



**International Conference on Reimagining Management:  
Sustainability and Innovation in the AI Era**

**Organised By: Dnyan Ganga Education Trust's,  
Degree College of Arts, Commerce & Science, Thane**

**AI in the Field of Human Resource Management - Implications  
for Employee Well-Being and the Related Ethical Issues**

**Ms Bharati Pratik Roy**

SIES College of Arts, Science & Commerce (Autonomous), Sion, Mumbai

**Abstract**

The tectonic changes that have permeated the Business world today has revolutionised the manner in which key functions have been automated and operationalised. AI - driven tasks have simplified routine, repetitive tasks, potentially rendering human involvement redundant. The paper delves into how AI - enabled systems are changing the complexion of core HR activities, even as it brings to the fore, crucial issues such as Bias, Opacity, Data usage in the decisioning process. The paper examines the need to balance the efficiency that AI provides with the concomitant ethical issues of trust, accountability and equity.

Theoretically, it reviews the various AI tools deployed in recruitment such as predictive analytics, tracking systems, juxtaposing it with the ethical challenges that ensue, such as lack of transparency, bias and privacy issues. The paper then seeks to provide suggestions to mitigate these issues, so as to bring into play a responsible and ethically acceptable methodology. The HR personnel need to be sensitive to and cognisant of this need for balance, ensuring that employee well-being is not sacrificed at the altar of efficiency.

Human oversight, Impact audits, clear articulation about the deployment of AI with prospective employees and using an human-centric, inclusive approach can help drive the ethical framework, resulting in aligning organisational goals in a sustainable, socially responsible manner.

**Keywords:** AI-driven technology, Augmented Leadership, Employee Well-being, AI Ethics, Algorithmic Bias, Opacity.

**INTRODUCTION**

The rapid pace of exponential technological transformations being witnessed in our times, largely integrates Artificial Intelligence (AI) with crucial ecosystems in Organisations. This marks a paradigm shift in the manner in which enterprise management is transitioning. Straight forward human-driven functions are changing to complex mechanisms. Organisations are changing from performing conventional, administrative functions to complex metrics encompassing continuous, disruptive technological innovation. This is not without its concomitant fallout in the socio-economic and cultural spheres. This unprecedented phenomenon is metamorphosing various key aspects of human existence.

This paper examines the tangible and intangible ramifications, specifically in the important function of Human Resources Management (HRM). The modern digital workplace is characterised by the algorithmic transformation of talent acquisition and employee retention; the need for continuous re-skilling in view of the dynamic technological changes; the impact on employee wellbeing and the changing, evolving ethical paradigms that impacts governance and leadership keeping in mind algorithmic supremacy.

It focuses on Employee well-being as well as the need for “augmented leadership”. Whilst recognising the transformative applications of technologies centered on AI which empower humankind, the paper also examines the accompanying risks that may end up in dystopian outcomes (Rukadikar et al, 2025).

The adverse impact on ethics and morality which inevitably creeps in, insidiously, cannot be ignored. Intentions of innovating and creating are indeed laudable but if the ensuing results are detrimental to individuals, society and culture, they need to be addressed unflinchingly.

It also highlights the concept of “Ethical Resonance” proposed by theorists to counter the belief that a machine’s output is infallible (Alherimi et al, 2025).

“The machine itself makes no demands and holds out no promises: it is the human spirit that makes demands and keeps promises. In order to reconquer the machine and subdue it to human processes, one must first understand it and assimilate it.” (Mumford, 1934)

Hence the overarching need for striking a balance between this overwhelming dance of process, power and peril.

**How has AI transformed Human Resources Management (HRM)?**

Recruitment, evaluation and retention of talent is pivotal to organisational success. Traditionally these processes have been time-consuming, subjective. Global talent requires access to a diverse talent pool, across geographies, thereby increasing the operational costs exponentially.

AI-driven technology has been flagged as a gamechanger in HRM, especially in the spheres of Recruitment and Onboarding. It is a convenient evolutionary, albeit disruptive alternative as far as speed and computational

impartiality and scalability is concerned. Drastic acceleration in the hiring life cycle has resulted in increased utilisation of the tools.

Repetitive and routine tasks such as parsing of resumes (by LinkedIn) and ranking of candidates (using natural language processing) are done with remarkable speed. High levels of precision have been introduced in the implementation of conventional HR practices using Predictive Analytics. AI also facilitates customised candidate experiences using automated real-time feedback mechanisms, recommendations based on inferred applicant preferences and asynchronous video interviews, which enhances the employer-candidate dynamic.

Use of AI has reduced tedious, manual workloads and has enhanced productivity and efficiencies in the areas of performance appraisal and employee engagement. Annual reviews are replaced with real-time insights, providing regular feedback. These are derived from AI tools that analyse employee data such as email patterns, output logs. Certain AI platforms like Humanyze use sentiment analysis gleaned from the employee communications to assess employee engagement and flag burnout risks. Personalised training paths, after identification of skill gaps through AI assessments, aid in the employee development process. Chatbots for initial, synchronous screening interviews have enabled data driven hiring decisions, at scale and are considered to be a distinct advantage. Clearly the upside to the above is that the administrative tasks are no longer the burden of the HR personnel who can focus on crucial areas such as leadership & management development and organisational culture building.

According to Jeske and Olson-Buchanan (2025), AI augments employee engagement as a mediator, enhancing well-being through tailored interventions. Yet, they state, that this efficiency comes at a cost: over-reliance on algorithms risk dehumanising HR, where quantitative metrics overhead qualitative human elements like motivation. In order to maintain empathy and accountability, in their study, the authors emphasise the use of AI-augmented HR which is a model where AI assists but does not replace human judgement.

When implemented responsibly, AI can positively impact employee work-life balance, well-being and career development. HR professionals are more likely to adopt the AI driven systems if they perceive usefulness and ease of use.

## What are the Ethical Challenges ?

### 1. Algorithmic Bias

High efficiency in data processing for the recruitment process has a downside. It has shown that the AI systems trained on biased datasets have implications as far as Diversity, Equity and Inclusion are concerned. The very algorithms coded to eliminate human subjectivity, inadvertently codify and amplify historical discrimination. This is because AI systems are inductive and retrospective and learn from historical training data. The preferences to certain demographics, races, gender can result in discrimination.

According to Mehrabi et al (2021) algorithmic bias stems from historical data that reflect systemic discrimination, particularly in areas like hiring, lending and policing. Their

study emphasises that bias in AI is not only a technical issue but also a social and ethical concern.

Thus, the inherent bias can perpetuate discrimination in HR decisions. Chukwuemeka (2025) documents cases where facial recognition in video interviews favored lighter skinned candidates. Gender and racial biases get amplified in predictive hiring, where the historical data reflects systemic inequalities instead of merit.

### 2. Opacity in Decision-making

Often referred to as “the Black box” nature of AI does not lend itself to trust as the employees cannot scrutinise the algorithms. Predictions are provided without explainable rationale, by deep learning models like neural networks. Vrontis et al (2025) highlight how this opacity fosters perceptions of unfairness, correlating with reduced job satisfaction and higher turnover intent. These systems pose a challenge to HR audit and undermine accountability and trust.

The above can potentially impact employer brand and expose them to legal ramifications

### 3. Privacy & Surveillance

AI's reliance on the vast employee data is an invasion into employee privacy as details such as biometrics, geolocation are available. This results in “quantified work” anxiety. Productivity monitoring by app usage trigger technostress, linking it to elevated cortisol levels and mental health issues. Bibi et al (2025) find mediated effects where privacy breaches moderate AI's positive wellbeing impacts, turning tools into stressors.

### 4. Ethical implications, employee wellbeing

Data misuse risks, as in unauthorised profiling or data breaches, result in ethical concerns.

Meijerink et al (2025) argue that without ethical safeguards AI increases psychosocial risks, burnout and disengagement. In India, cultural sensitivities can add to the concerns. Thus, AI functions as an invisible stressor. Employees are said to experience tension as it triggers cognitive anxieties regarding job displacement, skill obsolescence and algorithmic surveillance. It is a fine balance between efficiency and protecting employees' right to digital privacy.

Workplace loneliness is another fallout with the increased use of AI assistants, chatbots and automated co-pilots as human-to-human interaction is on the decline. Emotional support from colleagues is vital at the workplace which when reduced, causes severe emotional fatigue.

### How can the challenges be MITIGATED?

Responsible AI deployment requires a multi-pronged approach. Various studies and reports suggest that researchers are seized of the implications around AI driven systems. Suggestions, on the identified issues, which could serve as mitigating strategies, have been listed below.

Bias mitigation techniques can be categorised into pre-processing, processing and post-processing Strategies as per Caton and Haas (2020). The methods include re-sampling training data, adjusting the learning algorithms to cater to fairness and modification of model outputs. It is crucial to select the methods that align with the ethical priorities and operational needs of the organisation.

Dubovtsev (2025) advocates techniques like adversarial de-biasing, where algorithms are retrained to minimise disparate impacts across demographics. Tools like IBM's AI Fairness 360 enable ongoing monitoring to yield equitable outcomes.

Floridi and Cowls (2021) highlight the need for human oversight in the AI decisioning process. They advocate for the human-in-the-loop approach, especially in recruitment, to ensure that ethical principles such as justice, beneficence and autonomy are not compromised at the altar of efficiency.

Kumar (2025) also advocates that human-in-the-loop models retain final decision vetoes. Training HR to sensitise them to such issues helps foster inclusivity.

Binns (2020) discusses the ethical importance of explainable AI (XAI) in areas of hiring. Transparency allows the stakeholders to understand the rationale of the decision-making process.

XAI helps demystify decisions. Techniques such as LIME, SHAP provide outputs which allow HR to trace the algorithmic logic. This helps build trust through visibility. Privacy needs to be safeguarded by anonymisation, federated learning (local data processing) and obtaining consent protocols. Everworker (2026) recommends privacy by design, integrating differential privacy to mask individual data while preserving aggregate insights.

Leveraging AI-driven HRM, according to a study (Alwali & Alwali 2025), requires the leaders to demonstrate high emotional intelligence as it helps moderate the impact on employee engagement. Barocas et al (2020) advocate that fairness should be considered as a non-negotiable aspect of the AI design.

Dobbe et al (2023) propose a systems-level approach, stressing on the need to consider the entire socio-technical ecosystems holistically. They believe that isolated fixes are insufficient. Continuous monitoring and stakeholder engagement is key. Regulation as in AI Acts and Government Policies are necessary to ensure governance of AI ethics. These can mandate strict controls depending on the risk criteria. Compliance with global standards like EU AI Act's high-risk classifications for HR tools is essential. Conducting regular impact assessments involving various teams HR, employees and ethics experts help minimise the bias and course correct. Kumar (2025) advocates that human-in-the-loop models retain final decision vetoes.

A human-centric approach is absolutely necessary (Zárate-Torres et al ,2025). This entails communicating to the employees transparently during Onboarding. Mitchell (2026) talks of phased rollouts, pilot testing, feedback loops and iterative refinements to safeguard wellbeing. Bartram et al (2024) argue that HRM should go beyond compliance and include mental health systems. It emphasises psychological safety, leadership training and institutional support systems as pivotal to people management. Garcia-Maduro et al (2024) state that AI can improve workload balance, detect early burnout and support systems only when aligned with human-centric leadership cultures. Risks of privacy invasion and stress amplification emerge in the absence of governance and vigilance.

AI systems should be treated as a people-centric change program rather than as an IT initiative.

Recalibration of workplace capabilities assumes importance in view of the changing landscape in organisations. Substantial re-skilling is the need of the hour to enable direct adoption of the evolving technologies. The life of technical skills is diminishing and being rendered obsolete. Conventional approaches to education will have to be replaced with competencies that will provide agility to the workforce in the digital world. Hence a "new logic of competence development" which is an iterative, distributed and relational process as against the traditional linear, isolated and static one (Skrzymowska, 2025). Thus, a hybrid model that has the rigour to synthesise technical expertise which is domain specific with adaptive capabilities which includes higher-order cognitive, psychological and social traits, necessary to adapt to the volatility and continuous disruption. Kolb's experiential learning and Schon's reflective practice include complex problem-solving, resilience, critical thinking, reflective judgment, social perceptiveness and comprehensive AI literacy are the new requirements.

As AI assumes increasing importance in the scheme of things, it is imperative for Organisations and Business Leaders to adapt and rise to the challenges that AI driven systems inevitably trigger. Traditional models of human-centric management must change to embrace the concept of "augmented leadership" which emphasises ethical governance in a hybrid space shared by an autonomous machine intelligence. Such leadership requires technical, adaptive and transformational capabilities. These three interconnected capabilities will enable the business leaders to sense (from the predictive analytics), to seize and optimise opportunities (based on the forecast model) and reconfigure and communicate. Essentially, these capabilities enable organisations to be agile even as they navigate ethically through the disruptive and ongoing socio-economic fallout of digital and AI driven transformations (Hossain, 2025).

## CONCLUSION

Technology is, undoubtedly, both fascinating and useful. Integration of AI in HRM has resulted in tremendous efficiencies in speed, cost and management of processes as well as significant reduction in human errors and subjectivity. However, it also raises seminal questions of ethics and morality, posing challenges in respect of fair play, bias, opacity, surveillance, ownership and accountability. It can result in the development of traits such as laziness, addiction and possibly misuse of the brain. It is therefore important to draw red lines and eschew the harmful drawbacks.

The future of humankind needs enhancement of virtues, not a descent into a quagmire of unethical mores. In order to address the issue, we must first and foremost face it squarely in the eye, recognising the immense harm that can engulf humanity should it be ignored. Mustafa Suleyman in his book "The Coming Wave" aptly describes it as "the Containment problem."

Human beings ought not to be treated as mere resources to enable Organisations attain their financial goals. Employee well-being needs to be accorded utmost importance. Organisations ought to adopt fair data practices, human oversight and transparency. AI tools need to be used as aids to complement and support the process rather than as a replacement to human beings. There ought to be an ethical

AI Governance framework that ensures that the process is non-discriminatory and balances human judgement with the technological outcomes. XAI, Audits, ethical and inclusive governance, guardrails to safeguard against bias and invasion of privacy are some of the strategies that can mitigate the challenges.

There must be a symbiotic relationship between all stakeholders namely the HR professionals, AI developers and policy makers. HR professionals should lead this in collaboration with the other stakeholders.

AI Ethics training, Longitudinal well-being studies, cross-functional interactions, involving stakeholders in the co-designing of AI tools, improvising processes based on valid employee feedback are some suggestions to ensure shared responsibility and transparency across the board.

## References List

- Harari, Y. (2019). 21 lessons for the 21st century. Vintage.
- Suleyman, M., with Bhaskar, M. (2023). The coming wave: Technology, power, and the twenty-first century's greatest dilemma. Bodley Head.
- Mumford, L. (1934). Technics and civilization. Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- Chatfield, T. (2024). Wise animals: How technology has made us what we are. Picador.
- Tegmark, M. (2018). Life 3.0. Penguin Books.
- Toon, N. (2024). How AI thinks: How we built it, how it can help us, and how we can control it. Transworld Publishers Limited.
- Bibi, M., Tan, T. G., & Yao, H. (2025). Exploring the impact of AI capabilities on employee well-being: A mediated moderation analysis. SAGE Open. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/21582440251361981>[journals.sagepub]
- Chukwuemeka, E. (2025). Mitigating bias in AI-driven recruitment: Ethical challenges and strategies. Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies in Emerging Markets, 1(1). <https://jisem-journal.com/index.php/journal/article/view/9566>[jisem-journal]
- Dubovtsev, I. (2025). Bias mitigation strategies in HR analytics: Ensuring fairness in data-driven decisions. LinkedIn Pulse. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/bias-mitigation-strategies-hr-analytics-ensuring-data-ilia-dubovtsev-nzeje>[linkedin]
- Jeske, D., & Olson-Buchanan, J. B. (2025). Artificial intelligence-driven human resource practices and employee well-being: Examining the mediating effect of employee engagement. Business Perspectives, 1(1). <https://www.businessperspectives.org/index.php/component/zoo/artificial-intelligence-driven-human-resource-practices-and-employee-well-being>[businessperspectives]
- Kumar, R. (2025). Ethical implications of artificial intelligence in recruitment: Balancing efficiency and bias mitigation [SSRN preprint]. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=5228523](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5228523)[papers.ssrn]
- Meijerink, J., Bondarouk, T., & Lepak, D. P. (2025). The challenges and role of AI in HRM: Opportunities and ethical challenges on HR digitalization. Advances in Consumer Research. <https://acr-journal.com/article/the-challenges-and-role-of-ai-in-hrm-opportunities-and-ethical-challenges-on-hr-digitalization-1>[acr-journal]
- Mitchell, G. (2026). AI in recruitment 2026: The ethics of talent acquisition. TalentRecruit Blog. <https://www.talentrecruit.com/post/ai-in-recruitment-2026-the-ethics-of-talent-acquisition>[talentrecruit]
- Singh, S. (2026). HR trends 2024-2026: AI-augmented people management. LinkedIn. [https://www.linkedin.com/posts/singhshreya96\\_future-trends-in-people-management-activity-7428036205499379713-N-aE](https://www.linkedin.com/posts/singhshreya96_future-trends-in-people-management-activity-7428036205499379713-N-aE)[linkedin]
- Vrontis, D., Christofi, M., Pereira, V., Tarba, S., Makrides, A., & Trichina, E. (2025). Artificial intelligence, machine learning, algorithms and data: Future issues and challenges in human resource management. Journal of Business Research, 172. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0148296325004072> (Adapted for bias focus)[sciencedirect]
- Everworker. (2026). Mitigating AI risks in HR: Bias, privacy, and compliance best practices. [https://everworker.ai/blog/ai\\_risks\\_hr\\_bias\\_privacy\\_compliance](https://everworker.ai/blog/ai_risks_hr_bias_privacy_compliance)[everworker]
- Rukadikar, A., Khandelwal, K., & Warriar, U. (2025). Reimagining recruitment: traditional methods meet AI interventions- A 20-year assessment (2003–2023). Cogent Business & Management, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2025.2454319>
- Alherimi, N., Abdulkasoud, S., Ahmed, V., & Bahroun, Z. (2025). A Systematic Literature Review of Artificial Intelligence Advancements in Green Human Resource Management. Sustainability, 17(22), 10283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su172210283>
- Zárate-Torres, R., Rey-Sarmiento, C. F., Acosta-Prado, J. C., Gómez-Cruz, N. A., Rodríguez Castro, D. Y., & Camargo, J. (2025). Influence of Leadership on Human-Artificial Intelligence Collaboration. Behavioral sciences (Basel, Switzerland), 15(7), 873. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15070873>
- Hossain, S., Fernando, M., & Akter, S. (2025). The influence of artificial intelligence-driven capabilities on responsible leadership: A future research agenda. Journal of Management & Organization, 31(5), 2360–2384. doi:10.1017/jmo.2025.10010
- Skrzymowska, A. M. (2025). Upskilling and reskilling in the AI era: a new logic of competence development. e-mentor, 4(111), 70-78. <https://www.doi.org/10.15219/em111.1721>