Experimental Analysis of Automotive Exhaust Heat Exchanger for Thermal Uniformity
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ABSTRACT

The I.C. Engines are losing large amount of heat into environment directly. This waste heat can be reused for other purpose like TEG, Air conditioning etc. A heat exchanger is a device that is used to transfer thermal energy between two or more fluids, between a solid surface and a fluid, or between solid particulates and a fluid, at different temperatures and in thermal contact. In case of TEG there is need to have temperature uniformity on surface of heat exchanger is important. So, it is important design achieve thermal uniformity for converting the heat into other form of energy effectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION:

In today’s world there is huge requirement of the new sources of the energy. So it is very important to create new sources or recover the waste heat through the various things like automobile, boiler, exhaust gases from heat producing devices etc. Heat exchanger is best device to recover the heat from the engines , boilers etc. So there various type of heat exchanger having different internal structures according to compatibility and application. In this work pipe type heat exchangers are used which are enclosed in rectangular cavity of the aluminium and ms steel. There are three different type of heat exchangers with different internal arrangements having different heat transfer rate according to time of exhaust gases remaining in that cavity. So which structures gives more time for gas to remain in the cavity without affects on the back pressure of the engine.

2. Installation of position of heat exchanger:

2.1. Positioning of heat exchanger:

The heat exchanger position is important for the more uniform heat distribution over the surface of the heat exchanger, also the working of the exhaust system should not get affected by the position of the heat exchanger. Back pressure should not increase by this work is very significant in this installation of the heat exchanger. The installation position of TEG and propose three different cases.

Case 1: Thermoelectric generator is situated at the last of exhaust system i.e. after muffler.
Case 2: Thermoelectric generator situated in the middle of the catalytic convertor and the muffler.
Case 3: Thermoelectric generator is situated at the beginning i.e. before the catalytic convertor.

![Fig1. Structures of three cases: (a) case1, (b) case2, and(c) case3. [8](Image 137x206 to 475x544)](Image 137x206 to 475x544)
In above cases case 2 is giving better results. The position in case second is better for the functional work of the exhaust system in which both the catalytic convertor and the muffler both are working properly.

2.2. Analysis:
The following figure shows that analysis of the exhaust system including catalytic convertor muffler, muffler and thermoelectric generator i.e. exhaust heat exchangers. The analysis has done for three different cases as mentioned in the figure below. The color shows the amount of heat produces in the TEG at three different positions in the exhaust system. In which the second position is producing better heat absorption in heat exchanger means to produce thermoelectric conversion.

In case 1, Heat Exchanger was placed at the end of exhausted system, so the interface temperature of heat exchanger was just 210°C on average. The highest temperature was 240°C at the inlet and the minimum temperature was approximately 170°C at the outlet. In case 2, Heat Exchanger was located between catalytic converter (CC) and muffler (muf); the averaged surface temperature of exhaust heat exchangers was 270°C the interface temperature of the exchanger was uniform, which met the requirement of the thermoelectric exhaust system. In case 3, TEG was located upstream of catalytic converter (CC) and muffler (muf); the interface temperature of heat exchanger was 280°C on average, which was beneficial for arrangement of the heat exchanger. However, the highest temperature of CC was 230°C, while the lowest was 160°C; the average temperature of CC was just 190°C which could not reach the ignition temperature (250°C) of harmful exhaust gas; CC was working under an abnormal condition.

2.3. Simulation model (Six internal structures of exhaust heat exchangers)[1]

For the purpose of comparison, 6 structures were made with the same dimensions. Each exhaust exchanger had a different internal structure: an inclined plate, a parallel plate structure, a separate plate with holes, a serial plate structure, and a novel pipe structure. In the above shown are internal design of the six different types of heat exchanger are shown. The piping type of heat exchangers is more preferable according to effect of back pressure and the thermal uniformity.

3. Possible structures in Pipe Type Heat exchangers:

a) Single inlet and single outlet  
b) Double inlet and single outlet  
c) Double inlet and double outlet

In these three cases third case is not suitable because of the high backpressure which effecting on the performance of heat exchanger. A test bench was developed to test muffler-like exhaust heat exchangers with different structures. The symmetrical 1-inlet 2-outlet increased hydraulic disturbance and enhances heat transfer, resulting in the more uniform flow distribution and higher face temperature than the 2-inlet 2-outlet and empty cavity.
Case 1: single inlet and single outlet

Case 2: Double inlet and single outlet

Case 3: single inlet and double outlet

Fig4: Internal structures of the heat exchanger

Case 1 and Case 2 are more preferable for the experiment. As shown in above figure the heat exchanger amongst these two are choose and set on the exhaust system and its uniformity is being checked.

5. Layout of the experimental setup:

Fig5: Photographic diagram of engine.

6. Calculations:

The calculations has performed on the basis of the formula of thermal uniformity.

\[
\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{y} \frac{(t_i - t_{mean})^2}{t_{mean}}
\]

\(\lambda\) = temp. Uniformity Coefficient (0-1)
\(y\) = No of thermocouple placed on the surface
\(t_i\) = Temp. at measurement position \(j\)
\(t_{mean}\) = Average temp. of HX plat
7. Results Discussion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Engine Load (kg)</th>
<th>Wall Average Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Back Pressure (Pa)</th>
<th>Temp Uniformity Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case I: Single Inlet and Single Outlet</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.9875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case II: Double Inlet and Single Outlet</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>102.75</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.9938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case III: Single Inlet and Double Outlet</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100.875</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conclusion:

- In Case III which gives the better thermal uniformity as compare to the other two cases. So the Case III i.e. single inlet and double outlet case gives better temperature uniformity.
- Back pressure is higher in case of Case II. Then Case III having some less amount of back pressure. In case I there is lesser back pressure.
- Case III is good in uniformity but case II gives more wall temperature.

9. References:

10) eISSN: 2319-1163 | pISSN: 2321-7308