. By default, the future must look for new ways to improve these data, which actually reflects the quality of life for children.

The study done by Vincent; et al.[14] assessed wearing compliance of those who received ready-made spectacles. The main outcome that spectacle wearing compliance studies will not be comparable unless a standard timeframe is used to assess compliance. They found assessing self-reported compliance to be a useful tool in guiding our program. Understanding the reasons for non-compliance will help guide corrective action and planning of interventions designed to increase wearing rates.

1.3. Rationale for the Study
Cosmetic appearance of spectacle may be a factor in spectacle wear compliance. Knowledge of spectacle design preference in Oman has not been studied. A personal reason for choosing this topic is from previous experience of no guidance in the selection of spectacle frame including the correct way and other wrong way, so I wanted knowledge on basic rules in choosing the spectacle frame and helping others.

1.4. Aim of Study
To investigate preference of selected spectacle design in Oman population. In addition, to compare ranking of conventional spectacles frame design, and its association to age, gender, and education levels and choice of frame.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS
A questionnaire-based study is designed and ethical approval was taken before starting the study. The questionnaire contained multiple-choice question, close-ended question. In the questionnaire, participant was asked to choose the best three of spectacles frame design among ten frame designs in a questionnaire paper. Other details are in Appendix. A total number of 100 participant aged from (15 & above) were selected randomly from Oman Society. The people who had refractive errors and using spectacles for the correction of error on regular basis were included. Also included are people who want to wear glasses in the future for refractive error correction participant reasons include students from schools and universities, worker, or non-workers, male or female, and anyone over the age of eleven years. People with normal vision or those with refractive error but not using spectacles on regular basis for correction of refractive error were excluded from the study and People younger than 15 years old, who do not have the desire to wear glasses and older people aged over 60 years. Questionnaire was distributed to both male and female in different education levels such as secondary school, diploma, bachelor, master and doctoral levels. They were asked to answer the questionnaire paper in several ways by using E-mail and the social media program such as WhatsApp and Black Berry Messenger (BBM) to get the answer fast. The data collected by counting how many Yes and No in close-ended question and the best three of spectacles frame design between ten frames. Collected data was analyzed by using appropriate statistical tool such as Microsoft office Excel and Word program to have different Figure design and then finding the results. The questionnaire data were analysed by using appropriate statistical tool such as Microsoft office Excel and Word programs.

3. RESULTS
Table 1 shows that total number of sample size was 100, age of the participant in Oman society ranged from 15 to 60 years. Total number of female who using spectacle for the correction of error represented 70% and male 30% of total simple size. Approximately half of the respondents surveyed were age between 15 – 20 years old (39%) with mean of 17.5 (SD:1.87) and age between 21 – 30 years old (43%) with mean of 25.5 (SD:3.0). Those aged rang of 31 to 40 years old and from 41 to 60 years old represented the lowest percentage formed less than 10% of total size. The level of education included: secondary school, diploma, bachelor, master, and doctoral. The majority of participant with bachelor degree education was (51%), than secondary school was (21%), diploma (14%), follow by the lowest percentage of master (6%) and doctoral (8%).

![Table1. Demographics data of participants in Oman society (n=100).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20 years</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 years</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 years&amp; above</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary School</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 it explaining the refractive reason for wearing spectacle. There were more near sightedness participants that were wearing spectacle.

![Figure1. percentage of participants wearing spectacles according to refractive error.](image)

Figure 2 shows that weight of spectacle frame considered as main factor that affects the wearing compliance, while brand of spectacle frame is least important factor affecting the wearing compliance for spectacle frame.
The preference for frame design is as follow highest to lowest:

Out of 100 participant, 63 chose square frame (Figure3).

Out of 100 participant, 53 chose browline frame (Figure4).

Out of 100 participant, 43 chose rimless frame (Figure5).

Out of 100 participant, 36 chose semi rim less frame (Figure6).

Out of 100 participant, 36 chose large square frame (Figure7).

Out of 100 participant, 27 chose rectangular frame (Figure8).

Out of 100 participant, 22 chose aviator frame (Figure9).

Out of 100 participant, 9 chose navigator frame (Figure10).

Out of 100 participant, 5 chose cat eye frame (Figure11).

Out of 100 participant, 1 chose half eye frame (Figure12).

A summary of all frame designs and preference by participant is shown in Figure13.
4. DISCUSSION

This study was designed to investigate preference of selected spectacle design in Oman population. In addition, to compare ranking of conventional spectacles frame design, and its association to age, gender, and education levels and choice of frame. An important finding in my study was that square frame design is the most popular design chosen by participants (63 out of 100), followed by browline, rimless, semi rimless, wayfarer, rectangular, aviator, navigator frame. The least preferred is half eye frame. Others results obtained relating to frame design is the weight of spectacle frame is considered as main factor affecting the wear compliance (97%), followed by color (88%), material (86%) and shape (80%). Brand of spectacle frame is least important factor affecting the wear compliance for spectacle frame (65%). Common reasons for wearing spectacle is near sightedness (myopia) in both males and females (58%) while the far sightedness (hyperopia) represents the lowest percentage for wearing spectacle (15%).

Square frame is the most popular design chosen by participants in this study. This is similar to the result obtained in Chinese study[3] in which both children and parents preferred square frame. This may because it is the suitable for faces shape and all age groups [3]. It is less expensive compared to other frame design[3]. Age may be a factor in selection but my study has been similar to the age of the parents in their study (though the age of parent were stated).

Browline is the second most popular frame design among parents in this study. Similar result was obtained in the Chinese study[3]. Browline and square frames design were both children and parents preferred than other frames. In other study[7] square frames are more expensive, so it was recommended to be dispensed in the city where people have higher salaries. Round frames are less expensive and can be dispensed in rural areas where compliance is less problematic.

Other frame designs such as browline, rimless, semi rimless, wayfarer, rectangular, aviator, navigator, cat eye and half eye frames designs were least popular.

Half eye design is the least popular design chosen by participants in this study. Half eye is used by older people for reading only. This may older people (the age 41 and above) were only 9 participant. So more research can confirm this finding by including more older participants. Also

most older people prefer large glasses for reading or they have better options with more benefits such as bifocal, multifocal and progressive glasses. Bifocal glasses is created with two different areas of vision correction, the top portion of lens is used for distance, while the bottom portion of the lens is used for closer vision. Progressive glasses have multiple vision correction include near, intermediate and distance.

Other aspects related to frame design obtained on this participant are weight, color, materials and brand of spectacle frame. In present study, weight of spectacle frames had a major influence (97%) on wearing compliance it is least preferred. This may because the heavy frame tend to cause headaches or fatigue of the eye and more likely to slip off the nose often. Study done by Julia and Eugene[15] which also showed an increase in weight of frames could decrease perceptions of attractiveness.

In present study the most common types of refractive error in both males and females were near-sightedness (85%) similar to the study done in Muscat [16], where near-sightedness was (95%). Farsightedness is distributed more in female than male, which was similar to this study also. It has noted that high myopes glasses are better with thick frame to hide the thick edge of the concave lenses. Myopes preferred in this study black square frames.

Black is preferred by many according Zhou et al[8]. Material and brand name need to be researched as in supporting literature was found.

Further study on frame designs is needed to included higher sample size and older people to obtain better results.

CONCLUSION

People preferred to wear square frame design and Browline frame. Color, weight, materials and brand name affect choices and wearing compliance.
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Dear Madam/Sir:
I am conducting a research on Spectacle Design Preferences in Oman Society. I would appreciate if you kindly answer the following questionnaires. All information is strictly confidential and will not be used for any other purpose than my undergraduate project.

Section 1:

1) Gender:
   Male   [ ]   Female   [ ]

2) Age:
   15-20 years   [ ]   21-30 years   [ ]
   31-40 years   [ ]   41 years & above   [ ]

3) What is your level of education?
   Secondary School   [ ]   Diploma   [ ]
   Bachelor   [ ]   Master   [ ]
   Doctoral   [ ]   Others

Section 2:
Please write 1st, 2nd and 3rd choice beside the number of the frame design you prefer.

Section 3:

Q1: For which eye problem are you wearing spectacle?
   1. (nearsightedness)   2. (farsightedness)

Q2: Do you think the frame shape is important in wearing compliance?
   1. (yes)   2. (no)

Q3: Do you think the material of the frame affects wearing compliance?
   1. (yes)   2. (no)

Q4: Do you think the weight of the frame affect wearing compliance?
   1. (yes)   2. (no)

Q5: Does the color of the frame affect the wearing compliance?
   1. (yes)   2. (no)

Q6: Do you think the brand of the frame affects the wearing compliance?
   1. (yes)   2. (no)