International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)
Volume 10 Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2026 Available Online: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470

Research on the Financial Impact and Optimization Path of Revenue Recognition for Internet Enterprises

Xu Yang, Xia Ruixi

Student, Beijing Wuzi University, Beijing, China

ABSTRACT

In the context of the digital economy, the revenue sources of internet enterprises have become increasingly diversified, with transaction structures and performance methods growing more complex. The traditional revenue recognition model centered on the transfer of risks and rewards faces challenges such as blurred applicability boundaries and insufficient comparability of accounting information. China's revised Accounting Standard for Business Enterprises No. 14-Revenue, issued in 2017, establishes a five-step revenue recognition model based on the transfer of control, which has profoundly affected the revenue recognition practices of internet enterprises. Focusing on three typical business models-online games, e-commerce, and Software as a Service (SaaS)-this study analyzes the differential applicability of the new revenue standard and its financial impact, drawing on case studies of Perfect World, JD.com, and Weimob Group. The findings reveal significant differences among the three business models in key areas including performance obligation identification, gross versus net method determination, and variable consideration measurement. The implementation of the standard affects the structure of enterprises' balance sheets and income statements through items such as contract liabilities and contract acquisition costs. Based on these findings, this paper proposes optimization suggestions from four dimensions: internal control, business-finance integration, industry guidance, and regulatory coordination.

How to cite this paper: Xu Yang | Xia Ruixi "Research on the Financial Impact and Optimization Path of Revenue Recognition for Internet Enterprises" Published in International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (ijtsrd), ISSN: 2456-6470, Volume-10 | Issue-4, August 2026, pp.894-897, URL: www.ijtsrd.com/papers/ijtsrd142125.pdf

IJTSRD142125

KEYWORDS: *New Revenue Standard; Internet Enterprises; Revenue Recognition; Five-Step Model; Financial Impact; Optimization Path.*

INTRODUCTION

With the continuous deepening of the digital economy, internet business models such as online games, e-commerce, and Software as a Service (SaaS) have emerged rapidly, presenting unprecedented complexity in revenue recognition for enterprises. Compared with traditional manufacturing industries, internet enterprises are characterized by virtualized products, online transactions, continuous service delivery, and platform-based operations. Their revenue recognition no longer manifests as immediate recognition upon the delivery of a single product; instead, it exhibits features such as multiple performance obligations, inter-period revenue allocation, and highly uncertain transaction prices [1]. The traditional revenue recognition model centered on the transfer of risks and rewards has gradually exposed problems including inconsistent industry application standards and significant variations in recognition timing when dealing with new business scenarios such as virtual goods, platform transactions, and subscription services [2].

To enhance the consistency and comparability of revenue recognition, China's Ministry of Finance revised and issued Accounting Standard for Business Enterprises No. 14—Revenue in 2017, introducing a five-step revenue recognition model centered on the transfer of control, which achieves substantial convergence with International Financial Reporting Standard 15 (IFRS 15) [3]. The implementation of the new standard is not merely an adjustment of accounting subjects; rather, it represents a systematic requirement for enterprises' contract management, business processes, and internal control systems.

Particularly for internet enterprises, revenue recognition has become closely intertwined with user behavior data, platform transaction rules, and product delivery mechanisms. Therefore, in-depth research on the specific application of the new revenue standard in internet enterprises and its financial impact carries significant theoretical value and practical significance. This paper analyzes three typical internet business models, exploring differences in standard application, financial implications, and optimization paths.

I. Applicability Boundaries and Judgment Challenges of the New Revenue Standard in Internet Enterprises

Revenue recognition theory has undergone an important paradigm shift from the "revenue-expense view" to the "asset-liability view." The new revenue standard, centered on the customer's obtaining of control, reflects the theoretical logic of the asset-liability view. Compared with the risk-and-reward transfer criterion, the control criterion can better adapt to scenarios such as digital services and complex contract arrangements [4]. However, the concept of control itself carries a certain degree of principle-based abstraction, and in scenarios involving virtual goods, platform services, and digital content, it still relies on the professional judgment of management.

The core challenges of revenue recognition for internet enterprises are concentrated in four aspects. First, the identification of performance obligations varies: whether virtual props, value-added services, and continuous operation services constitute separate performance obligations requires judgment based on contract terms and business substance. Second, the determination of gross versus net method: the identity positioning of platform-based enterprises as either principals or agents directly affects the recognition caliber of revenue scale [5]. Third, the measurement of variable consideration: marketing arrangements such as coupons, rebates, points, and return policies constitute variable consideration, requiring reasonable estimation based on historical data. Fourth, the timing of revenue recognition: there exist essential differences in the timing of control transfer between one-time consumable goods and continuous services.

These judgment challenges manifest differently across various internet business models. Online game enterprises face issues such as the classification of virtual props and insufficient support from player behavior data. E-commerce enterprises need to judge transaction identities on a case-by-case basis under mixed self-operated and platform models. SaaS enterprises must address issues such as the separation of multi-element contracts and the capitalization of contract acquisition costs. Thus, it is evident that a unified standard framework still requires the formulation of specific application guidelines tailored to the characteristics of each business type.

II. Comparative Analysis of Revenue Recognition Practices across Three Internet Business Models

This paper selects Perfect World, JD.com, and Weimob Group as case enterprises, representing three typical business models: online games, e-commerce, and SaaS, respectively. All three enterprises possess strong industry representativeness and relatively complete public information disclosure, providing a foundation for cross-model comparison.

The revenue of online game enterprises mainly includes virtual prop sales, virtual currency recharges, and co-publishing revenue sharing. Under the five-step framework, player recharges do not equate to the enterprise having fulfilled its performance obligations. The enterprise must first determine the type and economic substance of virtual goods. One-time consumable props recognize revenue when used by players; rights with defined terms are recognized in installments over the service period; and comprehensive rights including continuous operation services require judgment on whether the enterprise continuously fulfills its performance obligations over a period of time [6]. From the perspective of financial statements, collections corresponding to unfulfilled performance obligations typically appear as contract liabilities, which are gradually converted into operating revenue as players consume or services are provided.

E-commerce enterprises typically conduct both self-operated and platform businesses simultaneously, and the determination of gross versus net method constitutes their core issue. Under the self-operated model, the enterprise directly controls the goods and assumes primary performance responsibility, typically recognizing revenue on a gross basis. Under the platform model, the enterprise only provides intermediation services and may recognize revenue on a net basis [7]. This judgment requires comprehensive consideration of factors such as performance responsibility, inventory risk, pricing authority, and credit risk, and cannot be made solely based on contract form. Additionally, factors such as promotional activities, sales rebates, and return arrangements constitute variable consideration, which requires reasonable estimation based on historical experience.

SaaS enterprises are characterized primarily by subscription models, with revenue recognition exhibiting clear continuity and inter-period nature.

What customers purchase is typically the right to use software and technical support services for a specified period, and thus revenue is recognized gradually over the service period [8]. For multi-element contracts encompassing software usage, system maintenance, and marketing services, the distinctness of each service must be assessed and the transaction price allocated reasonably. Furthermore, incremental costs such as sales commissions incurred to obtain a contract may be capitalized as contract acquisition costs if they meet the capitalization criteria and amortized over the benefit period, which helps achieve the matching of revenue and related costs.

III. Financial Impact and Optimization Path of the New Revenue Standard

The implementation of the new revenue standard has multidimensional impacts on the financial statements of internet enterprises. At the balance sheet level, the standard introduces concepts such as contract assets, contract liabilities, contract fulfillment costs, and contract acquisition costs, promoting the reclassification and recognition of items previously recorded as advance receipts, receivables, and selling expenses [9]. Contract liabilities can reflect the scale of an enterprise's future performance obligations, but their changes are simultaneously influenced by multiple factors including business growth, promotional activities, and customer prepayment behavior, and the full extent of changes should not be attributed solely to the change in accounting standards.

At the income statement and financial ratio level, the impact is mainly reflected in three aspects. First, changes in revenue recognition rhythm: the shift from one-time recognition to installment recognition for continuous service businesses may alter the period distribution of revenue. Second, changes in revenue and cost scale: adjustments in the determination of gross versus net method may simultaneously affect operating revenue and operating costs, but the impact on gross profit requires analysis combined with specific transaction structures. Third, changes in expense recognition periods: the capitalization of contract acquisition costs may reduce current selling expenses and increase future amortization expenses, thereby affecting the structure of the income statement and related financial ratios.

Based on the above analysis, this paper proposes optimization paths from four dimensions. At the enterprise level, a full-process control system covering contract signing, business performance, revenue recognition, and information disclosure should be established. For complex contracts, multiple departments including business, legal, finance, and technology should participate jointly in judgment and form written documentation. At the business-finance integration level, data connectivity between business systems, transaction systems, and financial systems should be promoted, strengthening the retention and reconciliation of key data such as virtual prop usage, order fulfillment, and contract terms. At the industry level, regulatory authorities and industry associations may issue application case studies for different business models, focusing on practical issues such as virtual goods recognition, platform identity determination, and contract acquisition cost capitalization. At the regulatory level, disclosure requirements for key judgments and estimation uncertainties in revenue recognition should be strengthened, with particular attention to risk areas such as abnormal revenue growth and changes in gross profit margins.

Conclusion

Focusing on three internet business models—online games, e-commerce, and SaaS—and drawing on case studies of Perfect World, JD.com, and Weimob Group, this paper analyzes the differential applicability, financial impact, and optimization paths of the new revenue standard in internet enterprises. The study demonstrates that the five-step model centered on the transfer of control provides a unified framework for revenue recognition in the complex businesses of internet enterprises, yet its practical implementation remains highly dependent on enterprises' professional judgment of contract substance and business processes. The three business models exhibit significant differences in key areas including performance obligation identification, gross versus net method determination, variable consideration measurement, and revenue recognition timing, and the implementation of the standard affects the structure of enterprises' financial statements and related indicators through items such as contract liabilities and contract acquisition costs.

The study also finds that the risks of revenue recognition in internet enterprises stem not only from insufficient understanding of the standards but also from inadequate contract management, separation of business and finance systems, insufficient data support capabilities, and inadequate information disclosure. Future research may further expand the sample scope to include business models such as live-streaming e-commerce, short-video platforms, and cloud computing, and construct quantitative models based on contract-level data to enhance the reliability and generalizability of research conclusions.

References

[1] Chen S, Yao R. Analysis of the Core Changes and Impacts of the New Revenue Standard [J]. Finance and Accounting Monthly, 2018(19): 45-51.

[2] Leng L. Research on Performance Obligation Identification and Revenue Recognition Timing under the New Revenue Standard [J]. Finance and Accounting Research, 2020.

[3] Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China. Accounting Standard for Business Enterprises No. 14—Revenue: Cai Kuai [2017] No. 22 [S]. Beijing: China Financial and Economic Publishing House, 2017.

[4] Xiang J, et al. Theoretical Foundation, Institutional Evolution, and Practical Impact of the New Revenue Standard [J]. Accounting Research, 2018.

[5] Hu J, Zhang Y. Difficulties and Countermeasures of Applying the "Five-Step" Revenue Recognition Model in Internet Companies [J]. Finance and Accounting, 2021(14): 52-56.

[6] Zhang W. Research on Revenue Recognition of Virtual Props in Online Games [J]. Commercial Accounting, 2016.

[7] Gan R. Research on Revenue Recognition Issues of Internet Enterprises under the New Revenue Standard [J]. Commercial Accounting, 2021(9): 67-70.

[8] Ma Y. Research on Revenue Recognition and Accounting Treatment of Contract Acquisition Costs in SaaS Enterprises [J]. Finance and Accounting, 2022.

[9] Yan H, Teng Z. Research on Revenue Information Disclosure under the New Revenue Standard [J]. Finance and Accounting Monthly, 2020.

[10] Napier C J, Stadler C. The real effects of a new accounting standard: The case of IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers [J]. Accounting and Business Research, 2020.