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$>$ Elevated water tanks models are designed using STAAD Pro. The columns are taken circular for both tanks and diameter are 300 mm and height is 15 meter. The height of water tank is 4.3 meter and diameter is 8 meter for circular water tanks.
$>$ Capacity of water stored is 200 KL or 200000 liter. These models are analyzed for dead load, water load and seismic load. Dead load was designed according to IS: 875-1987(Part 1) and Seismic load was designed using response spectrum method for earthquake zone III of India using IS: 1903-2002. nd
> For analyzing a elevated water tank one has to consider all the possible loadings and see that the structure is safe against all possible loading conditions.
$>$ Capacity of the water tank is considered 200000 L .
> There are several methods for analysis of different frames like finite element method. Two tanks are design for 200 KL capacity in circular tank without center column and circular tank with center column. The height is kept same for both water tanks that is 21 m from ground level.

## Introduction

$>$ Water tanks are very important components of lifeline.
> They are critical elements in municipal water supply, fire fighting systems and in many industrial facilities for storage of water.
$>$ A reinforcement concrete tank is a very useful structure which is meant for the storage of water, for swimming bath, sewage sedimentation and for such similar purposes.
$>$ Reinforced concrete overhead water tanks are used to store and supply safe drinking water.
$>$ With the rapid speed of urbanization, demand for drinking water has increased by many fields.
$>$ Also, due to shortage of electricity, it is not possible to supply water through pumps at peak hours. In such situations overhead water tanks become an indispensable part of life.

## > CIRCULAR TANKS

Circular tanks are usually good for very larger storage capacities the side walls are designed for circumferential hoop tension and bending moment, since the walls are fixed to the floor slab at the junction. The co-efficient recommended in IS 3370 part 4 is used to determine the design forces. The bottom slab is usually flat because it's quite economical.


Fig1: Circular tank

## OBJECTIVES

> Modeling, analysis and Design of elevated water tank using STAAD PRO V8i Software using with and without center column.

## METHODOLOG

separate models are created on STAAD.pro to check the behaviour of centre column under the action of seismic forces, elevated water tank are modelled.
These are analysed for seismic and wind loadings.

## RESULTS <br> COMAPRISION BETWEEN CIRCULAR OVERHEAD WATER TANK WITH \& WITHOUT CENTERCOLUMNS

Study of capacity in circular tank without center column and circular tank with center column it is clear that the seismic hazard and water pressure are the measure component for the analysis of the tank. Due to addition of center column the moment of bottom slab is reduces. And hence it is more stable or got more stability.
> MAXIMUM BENDING MOMENT
> MAXIMUM SHARE FORCE
$>$ AXIAL LOAD ON COLUMNS FOOTING

Table5.1 Maximum moment on Overhead Circular water tank without centre column

|  |  |  | Horizontal | Vertical | Horizontal | Moment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Node | L/C | FxkN | FykN | FzkN | Mx N-m | My N-m | Mz N-m |
| Max Fx | 4 | 13 | 14.562 | 321.522 | 0.843 | 952.338 | 260.746 | -25356.7 |
| Min Fx | 4 | 15 | -14.482 | 174.021 | -1.347 | -1463.04 | -260.744 | 25275.72 |
| Max Fy | 6 | 4 | 1.922 | 850.522 | -6.565 | -13377 | 2.38 | -1920.49 |
| Min Fy | 1 | 1 | -4.794 | -49.243 | -0.047 | -554.279 | -169.437 | 11433.02 |
| Max Fz | 8 | 18 | -1.347 | 174.021 | 14.482 | 25275.72 | -260.744 | 1463.038 |
| Min Fz | 8 | 7 | 1.153 | 625.125 | -18.94 | -33025.8 | 211.828 | -1230.13 |
| Max Mx | 8 | 18 | -1.347 | 174.021 | 14.482 | 25275.72 | -260.744 | 1463.038 |
| Min Mx | 8 | 7 | 1.153 | 625.125 | -18.94 | -33025.8 | 211.828 | -1230.13 |
| Max My | 8 | 12 | 0.843 | 321.522 | -14.562 | -25356.7 | 260.746 | -952.338 |
| Min My | 4 | 15 | -14.482 | 174.021 | -1.347 | -1463.04 | -260.744 | 25275.72 |
| Max Mz | 4 | 15 | -14.482 | 174.021 | -1.347 | -1463.04 | -260.744 | 25275.72 |
| Min Mz | 4 | 13 | 14.562 | 321.522 | 0.843 | 952.338 | 260.746 | -25356.7 |

Table5.2 Maximum moment on Overhead Circular water tank having column in centre

|  |  |  | Horizontal | Vertical | Horizontal | Moment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Node | L/C | Fx kN | Fy kN | Fz kN | Mx N-m | My N-m | Mz N-m |
| Max Fx | 4 | 13 | 13.144 | 351.061 | -0.164 | 14.880 | 229.625 | -22615.340 |
| Min Fx | 4 | 15 | -12.965 | 182.443 | -0.970 | -1133.611 | -229.625 | 22438.152 |
| Max Fy | 349 | 4 | -0.000 | 1202.48 | -13.829 | -24318.17 | -0.001 | 0.000 |
| Min Fy | 1 | 1 EQ | -6.312 | -74.170 | -0.529 | -1040.15 | -184.721 | 11832.607 |
| Max Fz | 8 | 18 | -0.970 | 182.443 | 12.965 | 22438.15 | -229.625 | 1133.611 |
| Min Fz | 8 | 7 | -0.014 | 747.923 | -22.386 | -38623.61 | 142.911 | -174.613 |
| Max Mx | 8 | 18 | -0.970 | 182.443 | 12.965 | 22438.15 | -229.625 | 1133.611 |
| Min Mx | 8 | 7 | -0.014 | 747.923 | -22.386 | -38623.61 | 142.911 | -174.613 |
| Max My | 7 | 7 | -4.787 | 604.073 | -18.265 | -34450.70 | 348.631 | 4911.783 |
| Min My | 6 | 11 | -10.911 | 405.030 | 2.725 | 3049.278 | -345.856 | 20280.887 |
| Max Mz | 4 | 15 | -12.965 | 182.443 | -0.970 | -1133.611 | -229.625 | 22438.152 |
| Min Mz | 4 | 13 | 13.144 | 351.061 | -0.164 | 14.880 | 229.625 | -22615.340 |



Fig.5.1 - STAAD Pro Model showingBending moment of the overhead Circular Water Tank
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> MAXIMUM SHARE FORCE
Table5.3 Maximum share force on Overhead Circular water tank

|  |  |  | Horizontal | Vertical | Horizontal | Resultant | Rotational |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Node | L/C | X mm | Y mm | Z mm | mm | rX rad | rY rad | rZ rad |
| Max X | 173 | 11 | 38.454 | -2.691 | 0.007 | 38.548 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| Min X | 173 | 17 | -38.405 | -1.608 | 0 | 38.439 | 0 | -0.001 | 0 |
| Max Y | 98 | 2 EQZ | 1.872 | 0.377 | 23.588 | 23.665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Y | 96 | 4 | 0.001 | -19.251 | 24.522 | 31.176 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max Z | 334 | 7 | -0.01 | -6.158 | 50.509 | 50.883 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Z | 193 | 14 | 0.007 | -2.691 | -38.454 | 38.548 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| Max rX | 102 | 4 | -0.059 | -10.667 | 24.471 | 26.695 | 0.004 | 0 | 0 |
| Min rX | 92 | 4 | 0.061 | -11.239 | 24.572 | 27.021 | -0.004 | 0 | 0 |
| Max rY | 161 | 11 | 36.28 | -2.475 | 2.255 | 36.434 | 0 | 0.001 | 0 |
| Min rY | 162 | 12 | 1.407 | -2.641 | 33.637 | 33.77 | 0 | -0.001 | 0 |
| Max rZ | 141 | 4 | 0.051 | -10.952 | 24.463 | 26.803 | 0 | 0 | 0.004 |
| Min rZ | 53 | 4 | -0.05 | -10.954 | 24.583 | 26.913 | 0 | 0 | -0.004 |
| Max Rst | 334 | 7 | -0.01 | -6.158 | 50.509 | 50.883 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table5.4 Maximum share force on Overhead Circular water tank having column in centre

|  | Beam | L/C | Node | Fx kN | Fy kN | Fz kN | Mx N-m |  | My N-m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max Fx | 538 | 4 | 349 | 1202.4 | 0.000 | 13.82 | -0.001 | 24318.17 | -0.000 |
| Min Fx | 1 | 1 QX | 9 | -74.170 | 6.312 | 0.529 | -184.721 | -546.066 | 7102.806 |
| Max Fy | 518 | 4 | 394 | 10.358 | 395.06 | -9.159 | -4018.32 | 2936.474 | 127.762 |
| Min Fy | 516 | 4 | 351 | 8.696 | -395.36 | 10.86 | 1039.38 | 3486.569 | 124.078 |
| Max Fz | 340 | 7 | 32 | 703.14 | -0.64 | 24.48 | 283.058 | -37045.13 | 331.643 |
| Min Fz | 340 | 18 | 32 | 161.99 | 1.793 | -13.98 | -350.903 | 21106.21 | 2533.242 |
| MaxMx | 520 | 7 | 350 | -6.015 | 272.10 | 4.084 | 8662.44 | -1251.531 | 79488.179 |
| Min Mx | 519 | 7 | 93 | -2.211 | -280.33 | -3.850 | -8657.47 | 1120.188 | -90456.541 |
| Max My | 8 | 7 | 8 | 747.92 | 0.014 | 22.38 | 142.911 | 38623.61 | 174.613 |
| Min My | 603 | 7 | 392 | 681.91 | 1.460 | 24.32 | 141.586 | -44097.91 | 4432.472 |
| Max Mz | 518 | 4 | 394 | 10.358 | 395.06 | -9.159 | -4018.32 | 2936.474 | 127.76 |
| Min Mz | 516 | 4 | 73 | 8.696 | -393.06 | 10.86 | 1039.38 | -3329.846 | -123.35 |



Fig.5.2 - STAAD Pro Model showing Maximum shear force of the Overhead Circular Water Tank
$>$ AXIAL LOAD ON COLUMNSUPPORT
Table5.5 Maximum Axial load on Circular elevated water tank

| Node | L/C | Force-X kN | Force-Y kN | Force-Z kN | Moment-X N-m | Moment-Y N-m | Moment-Z N-m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.21 | 206.476 | 0.033 | 33.757 | 0.001 | -212.792 |
|  | 5 | 0.494 | 293.956 | -6.06 | -10599.9 | -2.682 | -497.916 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.143 | 216.472 | -0.104 | -100.886 | -0.003 | -138.763 |
|  | 5 | -1.388 | 341.638 | -5.131 | -9672.79 | -2.163 | 1386.46 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.104 | 216.472 | 0.143 | 138.763 | -0.003 | -100.886 |
|  | 5 | 1.367 | 284.983 | -4.258 | -8816.32 | -1.607 | -1354.36 |
| 4 | 3 | 0.033 | 206.476 | -0.21 | -212.792 | 0.001 | -33.757 |
|  | 5 | -0.439 | 339.848 | -3.366 | -7930.36 | -0.448 | 435.358 |
| 5 | 3 | -0.033 | 206.476 | 0.21 | 212.792 | 0.001 | 33.757 |
|  | 5 | -0.454 | 260.698 | -3.271 | -7822.66 | 0.459 | 452.474 |
| 6 | 3 | -0.104 | 216.472 | -0.143 | -138.763 | -0.003 | 100.886 |
|  | 5 | 1.385 | 350.543 | -4.234 | -8779.23 | 1.589 | -1381.22 |

International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD) @ www.ijtsrd.com eISSN: 2456-6470

| 7 | 3 | -0.143 | 216.472 | 0.104 | 100.886 | -0.003 | 138.763 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | -1.364 | 293.888 | -5.149 | -9699.65 | 2.144 | 1349.377 |
| 8 | 3 | -0.21 | 206.476 | -0.033 | -33.757 | 0.001 | 212.792 |
|  | 5 | 0.399 | 306.589 | -6.075 | -10617 | 2.693 | -390.213 |

Table5.6 Maximum Axial load on Overhead Circular water tank having column in centre

| Node | L/C | Force-X kN | Force-Y kN | Force-Z kN | Moment-X N-m | Moment-Y N-m | Moment-Z N-m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.472 | 222.293 | 0.075 | 73.829 | -0.000 | -466.138 |
|  | 5 | 0.503 | 354.971 | -9.828 | -17046.334 | 33.990 | -534.128 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.154 | 226.307 | -0.112 | -114.909 | -0.000 | -158.154 |
|  | 5 | -1.928 | 449.055 | -8.125 | -15378.998 | -59.957 | 1797.893 |
| 3 | 3 | 0.112 | 226.307 | 0.154 | 158.154 | -0.000 | -114.909 |
|  | 5 | 1.873 | 296.664 | -6.948 | -14274.709 | -43.561 | -1751.367 |
| 4 | 3 | 0.075 | 222.293 | -0.472 | -466.138 | -0.000 | -73.829 |
|  | 5 | -0.268 | 480.249 | -8.214 | -15215.975 | 5.383 | 303.302 |
| 5 | 3 | -0.075 | 222.293 | 0.472 | 466.138 | -0.000 | 73.829 |
|  | 5 | -0.333 | 263.950 | -7.808 | -14817.439 | -5.384 | 366.415 |
| 6 | 3 | -0.112 | 226.307 | -0.154 | -158.154 | -0.000 | 114.909 |
|  | 5 | 1.919 | 473.192 | -6.884 | -14220.808 | 43.559 | -1790.517 |
| 7 | 3 | -0.154 | 226.307 | 0.112 | 114.909 | -0.000 | 158.154 |
|  | 5 | -1.864 | 320.801 | -8.171 | -15418.148 | 59.955 | 1743.992 |
| 8 | 3 | -0.472 | 222.293 | -0.075 | -73.829 | -0.000 | 466.138 |
|  | 5 | 0.098 | 389.229 | -9.892 | -17109.447 | -33.991 | -135.591 |
| 349 | 3 | -0.000 | 254.814 | -0.000 | 0.000 | -0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 5 | -0.000 | 546.845 | -9.219 | -16212.116 | -0.001 | 0.000 |

## CONCLUSION

$>$ The deflection on circular tank without center column and circular tank with center column is reduces.
$>$ In circular column the ring beam is not straight and hence there are much moment seen.
$>$ The vertical load in overhead circular water tank the load is equal in all columns. Hence there more chances of settlement of heavy load columns or need to greater strength in footing.
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