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## ABSTRACT

Biodiversity of plants collectively known as "plant genetic resources" is a key component of any agricultural production system, indeed, of any ecosystem, without which natural evolutionary adjustment of the system to the changing environmental and biotic conditions would be impossible. Plant biodiversity is an irreplaceable resource, providing raw materials for introductions, domestication as well as improvement programme in agriculture and forestry. A decline in biodiversity entails the loss of species of genes from an ecosystem with unpredictable effects for the long-term survival of that system. This disappearance of species has been described as a loss of plants and animals with potential agricultural and economic value as a threat to the global climate and the environment for human existence. A population consists of organisms of a particular species, but when several populations share a common habitat and its resources, they interact among themselves and develop into a biotic community. A population consists of an organism of a particular species, but when several populations share a common habitat and its resources, they interact among themselves and develop into a biotic community. The composition of a community in any habitat is depending upon the environmental conditions and ecological amplitude of species populations. In a community, plants of one or more species are more prominent than the others because they are present in considerable numbers and largely control the environment. These are called dominants and they determine what other species may grow in the community. The members of a community compete with each other for factors of the environment such as light, water and mineral nutrients. Community structure, composition, shape and so on are called qualitative features while the measurement of density, frequency of occurrence, height, coverage, and growth represent the quantitative features.
Keywords: Biodiversity, Population, Community, Qualitative and quantitative features

## A. INTRODUCTION

In a community soil conditions, seed dispersal mechanisms, grazing pattern, biotic interference, etc. are varied, so this directly affects the dispersion of a species population in community. Frequency values differ in different communities due to varied environmental conditions. By his finding Raunkaier (1934) established the law of frequency which has been accepted that this law occurs in communities where disturbance is minimum. The numerical strength of organisms in a community represents their number per unit area. In frequency and density studies, the size of the quadrat is very important.

The alpha diversity of any location is a balance between the actions of local biotic and abiotic elements of the environment, and immigration from other locations (Whittaker, 1960). Both the component of alpha diversity, i.e. species richness and evenness could be assessed separately or by through a unified index. Most of the index is sensitive to the size of the sample. These indices are formulated in number of individuals or in biomass or in cover. The size of individuals in different species also affects the indices.

Species diversity is considered a rough proxy for biodiversity. A community dominated by one or two species is considered to be less diverse than one in which several different species have a similar abundance. As species richness and evenness increase, so diversity increases. Simpson's Diversity Index is a measure of diversity which takes into accounts both richness and evenness. In ecology, it is often used to quantify the biodiversity of a habitat. It takes into account the number of species present as well as the abundance of each species. Biological diversity can be quantified in many different ways. The two main factors taken into account when measuring diversity are richness and evenness. Richness is a measure of the number of different kinds of organisms present in a particular area. The more species present in a sample, the 'richer' the sample. Species richness is the number of different species present. However,
diversity depends not only on richness, but also on evenness. Evenness compares the similarity of the population size of each of the species present. Evenness is a measure of the relative abundance of the different species making up the richness of an area.

Species diversity is quantified by calculating "Species Diversity Index" which is the ratio between the number of species and importance value or number or biomass or productivity of the individual. Shannon and Weaver used a formula for calculating "ShannonWiener Index" of general diversity. It is based on the information theory and the information content is a measure of the amount of uncertainty. The index is zero if there is only one species in the sample and maximum when all species are represented by the same number of individuals. It generally falls between 1.5 and 3.5 , and rarely exceeds 4.5 (Margalef, 1972). Pielou (1966) suggested that this index is valid on random samples which were taken out from a large community with known species number. This index is affected more by the addition of rare species with increasing sample size than Simpson's Index (Peet, 1974; Clementine et al., 1998).
In the present studies, an attempt has been made to study the quantitative characters of phytobiodiversity at Churu, a district headquarters at Rajasthan, India during the summer, rainy and winter season at three different sites in year 2014-2016.

## B. Materials and Methods-

A detailed mapping and floristic ecological survey of three study sites during three seasons of the year to know the prevailing biological diversity at Churu. Excursions were undertaken once in a month but in rainy months' frequency of visits extend up to once a week. Identification and listing of native plant species is by standard floras. For quantitative characters, the predetermined quadrat of $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ is placed for 10 times at the study site randomly. Individuals counts were made species-wise for all species that occurred within a quadrat. The various parameters as given below were analyzed as per standard methods. Frequency, density and abundance were calculated by using their respective formulae (Curtis, 1959).
Frequency is the unit of occurrence of particular species in the sampling process and is expressed in percentage. Density is the number of individuals per unit area and Abundance is the number of individuals per unit area of their occurrence. Using the basic quantitative parameters, the relative importance of
each species in the community was also computed in terms of relative frequency, relative density, relative abundance and relative dominance. Basal area is main character to determine dominance (Mishra, 1968). For measurement of Importance Value Index (IVI) all relative values were summed up.
Simpson Index (Simpson, 1949) is the measurement of concentration of dominance that ranges from 0 to 1 . Where 1 indicates the vegetation of single species and lower values indicating the sharing of dominance. It is denoted by " $C$ " and the function for infinite sample is:

$$
\mathbf{C}=\sum \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{2}}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the importance value of the species obtained using $n_{i} / N, n_{i}$ is the number of individuals of $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ species in the sample and N is the total number of individuals of all species in the sample.
For representing diversity (D), Simpson Index is subtracted from the maximum possible value of 1 (Greenberg, 1956; Berger and Parker, 1970), i.e.

$$
D=1-C
$$

Shannon-Wiener Index (Shannon and Weaver, 1949) is the measurement of species diversity in vegetation. And it is denoted by $\mathbf{H}$ and the function is:

## $\mathrm{H}=-\sum\left[\mathbf{P i} \log _{\mathrm{e}} \mathbf{P i}\right]$

The measurement of evenness is Pielou Index, denoted by $e$ and obtained by using following function:

$$
e=H / \log _{10} S
$$

(Here, S is the number of species)
Cody's measure for beta diversity is expressed as:

$$
\left.\mathbf{B}=1-\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{S}_{1}+\mathbf{S}_{2}\right)
$$

(Where, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are the number of species in sampling sites 1 and 2, respectively; and C is the number of species that are shared by sites 1 and 2)
All the species are sequenced in the descending order of Important Value Index (IVI). Now in $x-x^{i}$ axis species sequenced and in $y-y^{i}$ axis the IVI are assigned. The line connecting the co-ordinate points is the Dominance-Diversity Curve. Based on DD curve, the vegetation is identified as undisturbed (Sigmoidal), in harsh condition (Geometric) or with intense interspecific competition and territorial behavior (Broken stick) with niche overlapping, niche
non-overlapping, random niche boundaries, respectively (Whittaker, 1965, 1972).

To measure the distribution pattern of vegetation at the study site abundance and frequency ( $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{F}$ ) ratio was calculated as per Whitford (1949) and based on this community can be classified either regular, random or contagious.

Regular $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{F}<0.025 ;$ Random $=0.025$ to 0.05 ;
Contagious $=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{F}>0.05$

## C. Observations

The data on quantitative studies, viz. frequency, density, abundance, dominance and importance value index (IVI) are presented in Tables 1 to 5. It is evident from these tables that frequency ranged from10 to $90 \%$ in rainy, while 10 to $80 \%$ in winter and summer seasons at all sites. In rainy season, plant species such as Aristidia funiculata, Cenchrus biflorus, Cynodon dactylon, Mollugo cerviana, Tephrosia purpurea and Tribulus terrestris show maximum frequency at site-I and Cenchrus biflorus, Cyperus rotundus, Gisekia pharnacioides and Mollugo cerviana at site-II. Plant species which exhibited maximum frequencies at siteIII were: Cenchrus biflorus and Mollugo cerviana. In winter, Chenopodium murale \& Tephrosia purpurea at site-I, Crotalaria burhia at site-II and Calotropis procera \& Tephrosia purpurea at site-III showed maximum frequencies. In summer, Tephrosia purpurea (sites-I \& II) and Crotalaria burhia (site-III) showed maximum frequencies.
Density ranged from 0.1 to $7.4,0.1$ to 8.7 and 0.1 to 6.5 in rainy season at sites-I, II and III, respectively. Mollugo cerviana, M. nudicaulis and Gisekia pharnacioides shows highest densities in rainy season at sites-I, II and III, respectively. It ranged between 0.1 to $2.4,0.1$ to 1.8 and 0.1 to 2.6 in winter and between 0.1 to $1.9,0.1$ to 2.4 and 0.1 to 2.0 in summer at sites-I, II and III, respectively.Cenchrus biflorus (site-III) and Tephrosia purpurea (site-II) showed maximum density in winter and summer seasons, respectively.
Abundance ranged from 1.0 to $9.0,1.0$ to 14.5 and 1.0 to 9.2 in rainy, from 1.0 to $4.0,1.0$ to 3.6 and 1.0 to 4.7 in winter and from 1.0 to $4.0,1.0$ to 3.3 and 1.0 to
2.6 in summer seasons at sites-I, II and III, respectively. Mollugo nudicaulis, Cenchrus ciliaris and Aerva pseudotomentosa showed maximum abundance during rainy, winter and summer seasons, respectively.

At all the sites, the highest values of dominance were recorded for Corchorus depressus (36.1) in rainy, Prosopis cineraria (15.1) in winter and during summer for Tecomella undulata (17.4). Regarding IVI (Table 3.5), it ranged between 0.43 to $13.89,0.49$ to 11.9 and 0.57 to 12.40 in rainy, 1.32 to $17.6,1.31$ to 11.9 and 1.11 to 19.10 in winter and 1.69 to 31.20 , 1.50 to 22.70 and 2.02 to 25.90 in summer seasons at sites-I, II and III, respectively. Plant species such as Corchorus depressus, Calotropis procera and Tecomella undulata showed maximum IVI during rainy, winter and summer at all sites, respectively.
The values of different parameters such as diversity indices, species richness, A/F ratio, beta diversity, etc. of studied sites are presented in Tables 5 to 7. The Simpson Index was maximum in summer at all the sites. The Shannan-Wiener Index ranged from 3.034 to 3.891 in all seasons at studied sites. Although, the number of plant species at sites-I \& II were lesser in winter than rainy season, the species richness was highest in winter at these sites. It was maximum in rainy at site-III. For studying of vegetation distribution patterns, abundance and frequency (A/F) ratio was calculated and it ranged from 0.059 to $0.088,0.056$ to 0.092 and 0.042 to 0.071 at Sites-I, II and III, respectively. These data showed that distribution patterns were contagious type at all sites except in summer at site-III, which showed random.
The values of beta diversity between studied sites recorded were: - $0.657,-0.585$ and -0.658 in rainy, winter and summer, respectively. The overall landscape diversity of the studied area was recorded 6.914 in rainy, 7.194 in winter and 6.817 in summer season.

The dominance-diversity curves of studied sites reveal that all the curves obtained during studied period in seasons were sigmoidal, however it was less sigmoidal in summer.
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Table 1 Basic quantitative parameters of plant species in various seasons at site-I during 2014-2016

| S. No. | Plant species | Rainy |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | D | A | Dom | F | D | A | Dom | F | D | A |
| 1 | Abutilon indicum | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | - | - | - |
| 2 | Acacia nilotica | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 3 | A. senegal | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 4 | Aerva pseudotomentosa | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 40 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 20 | 0.8 | 4.0 |
| 5 | A. persica | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 50 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 60 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| 6 | Ageratum conyzoides | 30 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 7 | Albizia lebbek | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 8 | Amaranthus blitum | 50 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 9 | Anagallis arvensis | - | - | - | - | 60 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 7.7 | - | - | - |
| 10 | Anticharis linearis | 60 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 4.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Argemone mexicana | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 30 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 40 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| 12 | Aristida funiculata | 70 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 18.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Arnebia hispidissima | 40 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 13.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Balanites aegyptiaca | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 15 | Barleria cristata | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Blepharis sindica | 60 | 4.6 | 7.7 | 20.9 | 30 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Boerhavia diffusa | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 9.6 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | - | - | - |
| 18 | Borreria articularis | 60 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | Brachiaria ramosa | 60 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 22.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Calotropis procera | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 13.3 | 60 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 50 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 21 | Capparis decidua | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| 22 | Citrullus lanatuss | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | Cenchrus biflorus | 80 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 50 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 3.8 | - | - | - |
| 24 | C. ciliaris | 70 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 25 | Chenopodium album | - | - | - | - | 60 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 26 | C. murale | - | - | - | - | 70 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 27 | Citrullus colocynthis | 60 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 28 | Cleome viscosa | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| 29 | Clerodendrum phlomidis | 40 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 30 | Corchorus depressus | 70 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 36.1 | 50 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| 31 | C. tridens | 40 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 30 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| 32 | Crotalaria burhia | 30 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 70 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 80 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| 33 | Ctenolepis cerasiformis | 30 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 34 | Cucumis callosus | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 35 | Cyamopsis tetragonoloba | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | Cymbopogon jwarncusa | 30 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 37 | Cynodon dactylon | 70 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 60 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 |
| 38 | Cyperus arenarius | 60 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 30 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| 39 | Dactyloctenium aegyptium | 40 | 2.3 | 5.8 | 3.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | Datura inoxia | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 50 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| 41 | Desmostachya bipinnata | 40 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 8.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 42 | Dicoma tomentosa | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 1.9 | - | - | - |
| 43 | Digeria muricata | 40 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | Digitaria cilliaris | 30 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | Echinops echinatus | 30 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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| 46 | Eclipta alba | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | Eragrostis ciliaris | 60 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | Erianthus munja | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 49 | Euphorbia hirta | 40 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | E. granulata | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | Fagonia cretica | 60 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 14.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | Farsetia hamiltonii | 60 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 2.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | Gisekia pharnacioides | 70 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | Glossocardia setosa | 20 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | Heliotropium marifolium | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 56 | H. ovalifolium | 50 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 57 | H. subulatum | 40 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 58 | Indigofera cordifolia | 70 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 5.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 59 | Launea procumbens | 40 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 60 | Leptadenia pyrotechnica | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 60 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 40 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| 61 | Lycium barbarum | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 20 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| 62 | Malva parviflora | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 63 | Maytenus emarginata | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 64 | Mollugo cerviana | 90 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 3.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 65 | M. nudicaulis | 90 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 66 | Momordica dioica | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 67 | Parkinsonia aculeata | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 68 | Pavonia arabica | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 69 | Pedalium murex | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | Peristrophe bicalyculata | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 71 | Vigna mungo | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 72 | Phyllanthus amarus | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 73 | Polygala irregularis | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 74 | Prosopis cineraria | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 15.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 75 | P. juliflora | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 8.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 9.6 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 76 | Pulicaria crispa | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 77 | Ricinus communis | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 78 | Solanum surattense | 30 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 |
| 79 | S. nigrum | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 30 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 30 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| 80 | Sonchus asper | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 81 | Tamarindus indica | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 82 | Tecomella undulata | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 21.9 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 13.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 83 | Tephrosia purpurea | 80 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.8 | 80 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 70 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| 84 | Tetrapogon tenellus | 30 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 85 | Tragus biflorus | 50 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 86 | Trianthema portulacastrum | 60 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 8.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 87 | Tribulus terrestris | 80 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 8.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 88 | Trigonella corniculata | 40 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 89 | Verbesina encelioides | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 90 | Withania somnifera | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 50 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| 91 | Xanthium strumarium | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 30 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 92 | Zaleya redimita | 50 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

$\mathrm{F}=$ Frequency, $\mathrm{D}=$ Density, $\mathrm{Ab}=$ Abundance, Dom $=$ Dominanace, and $-=$ Plants not seen.
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Table 2 Basic quantitative parameters of plant species in various seasons at site-II during 2014-2016

| S. No. | Plant species | Rainy |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | D | A | Dom | F | D | A | Dom | F | D | A |
| 1 | Acacia jacqemontii | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 2 | A. nilotica | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 3 | Aerva pseudotomentosa | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 30 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| 4 | A. persica | 40 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 60 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 50 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| 5 | Ageratum conyzoides | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 6 | Albizia lebbek | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 7 | Amaranthus blitum | 40 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Anagallis arvensis | - | - | - | - | 60 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 2.2 | - | - | - |
| 9 | Andropogon pumilus | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Anticharis linearis | 50 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 2.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Argemone mexicana | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 30 | 0.8 | 2.7 |
| 12 | Aristida funiculata | 50 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Arnebia hispidissima | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Balanites aegyptiaca | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| 15 | Blepharis sindica | 60 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Boerhavia diffusa | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | - | - | - |
| 17 | Borreria articularis | 40 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | Brachiaria ramosa | 20 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | Calotropis procera | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 40 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 60 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| 20 | Capparis decidua | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 50 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 60 | 0.8 | 1.3 |
| 21 | Cenchrus biflorus | 80 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 60 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 22 | C. ciliaris | 70 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 50 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 23 | C. setigerus | 60 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 24 | Chenopodium album | - | - | - | - | 60 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 25 | C. murale | - | - | - | - | 60 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 26 | Citrullus colocynthis | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 27 | C. lanatus | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 28 | Cleome viscosa | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| 29 | Clerodendrum phlomidis | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 30 | Convolvulus microphyllus | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | Corchorus depressus | 60 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 60 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 32 | C. tridens | 40 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 50 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | - | - | - |
| 33 | Cordia gharaf | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 34 | Crotalaria burhia | 20 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 80 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 80 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| 35 | Ctenolepis cerasiformis | 60 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 36 | Cucumis callosus | 40 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 37 | Cyamopsis tetragonoloba | 30 | 2.4 | 8.0 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | Cymbopogon jwarncusa | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| 39 | Cynodon dactylon | 40 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 40 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 60 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| 40 | Cyperus arenarius | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 30 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 41 | C. rotundus | 80 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 50 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 42 | Dactyloctenium aegyptium | 50 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 50 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 43 | D. sindicum | 70 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 60 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| 44 | Datura inoxia | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| 45 | Desmostachya biinnata | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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| 46 | Dicoma tomentosa | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | Digeria alternifolia | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | Digitaria cilliaris | 30 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | Eragrostis ciliaris | 40 | 2.8 | 7.0 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | Erianthus munja | 10 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 40 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 60 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| 51 | Euphorbia granulata | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | Fagonia cretica | 60 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 20 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - |
| 53 | Farsetia hamiltonii | 70 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | Gisekia pharnacioides | 80 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | Heliotropium marifolium | 60 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 56 | H. subulatum | 20 | 1.8 | 9.0 | 0.3 | 40 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 57 | Indigofera cordifolia | 60 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 58 | Leptadenia pyrotechnica | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 80 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| 59 | Lycium barbarum | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| 60 | Malva parviflora | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 61 | Maytenus emarginata | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 62 | Mollugo cerviana | 80 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 63 | M. nudicaulis | 60 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | Momordica dioica | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 65 | Panicum antidotale | 50 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 66 | P. turgidum | 60 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| 67 | Parkinsonia aculeata | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 68 | Pavonia arabica | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 69 | Pedalium murex | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | Peristrophe bicalyculata | 30 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 0.2 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 71 | Phyllanthus amarus | 30 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 72 | Prosopis cineraria | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 7.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 73 | Ricinus communis | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 74 | Salvadora persica | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 75 | Solanum nigrum | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| 76 | S. surattense | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 77 | Sonchus asper | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 78 | Tamarix aphylla | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 79 | Tecomella undulata | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 80 | Tephrosia purpurea | 50 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 70 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 80 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 81 | Tetrapogon tenellus | 30 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.2 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 82 | Tragus biflorus | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 83 | Trianthema triquetra | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 84 | Tribulus terrestris | 70 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 85 | Trigonella corniculata | 20 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 86 | Verbesina encelioides | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | - | - | - |
| 87 | Withania somnifera | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| 88 | Xanthium strumarium | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 89 | Zaleya redimita | 20 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 90 | Zizipus nummularia | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |

$\mathrm{F}=$ Frequency, $\mathrm{D}=$ Density, $\mathrm{Ab}=$ Abundance, Dom $=$ Dominanace, and $-=$ Plants not seen.
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Table 3 Basic quantitative parameters of plant species in various seasons at site-III during 2014-2016

| S. No. | Plant species | Rainy |  |  |  | Winter |  |  |  | Summer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | D | A | Dom | F | D | A | Dom | F | D | A |
| 1 | Acacia jacqemontii | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 2 | A. nilotica | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 3 | Aerva persica | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 60 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 60 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 4 | A. pseudotomentosa | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 |
| 5 | Albizia lebbek | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 6 | Amaranthus blitum | 70 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 7 | A. viridis | 70 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Anagallis arvensis | - | - | - | - | 60 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 1.1 | - | - | - |
| 9 | Anticharis linearis | 70 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Argemone mexicana | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| 11 | Aristida funiculata | 50 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | Arnebia hispidissima | 40 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Balanites aegyptiaca | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 20 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 14 | Barleria cristata | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 10 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 15 | Bauhinia recemosa | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| 16 | Blepharis sindica | 40 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.5 | - | - | - |
| 17 | Blumea lacera | 20 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | Boerhavia diffusa | 40 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 60 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | - | - | - |
| 19 | Borreria articularis | 50 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Brachiaria ramosa | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | Calotropis procera | 30 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 70 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 50 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| 22 | Capparis decidua | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 60 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 60 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| 23 | Cenchrus biflorus | 80 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 70 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 24 | C. ciliaris | 40 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 30 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 25 | C. setigerus | 50 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | Chenopodium album | - | - | - | - | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| 27 | C. murale | - | - | - | - | 60 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 28 | Chloris virgata | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | Citrullus colocynthis | 50 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| 30 | C. lanatus | 40 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | Cleome viscosa | 20 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 32 | Clerodendrum phlomidis | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 33 | Corchorus tridens | 40 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 30 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 20 | - | - |
| 34 | C. depressus | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 60 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 30 | - | - |
| 35 | Cotula hemispherica | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| 36 | Crotalaria burhia | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 70 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 80 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 37 | Ctenolepis cerasiformis | 60 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | Cucumis callosus | 60 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 39 | Cymbopogon jwarncusa | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 40 | Cynodon dactylon | 40 | 2.7 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 60 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 60 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 41 | Cyperus arenarius | 60 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 40 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 50 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 42 | C. rotundus | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 60 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 70 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| 43 | Dactyloctenium aegyptium | 70 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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| 44 | Datura inoxia | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | Desmostachya biinnata | 50 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 46 | Dichanthium annulatum | 40 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 47 | Dicoma tomentosa | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | Digitaria cilliaris | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | Eragrostis ciliaris | 50 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | Erianthus munja | 10 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 |
| 51 | Euphorbia granulata | 10 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | Fagonia cretica | 60 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | Farsetia hamiltonii | 60 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | Gisekia pharnacioides | 70 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | Heliotropium marifolium | 40 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 56 | H. subulatum | 60 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 57 | Indigofera linifolia | 60 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 58 | Leptadenia pyrotechnica | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 60 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 70 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 59 | Lycium barbarum | 30 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 30 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 |
| 60 | Maytenus emarginata | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 61 | Mollugo cerviana | 80 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 2.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 62 | M. nudicaulis | 60 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 63 | Momordica dioica | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | Mukia maderaspatana | 30 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 65 | Panicum antidotale | 40 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| 66 | P. turgidum | 40 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 67 | Pavonia arabica | 30 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 68 | Pedalium murex | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 69 | Peristrophe bicalyculata | 30 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | Phyllanthus amarus | 40 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 71 | Prosopis cineraria | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 72 | P. juliflora | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 9.1 | 20 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| 73 | Pulicaria crispa | 20 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 74 | Salvadora persica | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 75 | Solanum nigrum | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 76 | Tecomella undulata | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 77 | Tephrosia purpurea | 60 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 80 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 70 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| 78 | Tetrapogon tenellus | 50 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 79 | Tragus biflorus | 60 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | Trianthema triquetra | 40 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 81 | Tribulus terrestris | 60 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 82 | Verbesina encelioides | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 30 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| 83 | Withania somnifera | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 84 | Xanthium strumarium | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 30 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 40 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| 85 | Zizipus mauritiana | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 86 | Z. nummularia | 10 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 20 | 0.2 | 1.0 |

$\mathrm{F}=$ Frequency, $\mathrm{D}=$ Density, $\mathrm{Ab}=$ Abundance, Dom $=$ Dominanace, and $-=$ Plants not seen.
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Table 4 Importance Value Index (IVI) of plant species in various seasons at sites I - III during 2014-2016

| S. No. | Plant species | Site-I |  |  | Site-II |  |  | Site-III |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R | W | S | R | W | S | R | W |
| 1 | Abutilon indicum | 0.58 | 4.61 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2 | Acacia nilotica | 2.57 | 3.29 | 3.41 | 2.88 | 8.99 | 2.26 | 11.10 | 5.12 |
| 3 | A. jacqemontii | - | - | - | 1.50 | 5.64 | 5.11 | 2.24 | 6.68 |
| 4 | A. senegal | 1.20 | 1.67 | 2.63 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | Aerva persica | 0.91 | 7.04 | 10.50 | 2.20 | 6.83 | 10.80 | 4.16 | 8.49 |
| 6 | A. pseudotomentosa | 2.24 | 8.87 | 8.83 | 2.87 | 5.80 | 9.06 | 5.12 | 7.15 |
| 7 | Ageratum conyzoides | 2.24 | 1.87 | - | 2.01 | 2.05 | - | - | - |
| 8 | Albizia lebbek | 1.19 | 2.36 | 1.69 | 1.10 | 1.69 | 2.94 | 2.81 | 2.15 |
| 9 | Amaranthus blitum | 4.55 | 3.78 | - | 2.50 | - | - | 4.92 | 3.13 |
| 10 | A. viridis | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.72 | - |
| 11 | Anagallis arvensis | - | 9.60 | - | - | 8.15 | - |  | 6.72 |
| 12 | Andropogon pumilus | - | - | - | 2.74 | - | - |  |  |
| 13 | Anticharis linearis | 5.59 | - | - | 6.75 | - | - | 5.78 | - |
| 14 | Argemone mexicana | 1.41 | 3.89 | 9.87 | 0.72 | 3.37 | 10.30 | 2.68 | 8.55 |
| 15 | Aristida funiculata | 11.64 | - | - | 2.04 | - | - | 4.08 | - |
| 16 | Arnebia hispidissima | 6.15 | - | - | 1.51 | - | - | 3.76 | - |
| 17 | Balanites aegyptiaca | 1.50 | 1.46 | 3.89 | 1.88 | 3.98 | 3.99 | 5.23 | 5.20 |
| 18 | Barleria cristata | 1.18 | 3.76 |  | - | - | - | 3.28 | 2.16 |
| 19 | Bauhinia racemosa | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.57 | 4.01 |
| 20 | Blepharis sindica | 9.80 | 5.36 | - | 4.86 | 4.15 | - | 2.34 | 4.44 |
| 21 | Blumea lacera | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.35 | - |
| 22 | Boerhavia diffusa | 3.85 | 9.49 | - | 2.93 | 7.08 | - | 2.80 | 13.50 |
| 23 | Borreria articularis | 4.92 | - | - | 3.14 | - | - | 2.87 | - |
| 24 | Brachiaria ramosa | 8.28 | - | - | 1.76 | - | - | 1.60 | - |
| 25 | Calotropis procera | 3.91 | 8.78 | 12.30 | 3.58 | 7.43 | 19.10 | 2.58 | 19.00 |
| 26 | Capparis decidua | 1.44 | 6.00 | 8.80 | 4.01 | 9.42 | 13.60 | 4.56 | 15.60 |
| 27 | Cenchrus biflorus | 8.26 | 7.58 |  | 6.18 | 7.12 | - | 8.22 | 13.10 |
| 28 | C. ciliaris | 8.02 | 5.62 | 12.10 | 4.90 | 5.94 | - | 5.58 | 7.09 |
| 29 | C. setigerus | - | - | - | 4.39 | 4.96 | - | 6.15 | - |
| 30 | Chenopodium album | - | 7.32 | - | - | 7.25 | - | - | 5.67 |
| 31 | C. murale | - | 9.71 | - | - | - | - | - | 9.70 |
| 32 | Chloris virgata | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.92 |  |
| 33 | Citrullus colocynthis | 2.90 | 3.27 |  | 2.61 | 4.11 |  | 2.71 | 5.66 |
| 34 | C. lanatus | 1.06 | - | - | 1.58 | - | - | 2.19 | - |
| 35 | Cleome viscosa | 2.03 | 2.49 |  | 2.35 | 1.87 |  | 2.91 | - |
| 36 | Clerodendrum phlomidis | 2.60 | 5.50 | 3.49 | 1.42 | 2.26 | 1.77 | 2.02 | 1.56 |
| 37 | Convolvulus microphyllus | - | - | - | 0.52 | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | Corchorus depressus | 13.89 | 7.22 | - | 5.11 | 5.95 | - | 1.40 | 11.20 |
| 39 | C. tridens | 5.43 | 4.21 |  | 7.96 | 5.96 |  | 2.85 | 4.70 |
| 40 | Cordia gharaf | - | - | - | 0.69 | 1.31 | 1.71 | - | - |
| 41 | Cotula hemispherica | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.92 | 1.11 |
| 42 | Crotalaria burhia | 2.26 | 10.70 | 12.50 | 2.89 | 8.88 | 20.40 | 1.45 | 11.90 |
| 43 | Ctenolepis cerasiformis | 3.08 | - | - | 5.59 | 4.33 | - | 3.54 | - |
| 44 | Cucumis callosus | 0.92 | - | - | 2.44 | - | - | 2.93 | - |
| 45 | Cyamopsis tetragonoloba | 1.15 | - | - | 3.66 | - | - | - | - |
| 46 | Cymbopogon jwarncusa | 1.39 | 1.10 | 2.39 | 1.89 | 2.91 | 5.49 | 1.82 | 3.13 |
| 47 | Cynodon dactylon | 9.26 | 17.60 | 5.62 | 5.22 | 5.93 | 12.50 | 4.47 | 11.20 |
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| 48 | Cyperus arenarius | 3.34 | 4.39 | 7.38 | 2.24 | 4.49 | 5.11 | 3.94 | 7.46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | C. rotundus | - | - | - | 5.02 | 6.52 | 5.67 | 2.55 | 9.40 |
| 50 | Dactyloctenium aegyptium | 3.75 | - | - | 6.13 | 7.28 | - | 8.56 | - |
| 51 | D. sindicum | - | - | - | 5.17 | 8.42 | - | - | - |
| 52 | Datura inoxia | 0.52 | 5.90 | 9.18 | 0.55 | 5.68 | 5.86 | 1.30 | 5.29 |
| 53 | Desmostachya biinnata | 4.79 | - | - | 1.57 | - | - | 4.73 | - |
| 54 | Dichanthium annulatum | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.66 | - |
| 55 | Dicoma tomentosa | 2.04 | 3.98 | - | 3.32 | - | - | 2.27 | - |
| 56 | Digeria alternifolia | 2.27 | - | - | 1.11 | - | - | - | - |
| 57 | Digitaria cilliaris | 2.17 | - | - | 2.59 | - | - | 2.85 | - |
| 58 | Echinops echinatus | 1.75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 59 | Eclipta alba | 1.51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 60 | Eragrostis ciliaris | 3.43 | - | - | 4.39 | - | - | 5.59 | - |
| 61 | Erianthus munja | 1.74 | 5.66 | 2.97 | 1.72 | 5.09 | 13.80 | 0.83 | 4.09 |
| 62 | Euphorbia granulata | 1.84 | - |  | 2.94 | - | - | 1.68 |  |
| 63 | E. hirta | 2.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 64 | Fagonia cretica | 6.67 | - | - | 6.92 | 3.93 | - | 4.90 | - |
| 65 | Farsetia hamiltonii | 3.76 | - | - | 6.87 | - | - | 6.12 | - |
| 66 | Gisekia pharnacioides | 4.95 | - |  | 8.97 | - | - | 10.30 | - |
| 67 | Glossocardia setosa | 1.29 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 68 | Heliotropium marifolium | 0.43 | - | - | 5.38 | 2.37 |  | 5.02 | - |
| 69 | H. ovalifolium | 2.31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 70 | H. subulatum | 2.39 | - | - | 2.75 | 5.38 | - | 3.09 |  |
| 71 | Indigofera cordifolia | 7.25 | - | - | 6.43 | - | - | 6.77 | - |
| 72 | I. linifolia | 6.77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 73 | Launea procumbens | 3.75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 74 | Leptadenia pyrotechnica | 2.04 | 9.62 | 10.10 | 2.66 | 10.60 | 22.70 | 1.90 | 15.90 |
| 75 | Lycium barbarum | 2.91 | 2.83 | 6.03 | 1.20 | 5.30 | 7.18 | 1.82 | 5.90 |
| 76 | Malva parviflora | 0.91 | - | - | 0.49 | - | - | - | - |
| 77 | Maytenus emarginata | 1.20 | 1.31 | 4.84 | 1.38 | 2.63 | 3.69 | 1.03 | 2.45 |
| 78 | Mollugo cerviana | 7.88 | - | - | 10.30 | - | - | 12.40 | - |
| 79 | M. nudicaulis | 5.74 | - | - | 10.50 | - | - | 7.13 | - |
| 80 | Momordica dioica | 0.53 |  | - | 1.72 | - | - | 1.55 | - |
| 81 | Mukia maderaspatana | - | - | - | - |  | - | 2.27 | - |
| 82 | Panicum antidotale | - | - | - | 4.40 | 7.97 | 1.95 | 3.15 | 6.13 |
| 83 | P. turgidum | - | - | - | 4.86 | 4.92 | 5.49 | 2.75 | 5.47 |
| 84 | Parkinsonia aculeata | 1.12 | 1.32 | 2.81 | 2.42 | 2.60 | 3.23 | - | - |
| 85 | Pavonia arabica | 0.69 | - | - | 0.94 |  |  | 1.87 |  |
| 86 | Pedalium murex | 0.45 | - | - | 0.58 | - | - | 1.30 | - |
| 87 | Peristrophe bicalyculata | 2.16 | - | - | 2.59 | - | - | 2.98 | - |
| 88 | Phyllanthus amarus | 1.47 | - | - | 2.83 | - | - | 2.49 |  |
| 89 | Polygala irregularis | 0.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 90 | Prosopis cineraria | 4.13 | 7.82 | 1.83 | 4.15 | 4.86 | 4.92 | 3.14 | 2.45 |
| 91 | P. juliflora | 3.31 | 11.80 | 7.85 | - | - | - | 1.48 | 2.44 |
| 92 | Pulicaria crispa | 1.59 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.16 | - |
| 93 | Ricinus communis | 0.54 | 2.37 | 1.71 | 2.17 | 2.41 | 3.40 | - | - |
| 94 | Salvadora persica | 4.67 | 8.04 | 11.40 | 5.70 | 6.03 | 17.10 | 2.84 | 4.82 |
| 95 | Solanum nigrum | 0.79 | 5.52 | 4.02 | 1.42 | 3.15 | 4.59 | 0.72 | 5.58 |
| 96 | S. surattense | 1.53 | 2.32 | 3.96 | 0.96 | 2.86 | 2.70 | - | - |
| 97 | Sonchus asper | 1.94 | 3.85 | 6.14 | 1.58 | 4.92 | 3.91 | - | - |
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| 98 | Tamarindus indica | 0.67 | 1.81 | 2.59 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | Tamarix aphylla | - | - | - | 3.70 | 1.67 | 2.18 | - | - |
| 100 | Tecomella undulata | 5.20 | 16.10 | 31.20 | 11.40 | 11.90 | 19.10 | 2.99 | 4.53 |
| 101 | Tephrosia purpurea | 10.24 | 11.90 | 15.10 | 3.23 | 8.81 | 21.20 | 7.22 | 13.40 |
| 102 | Tetrapogon tenellus | 2.23 | - | - | 1.96 | - | - | 3.47 | - |
| 103 | Tragus biflorus | 3.36 | - | - | 0.53 | - | - | 4.04 | - |
| 104 | Trianthema portulacastrum | 4.85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 105 | T. triquetra | - | - | - | 1.33 | - | - | 3.15 | - |
| 106 | Tribulus terrestris | 8.16 | - | - | 11.90 | - | - | 4.45 | - |
| 107 | Trigonella corniculata | 2.37 | - | - | 1.94 | - | - | - | - |
| 108 | Verbesina encelioides | 0.92 | 1.22 | - | 0.91 | 1.67 | - | 2.32 | 5.57 |
| 109 | Withania somnifera | 1.83 | 7.34 | 9.28 | 1.77 | 3.62 | 4.94 | 1.15 | 3.43 |
| 110 | Xanthium strumarium | 1.73 | 5.62 | 5.60 | 1.21 | 3.59 | 1.77 | 1.90 | 5.27 |
| 111 | Zaleya redimita | 2.11 | - |  | 0.92 | - | - | - | - |
| 112 | Ziziphus mauritiana | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.42 | 4.23 |
| 113 | Z. nummularia | - | - | - | 2.63 | 3.97 | 8.32 | 1.48 | 5.20 |

R = Rainy, W = Winter, S = Summer, and - = plants not seen.
Table 5 Indices for diversity, species richness and $A / F$ ratio of plant species in various seasons at different sites during 2014-2016

| Parameters | Site-I |  |  | Site-II |  |  | Site-III |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ |
| Diversity Index | 0.954 | 0.964 | 0.947 | 0.970 | 0.973 | 0.941 | 0.975 | 0.950 |
| Pielou Index | 1.935 | 2.055 | 1.951 | 1.944 | 2.100 | 1.957 | 2.022 | 1.985 |
| Shannan \& Wiener Index | 3.782 | 3.455 | 3.059 | 3.800 | 3.704 | 3.073 | 3.891 | 3.314 |
| Simpson Index | 0.045 | 0.035 | 0.052 | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.058 | 0.025 | 0.049 |
| Species richness | 2.529 | 2.622 | 2.621 | 2.658 | 2.991 | 2.687 | 2.671 | 2.356 |
| A/F ratio | 0.088 | 0.063 | 0.059 | 0.092 | 0.058 | 0.056 | 0.071 | 0.059 |
| Alpha diversity | 4.464 | 4.677 | 4.572 | 4.602 | 5.091 | 4.644 | 4.693 | 4.340 |

$\mathrm{R}=$ Rainy, $\mathrm{W}=$ Winter, and $\mathrm{S}=$ Summer.
Table 6 Cody's beta diversity index between studied sites in various seasons

| Sites | Rainy | Winter | Summer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I \& II | -0.6798 | -0.5560 | -0.6711 |
| I \& III | -0.5881 | -0.6595 | -0.5479 |
| II \& III | -0.7031 | -0.5407 | -0.7551 |

Table 7 Total diversity of a landscape unit at studied area

| Season | Beta | Alpha | Sites | Gamma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | diversity* | diversity* | studied | diversity |
| Rainy | -0.657 | 4.571 | 3 | 6.914 |
| Winter | -0.585 | 4.779 | 3 | 7.194 |
| Summer | -0.658 | 4.475 | 3 | 6.817 |

* Mean values


## Discussion

There are several ways in which species diversity can be assessed. Generally, a large area provides more resources and is expected to support a large number of species than a smaller area. MacArthur and Wilson (1967) proposed that the number of species encountered is proportional to a power of the area
sampled. For the quantification of diversity and comparison of species diversities between different communities in various climatic conditions, is useful to calculate an index for diversity and dominance. Diversity indices are used to quantify biological diversity of vegetation that allows comparison of different samplings, habitats and landscapes. The
commonly used form of the diversity index is the Shannon-Wiener Index (Shannon and Weaver, 1949) and for dominance index, Simpson (1949) has derived a formula for calculating index of dominance which shows importance of each species in relation to the community as a whole known as Simpson Index. In any plant community, we find a number of species. The older and more stable the community is, the more will be species diversity. Developing communities at seral stages of succession have less number of species and have high species diversity.
Diversity is not uniformly distributed on the earth. It low in habitats with extreme environmental conditions such as deserts, hot springs, etc. (Wilson, 1992). The older stable climate is expected to support high speciation rates due to more sedentary population and hence geographical isolation, larger number of generations per year and more opportunities for selection. On the other hand, greater spatial heterogeneity would result in low extinction rates due to greater specialization of taxa, more resources, less competition and smaller size of populations. The uneven distribution of biodiversity is also illustrated at regional and ecosystem levels (Aparajita, 2007).

In communities, several topographic gradients, climatic variations and disturbances produce variations in composition and structure of vegetation (Gibson and Hulbert, 1987; Belsky, 1988; Aparajita, 2007). These same factors increase diversity and heterogeneity within communities as well (Belsky, 1986). It is generally observed that areas with high species diversity are found in the middle latitude because of the congenial climatic, edaphic and other factors prevailing therein. Diversity indices have been computed for various ecosystems by different researchers such as Richards (1952), Whittaker (1972), Wilson and Shmida (1984), Aparajita et al. (2002), Aparajita (2007), etc. Alpha diversity reflects action of biotic and local abiotic elements of environment (Whittaker, 1960) and its components are sensitive to the size of the sample. Plant species became less diverse, the structure of plant communities became simpler, and the diversity and abundance indices of the plant species decreased moving from the area of less water stress to higher one. Impacts of water stress on arid ecosystems due to low ground-water level and scarce rainfall was apparently one of the major attributes to the low plant species diversity (Chen et al., 2006). In the present studies, the highest frequences in rainy season were exihibited by Aristidia funiculata, Cenchrus biflorus,

Cynodon dactylon, Cyperus rotundus, Gisekia pharnacioides Mollugo cerviana and Tephrosia purpurea. While in winter, plant such as Chenopodium album, C. murale, Calotropis procera and Tephrosia purpurea and in summer, C. burhia and T. purpurea show maximum frequency at all sites.

Density is the measure of number per unit area. It is inversely related to the mean distance between individuals. The density of the study area did not show any regular pattern at all sites. Generally, density decreased gradually with time due to a number of factors such as mortality, etc. In general, at all sites, during different seasons different species attained maximum density, which indicate nonoverlapping in sharing of common resources. Plant species such as Mollugo cerviana, M. nudicaulis and Gisekia pharnacioides shows highest densities in rainy season, while Cenchrus biflorus, Chenopodium album and Crotalaria burhia in winter, and Tephrosia purpurea, Aerva persica and Leptadenia pyrotechnica in summer. The highest values of dominance were represented by Corchorus depressus, Prosopis cineraria and Tecomella undulata at all the sites. The present studies show that in rainy season plant species such as Corchorus depressus, Aristida funiculata, Tecomella undulata, Cynodon dactylon, Calotropis procera and Tribulus terrestris showed maximum IVI values. T. undulata showed maximum importance values i.e. 31.2 among all species at all sites. In winter, Anagallis arvensis, Boerhavia diffusa, Capparis decidua, Chenopodium murale, Crotalaria burhia, Cynodon dactylon, Leptadenia pyrotechnica and Tecomella undulata, and in summer Aerva persica, Argemone mexicana, C. decidua, C. burhia, L. pyrotechnica and T. purpurea showed maximum IVI values.
The species richness ranged from 2.3 to 2.9 and these data are not good indicators of the relative wealth of species in a community (Sagar and Singh, 1999). The value of Pielou Index shows that distribution of individuals among the species is in evenness. According to Margalef (1972) the values of index fall between 1.5 and 3.5. In the present studies, the values of Pielou Index were lesser in rainy seasons at all the sites and show that in comparison to winter and summer season, individuals were distributed less consistently in rainy. Shannon-Wiener Index was also higher and it ranges from 3.03 to 3.89 . Higher values indicate that all species almost represented by the same number of individuals.
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In the present studies, $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{F}$ ratio shows that vegetation distribution pattern was contagious at all the sites except in summer at site-III, which showed random. The contagious distribution may be an evolutionary character to minimize the interspecific competition among the species. In the present studies, all sites showed sigmoidal curve because of improvements in grass cover and perennials which result in stable habitats.
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